## BRAIN MECHANISMS FOR CLINICAL PLACEBO IN CHRONIC PAIN: A Randomized Clinical Trial of Placebo, Active Treatment, and No Treatment in Chronic Back Pain

Principal Investigator:	Apkar Vania Apkarian, PhD Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine Tarry Bldg, 310 E. Superior St., Office 7-705 Chicago, IL 60611 (312) 503-0404 (312) 503-5105 a-apkarian@northwestern.edu
Co-Investigator(s):	Todd B. Parrish PhD, General Radiology Thomas J. Schnitzer MD/PhD, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation David Cella PhD, Medical Social Sciences Richard Gershon PhD, Medical Social Sciences
Biostatistician:	James Griffith Northwestern University 633 N. St. Clair, 19 <sup>th</sup> floor Chicago, IL 60611 (312) 503-5345 (312) 503-4800 j-griffith@northwestern.edu
Participating Site:	Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine Olson Pavilion, 710 N Fairbanks Ct Center for Translational Imaging (CTI), LC 0-255 Chicago, IL 60611 Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine 10 <sup>th</sup> floor Abbot Hall, 710 N Lake Shore Dr
	Chicago, IL 60611 For additional sub-sites/locations, please see appendix.
Study Drug/Study Device:	Placebo, Naproxen, and Omeprazole
Funding Source:	National Center for Complimentary and Integrative Health (NCCIH), National Institutes of Health
Initial version: Amended:	6/1/2016 (Phase 2)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

* TITLE PAGE	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
* STUDY SCHEMA AND DESIGN	3
* STUDY SUMMARY	4
1. BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE	5
2. STUDY OBJECTIVES	9
3. PATIENT ELIGIBILITY: SELECTION AND ENROLLMENT OF PARTICIPANTS	10
4. TREATMENT PLAN AND STUDY INTERVENTION	12
5. STUDY PROCEDURES	16
6. RESPONSE CRITERIA	20
7. ADVERSE EVENTS AND SAFETY ASSESSMENTS	20
8. STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS	22
9. STUDY MANAGEMENT, DATA COLLECTION, AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	25
10. PARTICIPANT RIGHTS	26
11. PUBLICATIONS	27
12. REFERENCES	28
13. SUPPLEMENTS/APPENDICES	32
	32
	40 /1
IV DSMP	42
V STUDY ROSTER	· 111

IV		42
V	STUDY ROSTER	111
VI	SCHEDULE OF EVALS & ASSESSMENTS	114
VII	BIOSTATISTICIAN CV	117
VIII	LABORATORY PROCEDURES & EVALUATIONS	126

## STUDY SCHEMA AND DESIGN Design Schema for Objective 2 (Phase 2):

We intend to randomize 210 CBP patients into the study with the expectation that 140 will finish successfully. The entire duration of the study will be 12 weeks with an initial 3-week baseline period (visits 1-3), a 6-week treatment period (visits 3-5), and a final 3-week washout period (visits 5-6). During the baseline period eligible **participants will be predicted as placebo responders and non-responders** based upon baseline brain scan results and specific questionnaire answers (properties determined from the results of **Phase 1**) and then classified on that basis. Participants will then be randomized within each classification group (placebo responders and placebo non-responders) at a ratio of 3:3:1 such that 42.5% receive active medication (naproxen/omeprazole, 500/20mg, bid), 42.5% receive matching placebo treatment and 15% no treatment. Brain imaging, self-report questionnaire, and pain/mood rating measurement regimens are shown in **Figure 1**. Pain, mood, and pill ingestion will all be monitored by smart phone for the duration of the study, as well as determined in person at each visit.



**Figure 1.** Experimental schema for Phase 2. Expected changes in pain are shown in solid and dashed horizontal lines. We expect to see different pain outcomes for the various placebo and treatment combination groups. To be noted, we predict that the No Treatment group will have similar pain trajectory as those classified Non-responders and treated with placebo. As well, in the No Treatment group participants will be classified as placebo responders and placebo non-responders, but will not receive any treatment agent, therefore, their pain trajectory will be constant throughout the study.

## STUDY SUMMARY

Title	BRAIN MECHANISMS FOR CLINICAL PLACEBO IN CHRONIC PAIN
Short Title	PLACEBO IN CHRONIC PAIN (PICP)
Protocol Number	Grant:
Phase	Grant Phase 2
Methodology	Double blind, randomized (see section 5.4 for more specifics on blinding)
Study Duration	12 weeks
Study Center(s)	Northwestern University
Objectives	The overall purpose of Phase 1 was to identify brain mechanisms and behavioral markers of placebo analgesia propensity in chronic back pain patients. The markers identified in that phase will now be used in Phase 2 to predict placebo propensity in a separate cohort of chronic back pain patients and in turn, validate the markers' reliability to detect response. An additional objective of Phase 2 is to study the interaction of placebo propensity with active treatment.
Number of Subjects	A total of 340 participants will be enrolled across both phases. Phase 1 will have an expected enrollment of 130 participants to attain 64 completed participants Phase 2 will have an expected enrollment of 210 participants to attain 140 completed participants.
Diagnosis and Main Inclusion Criteria	Chronic back pain (history of low back pain for a minimum of 6 months with or without signs and symptoms of radiculopathy)
Study Product(s), Dose, Route, Regimen	Naproxen, 500mg, po and Omeprazole, 20 mg, po, and matching placebo capsules, all po bid
Duration of administration	6 weeks total for Phase 2 for all study products and treatments
Active Treatment	1 capsule Naproxen (500mg) /1 capsule Omeprazole (20 mg), both oral b.i.d.
Statistical Methodology	Brain activity contrasts are done as a two-way (treatment groups) repeated measures (time: start vs. end) ANOVA (two-way repeated measures-ANOVA). For responders, based on our preliminary results, we anticipate a mean decrease of 2.5 units on a 0-10 scales with an estimated SD of 3. Thus, the estimated Cohen's d effect size across time is an effect size estimate of 0.83.

## 1.0 BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

#### 1.1 Disease Background

The prevalence of chronic back pain (CBP) ranges from 15% to 45%, with the point prevalence averaging 30% (Andersson and Frymoyer, 1997). In the USA, chronic and acute back pains are the most common causes of activity limitation in people under 45, and the second most frequent reason for physician visits (Praemer et al., 1992; Hart et al., 1995). Whereas the few short-term (i.e. only weeks in length) placebocontrolled trials conducted to date have found some support for the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and antidepressants in treating lower back pain, their modest improvements in pain are not sufficient to achieve clinically-meaningful effectiveness. With efficacy requiring a minimum 20% decrease in pain compared to placebo, a meta-analysis of NSAID studies found no evidence that these drugs were more effective than placebo in treating CBP (van Tulder et al., 2000). Similar results have been observed for other classes of analgesics. The World Health Organization Advisory Panel has concluded that **there is no single treatment superior to others for relieving CBP (Ehrlich**, 2003).

Here we propose to study the brain circuitry, functionally and anatomically, for the placebo effect in treatment of patients with CBP. Our laboratory has extensively characterized the brain properties in CBP over the past 10 years. We have shown that brain activity in CBP is specific and distinct from that for acute pain (Baliki et al., 2006); brain resting state activity is disrupted in CBP (Baliki et al., 2008a; Baliki et al., 2011b); brain gray matter density is decreased in specific regions (Apkarian et al., 2004) and continues to reorganize on the time scale of 10 years (Baliki et al., 2011c). More recently, we have completed the first longitudinal brain imaging trial evaluating brain properties that predict pain persistence, and we have determined that brain functional properties measurable during the subacute phase (< 3 months back pain duration) can predict who will transition to CBP one year later (Baliki et al., 2012). These studies provide a solid scientific background upon which we can study brain characteristics of the placebo response in CBP.

## 1.2 Rationale

The *placebo effect* – an improvement in symptoms caused by receiving a sham treatment disguised to be indistinguishable from an active medical treatment - is a psychobiological phenomenon, coupled with underlying identifiable neurobiology. It has been observed across diseases, biological systems, and for a variety of treatments (Benedetti, 2008). Placebo shows the largest and most consistent effects in the realm of pain, and its potential clinical utility for analgesia has been recognized since the first studies of the topic (Beecher, 1955; Harrington, 1997). Moreover, a recent national survey of internists and rheumatologists in the US found that

approximately 50% of physicians prescribe medications they consider to have no effect on patients' conditions and are purposely used as placebos (Tilburt et al., 2008; Fassler et al., 2010). Thus, use of placebo in the clinic, whether knowingly or unknowingly, is highly prevalent. **Given that there is no single empirically-supported treatment for CBP, the use of placebo is justified in treatment studies of CBP.** 

The bulk of the science of placebo has studied experimental manipulations in healthy subjects, and has convincingly established the psychobiological basis of placebo (Benedetti et al., 2011; Wager and Fields, 2012). In healthy subjects, placebo responses appear to reflect altered transmission in pain pathways, including a) reduced activity in many brain regions involved in acute pain perception; b) activation with placebo treatment of areas important for modulation of pain-related regions and engagement of descending pain modulating circuits; and c) activation of endogenous opioid and dopamine systems (Atlas et al., 2009; Tracey, 2010; Wager and Fields, 2012). Personality differences can contribute to placebo response magnitude, including high suggestibility (De Pascalis et al., 2002; Morton et al., 2010), optimism (Morton et al., 2009), expectation (Vase et al., 2003; Zubieta et al., 2005; Morton et al., 2010), behavioral activation (Schweinhardt et al., 2009), and sensitivity to opiates (Amanzio and Benedetti, 1999). These results are consistent with individual differences in how healthy subjects may respond differentially to trivial changes in placebo-related manipulations or contexts; however, no consistent evidence has supported a particular personality or psychological variable in mediating the placebo response. Perhaps most importantly, given the extensive brain reorganization with chronic pain, placebo

properties for pain modulation in chronic pain are likely distinct from those of healthy subjects.

Analgesia in the placebo arm is unequivocally observed in clinical trials, especially for chronic pain drug studies (Finniss et al., 2010). Until recently, placebo effects in the clinical setting have mostly been addressed using metaanalysis of such clinical trials (Enck et al., 2011). Recent studies show quite large placebo effects in clinical treatments (Kaptchuk et al., 2006; Haake et al., 2007; Kaptchuk et al., 2008b)), and in one case repeated sham treatment showed greater analgesia than conventional therapy in CBP patients (Haake et al., 2007). Similarly, comparing placebo effects in experimental and clinical back pain shows larger placebo effects for clinical pain (Charron et al., 2006), and placebo effects are larger with more sustained pain and in the presence of hyperalgesia (Vase et al., 2009). Therefore, placebo treatment in CBP has demonstrated effectiveness, and given the lack of evidence favoring any specific treatment for CBP, the study of placebo is warranted in this population.



**Figure 2:** Effect magnitude for placebo and active treatment in OA and CBP for 12 weeks, (on a 0-10 scale). Data are from (Chappell, Desaiah et al. 2010, Skljarevski, Zhang et al. 2010, Skljarevski, Zhang et al. 2010).



**Figure 3:** Percent CBP responders to active treatment and placebo, in time (left panels), and per category (right): marginal or worse <15%, minimal 15-30%, moderate 30-50%, substantial >50% decrease in pain. Data are from (Moore, Smugar et al. 2010).

The placebo effect is large and clinically significant in chronic pain. **Figures 2 and 3** depict recent (best quality) examples of phase 3 multi-center double-blind placebo-controlled drug trials in CBP and osteoarthritis (OA). These studies resulted in FDA approval for these drugs as effective therapies. Here we are interested in the reported placebo responses. It is evident how robust and similar the placebo

responses are across these studies, and how they are sustained for the entire study duration. In all three studies placebo decreases pain by at least 10% within 2-4 weeks and then by an additional 10-30% within 3 months. In **figure 3** (Cox2 NSAID study), placebo treatment decreased CBP pain by >50% in approximately 35% of the group. To unequivocally state that these are placebo responses, it is necessary to have a no-treatment, observation only, control group. Nonetheless, **figure 3** strongly suggests that the placebo effect may be dichotomous, hinting at the notion of two groups: responders and non-responders—this very notion is consistent with our preliminary results. Thus, *if we can identify chronic pain patients who will respond to placebo, then we can potentially decrease the pain in this group by about 30-50%, which would be highly clinically significant.* 

**Figures 2 and 3** also illustrate an important property of clinical placebo, namely a sustained relief from pain for many weeks. In contrast, experimental placebo studies, by design, only examine very short duration (hours to a few days) effects. Thus, brain circuitry identified for experimental placebo is unlikely to generalize to the clinically observed placebo effects. To our knowledge, using brain circuitry to predict the placebo response in the clinical setting has not been studied outside of our lab.

The current study design specifically addresses aspects of placebo trial design that have received ethical and scientific criticism. A recent review identifies 9 distinct potential sources of bias in placebo effect studies (Hrobjartsson et al., 2011). To circumvent these problems, we rely on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and within-subject control washout periods, perform all procedures identically across all groups, all preliminary analyses are done blinded, un-blinding occurs only after the completion of data collection, and all primary outcomes are objective brain parameters. By controlling for these factors, the natural, biologically-based propensity for some subjects to be better placebo responders than others can be objectively identified based on the proposed clinical trials.

The proposed study of placebo analgesia in CBP is justified because there is currently no single empirically supported treatment for CBP pain. Given our ignorance of basic properties of placebo analgesia, such as reproducibility within individuals, duration of the response, and interactions with active medications, short-term studies are appropriate to address these issues. Furthermore, the active medication control group taking naproxen will receive a standard-of-care treatment , and all participants will be allowed to take acetaminophen (rescue medication) as needed. Although placebo is associated with side effects, there is evidence that symptom base rates associated with placebo are lower than those observed in the general population (Barsky et al., 2002). For these reasons, the scientific benefits outweigh potential risks that are attributable to placebo use.

In phase 1 of this grant, we expect to confirm our hypothesis that about 50% of CBP patients respond to a 2 weeks placebo treatment using smartphone to collect daily measurements of chronic pain intensity. On average and so far, participants showed very good compliance rate on the use of smartphone, reaching on average of 75%. Using these continuous measurements of chronic pain intensity, we expect to demonstrate that patients responding to a placebo treatment will show a robust reduction of about 25% of their pain intensity compared to their visit 1 to visit 2 ratings. This would confirm our preliminary observations that about half of enrolled CBP will respond to placebo treatment and that expected pain analgesia will be clinically meaningful.

#### 1.3 Predictive neural and behavioral biomarkers for clinical placebo

## Summary of main findings from Phase 1 Report:

- 1. Using the smart phone pain ratings and within subject permutation method provides a robust method for classifying placebo responders and non-responders.
- 2. Three emotion-related personality outcome measures (MAIA-not worry, MAIA-emotion, ERQsuppress) together predict future placebo response at 94% accuracy. These measures are also correlated to questionnaires regarding alternative and complementary medicine including MISS (suggestibility),HCAMQ (holistic subscale), and MLHC (health locus of control).
- **3.** Resting state fMRI brain network information sharing between the frontal cortex and somatosensory cortex predicts placebo response at a very high accuracy.
- 4. Somatosensory cortex grey matter density predicts placebo response.

- 5. Brain white matter myelination properties do not predict placebo response.
- 6. Brain grey matter neurite orientation properties do not predict placebo response.
- 7. Limbic brain right to left asymmetry predicts placebo response.
- 8. The combined brain and personality final model predicts placebo response at 94% accuracy.

#### Conclusions from Phase 1 report:

- 1. The primary conclusion of these results is our ability to demonstrate that clinical placebo response is predictable from personality and from brain properties.
- 2. Identified personality parameters are distinct from those hypothesized in healthy subjects for experimental manipulations, and are consistent with CBP involving emotional circuitry. The relationship between these and attitudes to alternative medicine suggest common underlying mechanisms.
- **3.** Functional information sharing results are based on a specific hypothesis we derive from our earlier similar study conducted in osteoarthritis patients, suggesting shared mechanisms of clinical placebo response predictive circuits.
- **4.** The cortical gray matter prediction of placebo seems linked to the functional information sharing circuit and probably reflects inter-related measures.
- **5.** The fact that we did not find a whole-brain contrast in white matter myelination properties to predict placebo response was expected and not surprising. It is possible that the white matter connectivity between the functional networks may still be distinct (remains to be tested).
- 6. Perhaps the most surprising and intriguing result is the observation that limbic brain hemispheric volume asymmetry predicts placebo response. Our earlier work (paper submitted) shows that these brain volumes are invariant over up to 3 years even when subjects develop chronic pain, which implies that these structures are mostly fixed in adulthood and thus suggest that clinical placebo propensity is, at least in part, a trait.

#### 1.4 Correlative Studies

Brain imaging as a tool for making clinical decisions in chronic pain populations is not a practical option, as it requires highly sophisticated technology and analysis tools. No existing scale (or combination of scales) can predict the likelihood that a given individual with chronic pain will respond positively to a placebo treatment. There has been a strong bias against the development of such tools based on observations that placebo response in healthy subjects is highly variable, despite some progress in the topic (Shapiro et al., 1975; Wilcox et al., 1992; Geers et al., 2005; Geers et al., 2010). In contrast, our preliminary results strongly suggest that a Tool for Placebo Propensity Prediction (or TOPPP) can be developed because, in chronic pain, the placebo response is an objective, predictable phenomenon. Given the considerable potential clinical benefits of a measure like TOPPP, there is a need for a measurement system that is sufficiently sensitive to identify propensity for placebo analgesia that is brief, yet precise, easy to implement, and correlates with objective parameters of placebo response, such as brain imaging parameters.

#### 2.0 STUDY OBJECTIVES

#### 2.1 Primary and Secondary Objectives

2.1.1 To evaluate the interaction between placebo response and active treatment in individuals classified as placebo responders vs non-responders. Individuals in the No Treatment group will be used as a negative control to control for regression to the mean, duration of pain, and other potential confounds.

*Hypothesis: Brain functional and anatomical properties between groups after 6 weeks of treatment will identify separate circuitry for placebo analgesia and active treatment analgesia; and these groups will show distinct time courses and magnitudes of analgesia.* 

2.1.2 To test and validate brain imaging and personality parameters identified in Phase 1 that predict the propensity for placebo response and use the results to classify participants into responders vs non-responders before study intervention.

Hypothesis: Brain- and behavioral- based parameters identified as markers of placebo response in the first phase of the study will accurately predict potential responders from non-responders at first scan. The classification before study intervention will closely correspond to the responses observed at the end of treatment period.

2.1.3 To identify gender dependence of placebo analgesia propensity and examine brain and personality gender differences that underlie the seeming higher propensity of females for placebo response.

*Hypothesis:* Sexual dimorphism of the human brain and behavioral/personality differences may have a potential interaction effect and predisposition on placebo propensity. We expect to identify gender-specific biomarkers that are sexually dimorphic with regard to placebo response.

2.1.4 Classification of placebo responders versus non-responders for 2.1.2 will be based on Equation 1 below:

 $p = (e^{f(x)})/(e^{f(x)} + 1)$ 

 $f(x) = -3.3586 + 1.6676*Maia_Emotion - 0.2127*Erq_Suppress + 34.8608*sign_permutation$ 

where a probability (p) <0.5 = predicts non-responder and a probability (p)  $\ge$ 0.50 predicts responder.

These objectives evaluate the notion that placebo effects can be reduced, and perhaps eliminated, from drug trials, by showing that identifiable, separate circuits underlie modulation of pain by each. Additionally, we test the utility of combining a drug treatment with placebo effects. The RCT literature for testing drug efficacy has repeatedly stumbled, in that one study may be successful yet the next fails. One can envision that chance differences in the number of placebo responders and non-responders entering the study may dictate the success of many RCTs. This aim intends to clarify whether placebo responders show medication treatment responses superior to the placebo response, or whether the two independently summate for resultant analgesia. This provides the first effort for dissociating brain activity when pain is modulated by placebo versus medication. As the medication we will study is an NSAID, we assume that its main site of action is the peripheral nervous system and the spinal cord, whereas the placebo effect is obviously mediated through cortical control. Thus, we also dissociate between bottom up and top down modulation of chronic pain. Furthermore, we will begin to identify gender-dependence interactions

on placebo propensity and elucidate sexual dimorphisms in functional and anatomical brain properties in the domain of placebo response.

#### 2.2 Exploratory Objectives

Self-report data from Phase 1, in the form of questionnaire answers and interview answers, will be used to develop and validate a self-measurement Tool for Placebo Propensity (TOPPP) to be tested here in Phase 2. Additionally, biomarkers for placebo response identified in Phase 1 will be validated in this phase via the initial stratification into predicted responders versus non-responders at the beginning of the study.

Specifically, the brain circuits we observe for placebo propensity reflect interactions between the lateral prefrontal cortex and pain processing areas, (this is now confirmed from Phase 1 results), and these pain and disease properties should be readily identified in personality, expectation, and medical exposure appraisals (measurements we collected from specific questionnaires; emotional responses seem to best capture placebo propensity in Phase 1 results), as well as captured from themes and patterns seen in qualitative interview responses (this data remains to be analyzed from Phase 1 data) from the previous phase. Significant differences in questionnaire scores (total scores and/or specific subscores) between placebo responders and non-responders, as well as qualitative and quantitative differences seen between these groups in their responses to interview questions, will inform us as to which variables we should use in our new tool tool (we now have such a tool from Phase 1 results) that may have predictive capacity. This tool will be used in combination with brain biomarkers in the second phase to help stratify participants into responders versus non-responders at the first scan, using all new CBP participants as a validation group.

This multi-method approach maximizes the likelihood that we will identify self-report variables uniquely linked to the placebo response and its neural signature by including items that capture the unique characteristics of chronic back pain and their treatment effects, spanning the disease continuum and producing scores calibrated onto a common metric. Importantly, a system that meets these needs is likely to be accepted and routinely used in clinical and research settings.

#### 2.3 Endpoints

- 2.3.1 Endpoint for primary objective: (1) Placebo response (defined as > 20% reduction in pain intensity following placebo treatment, or defined from pain app ratings using permutation with 0.05 p-value cut-off)) versus active treatment response (reduction in pain intensity following naproxen/omeprazole treatment)
- 2.3.2 Endpoints for secondary objective: (1) Testing the specificity and sensitivity of the brain and behavioral parameters from Phase 1, in combination with the TOPPP developed from the study interviews, as predictive markers for response in Phase 2. These parameters will be considered validated if together are at least 80% accurate in stratifying responders from non-responders.
- 2.3.3 Endpoint for gender objective: (1) Testing for females showing higher incidence of placebo response using combined outcomes from phase 1 and phase 2 data.

#### 3.0 PATIENT ELIGIBILITY: SELECTION AND ENROLLMENT OF PARTICIPANTS

Subjects must meet all of the inclusion and exclusion criteria to be registered to the study. Study treatment may not begin until a subject is registered.

## 3.1 Inclusion Criteria

- 3.1.1 History of low back pain for a minimum of 6 months with or without signs and symptoms of radiculopathy
- 3.1.2 Male or female, between the ages of 18 and 75 years, with no racial/ethnic restrictions
- 3.1.3 Must have a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) pain score  $\geq$  5 mm (of 10 mm maximum) at the screening visit (for which 0mm = no pain, and 10 mm = worst pain imaginable);
- 3.1.4 Must be able to read and speak English and be willing to read and understand instructions as well as questionnaires;
- 3.1.5 Must be in generally stable health;
- 3.1.6 Must sign an informed consent document after a complete explanation of the study documenting that they understand the purpose of the study, procedures to be undertaken, possible benefits, potential risks, and are willing to participate
- 3.1.7 Must have, on average,  $\geq$  to 5/10 units of pain over the course of a two-week period prior to visit 1; rounding up from 4.5/10 is permissible.
- 3.1.8 Must be willing to complete daily smart phone/computer app ratings.

## 3.2 Exclusion Criteria

- 3.2.1 Low back pain associated with any systemic signs or symptoms, e.g., fever, chills;
- 3.2.2 Evidence of rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, acute vertebral fractures, fibromyalgia, history of tumor in the back;
- 3.2.3 Other comorbid chronic pain or neurological conditions;
- 3.2.4 Involvement in litigation regarding their back pain or having a disability claim or receiving workman's compensation or seeking either as a result of their low back pain;
- 3.2.5 Diagnosis of current depression or psychiatric disorder requiring treatment, or such a diagnosis in the previous 6 months;
- 3.2.6 Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) la score >=19 for two consecutive completions; if the first score meets this criteria, the participant must be re-tested before his/her next visit, but if the second score does not meet this criteria, the participant will be included and followed closely throughout the study
- 3.2.7 Use of therapeutic doses of antidepressant medications (i.e., tricyclic depressants, SSRIs, SNRIs; low doses used for sleep may be allowed);
- 3.2.8 Significant other medical disease such as unstable diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, coronary or peripheral vascular disease, chronic obstructive lung disease, or malignancy;
- 3.2.9 History of gastrointestinal ulcer during the past year;
- 3.2.10 History of myocardial infarction in the past year;
- 3.2.11 Uncontrolled hypertension;
- 3.2.12 Renal insufficiency;
- 3.2.13 Allergic to, or non-tolerant of, NSAIDs;
- 3.2.14 History of aspirin-sensitive asthma;
- 3.2.15 Current use of recreational drugs or history of alcohol or drug abuse;
- 3.2.16 Any change in medication for back pain in the last 30 days only applicable for visit 1
- 3.2.17 High dose opioid prophylaxis, as defined as > 50mg morphine equivalent/day;
- 3.2.18 Any medical condition that in the investigator's judgment may prevent the individual from completing the study or put the individual at undue risk;
- 3.2.19 In the judgment of the investigator, unable or unwilling to follow protocol and instructions;
- 3.2.20 Evidence of poor treatment compliance, in the judgment of the investigator;
- 3.2.21 Intra-axial implants (e.g. spinal cord stimulators or pumps);
- 3.2.22 All exclusion criteria for MR safety: any metallic implants, brain or skull abnormalities, tattoos on large body parts, and claustrophobia;
- 3.2.23 Pregnancy, or inability to use an effective form of contraception in women of child-bearing age;
- 3.2.24 Diabetes (Type I or Type II);
- 3.2.25 < 5/10 pain on average over the two-week period before the visit 2;
- 3.2.26 Lactose intolerance or sensitivity to lactose; and

Version 8.0 (Phase 2), Date: 03/28/2018

#### 3.3 Recruitment

Potential subjects will be recruited initially from a database maintained by the PI and from Northwestern's EDW. Once these sources are depleted, it is envisioned that recruitment will occur via community, online, and flyer-based methods, including ads. Potential subjects from our internal database who have provided agreement to be contacted will be called by the research staff. Those identified via EDW will be contacted once permission is granted by their physician, either by phone or by an IRB-approved mailing to be generated. Potential subjects may also be recruited online through websites including but not limited to ClinicalTrials.gov; sites for professionals who see patients with low back pain or related conditions; social media websites such as Facebook and Twitter; and/or people with low back pain or related conditions. This study will also use another online recruitment tool called Research Match, which is a secure online, national recruitment tool that is maintained by Vanderbilt University. ResearchMatch.org allows researchers to conduct feasibility or recruit potential study participants.

If potential subjects are interested in the study, they will be able to contact the research staff via the telephone number given on the website or click on a link to complete a brief online screener. To facilitate the recruitment of similar studies on low back pain conducted by Dr. Apkarian's lab at Northwestern, participants who are screened will be asked if they are interested in learning about and participating in other studies on low back pain. If participants agree, they will be referred to and pre-screened for the other low back pain studies.

#### 3.4 Screening

Before a person can be enrolled in the study and participate in Visit 1 (Screening Visit), s/he must first go through a maximum of two screening procedures over the phone. For potential participants who are recruited through community, online (including ClinicalTrials.gov), and flier/ad-based methods, the telephone number they contact will direct them to either NUCATS or research personnel associated with this study. NUCATS will go over a very brief pre-screening phone interview with interested individuals to verify that they are eligible to participate in the study at the most basic level (for example, verifying that they don't have any comorbid pain conditions and average pain prior to study enrollment). Those individuals who pass this pre-screening phone interview will have their contact information given to the study coordinators for a more thorough phone screening process. For these potential subjects and for individuals being recruited from the databases mentioned in 3.3, research coordinators will contact them and ask a series of more detailed questions to verify eligibility to enroll. This phone screening will go over all inclusion and exclusion criteria as indicated in the protocol, and it will also briefly explain the purpose of the study and the general outline the study. If the individual is eligible and still interested in participating at the end of this longer phone screening, the coordinator will schedule a time for them to come in for Visit 1. Additional screening procedures will happen at the first and second visits (for example, a pain rating >5/10 and discontinuation of contradictory medicines), and final eligibility will not be determined until the day before the first scan at visit 3.

#### 4.0 TREATMENT PLAN AND STUDY INTERVENTION

#### 4.1 Treatment Dosage and Administration

4.1.1 **Placebo Treatment:** Placebo capsules (gel caps filled with lactose NF), which will look identical to the active treatment capsules (see below), will be taken twice daily during treatment periods. During Phase 2, after a initial rating period and a 2-3 week washout of any of participant's current pain medications, participants will take placebo orally bid for 6 consecutive weeks (coming in every 3 weeks for follow-up visits and medication refills), followed by an additional 3 week washout period before study completion. One placebo

pill will be capsulated similarly to naproxen and the other will be encapsulated similarly to omeprazole.

The manufacturer for the placebo is Humco. The capsule shells (hard gelatin) will be manufactured by Capsugel (Coni Snap Size 00). Capsugel provides a certificate of analysis with each capsule batch ordered. Capsugel manufactures gelatin capsules from gelatin that complies with BSE requirements. Capsugel provides confidential detailed information about facilities, processes, articles used in manufacturing, processing, packaging, and storing of empty capsules through Type IV (Excipient) Drug Master Files submitted to the FDA. Inactive ingredients are cellulose and gelatin. Placebo capsules also contain FDC Blue #1 and FDC White.

- 4.1.2 Active treatment: 1 capsule of naproxen (500mg) and 1 capsule of omeprazole (20mg) will be taken orally twice daily based on the protocol for Phase 2 outlined in [3.1.1]. Each of these pills is encapsulated differently to avoid potential confusion when taking the medication. The active ingredient for the Naproxen tablets manufactured by Teva Pharmaceuticals or Amneal Pharmaceuticals, and the active ingredient for omeprazole is manufactured by Kremers. The capsule shells for both naproxen and omeprazole are manufactured by Capsugel and are the same material, size and color as those for the placebo (see 3.1.1. above).
- 4.1.3 **No-treatment:** For individuals assigned to the no treatment group, they will have the opportunity at the end of the study (when the study is un-blinded and when the efficacy results have been analyzed) to try the study medication that worked the best in alleviating back pain in the other participants, regardless of whether it was the NSAID or the placebo. If requested, this medication will be given to them at the same dosage and frequency that was used in the study, and the amount provided will last 6 weeks.
- 4.1.4 **Acquisition:** The study medications lactose NF, Naproxen, and Omeprazole will be purchased by the Investigational Research Pharmacy at NMH, 251 E Huron St. LC-700, Chicago, IL 60611. Lactose NF will be purchased in bulk and the naproxen and omeprazole as capsules from the manufacturers noted above.
- 4.1.5 **Formulation, Packaging, and Labeling:** Over-encapsulation is conducted in the Investigational Pharmacy on a segregated and cleaned countertop. Active capsules are prepared by putting the active drug capsule inside an empty gel cap and further filling it with lactose. Placebo capsules are made by filling an empty gel cap with lactose.
- 4.1.6 **Product Storage and Stability**: Study agents will be stored at temperatures between 68-77 °F. Shelf life for Placebo and Omeprazole is 6 months; shelf life for Naproxen is 6 months. Shelf life for the rescue medication (acetaminophen) will be determined by the expiration date provided on the purchased bottles. Study medications will be stored and monitored at the Research Pharmacy; rescue medication will be stored in a designated locked filing cabinet in a locked room and will be monitored daily via temperature and accountability logs.
- 4.1.7 Accountability Procedures: Study product will be purchased by Northwestern Memorial Hospital Investigational Pharmacy for over-encapsulation; the rescue medication Acetaminophen will be bought in bulk from a nearby Walgreens pharmacy by the research staff. A defined quantity of capsules of study agent (naproxen, omeprazole, and placebo) will be supplied by NMH Investigational Pharmacy for distribution to participants. Each bottle of study agent will be filled with 52 capsules of the study agent designated by the randomization scheme this number was calculated based on having two consecutive 3-week (21 day) treatment periods plus the additional 5 day possible visit window (26 days) multiplied by two pills a day; participants would receive a set of 2 bottles (one with 52 blue pills and another with 52 bi-colored pills) at visit 3 and another set of 2 bottles and visit 4. Regarding rescue medication, 8 pills of acetaminophen

(500mg each) will be provided at visits 1 through visit 5 by the research staff. This low number is to diminish the likelihood that participants will have a placebo response to the rescue medication and encourage them to only use the medication IF they truly need it.

Participants will be required to record all medication usage using the phone/computer app. These data are immediately logged into our server, which provides us routine overview of participants' participation and compliance, and further enhances retention of participants. If participants repeatedly miss entering these values, they are contacted by phone and instructed for compliance. A member of the research staff, unblinded monitor (Taha Abdullah, Back up: Ian Lukidis), will contact those participants who are noncompliant with phone app ratings. Thus she will be the only staff member who will be unblinded to treatment allocation (treatment vs no treatment) Participants will be asked to return all unused study medication for each treatment visit and it will be accounted by the research pharmacy. An overall usage rate of 80% is required to be considered compliant; if a participant falls below this percentage, we will still keep them in the study but we may or may not end up using their data for future analyses. A final inventory at study conclusion will be compared with drug supplied, drug participant recorded taking. drug participants actually took, and drug returned. Returned medication will be destroyed through NMH pharmacy drug disposal services after final accountability is determined. The pharmacy will be responsible for updating and checking the study agent accountability logs. Rescue medication will only be dispensed for visits 1-5 and accountability will be determined by the research pharmacy and documented in a rescue agent accountability log. Returned medication will be destroyed through NMH pharmacy drug disposal service after final accountability is determined.

4.1.8 Concomitant Medications/Treatments: Participants must discontinue all concomitant pain medications prior to starting study treatment. Participants will be required to restrict all medications for relief of pain to only those provided during the course of this study (i.e., rescue medication, acetaminophen). Participants will be allowed to continue their existing non-pain medications as long as they are not exclusionary for study enrollment. Concomitant Medications/Treatments. Any changes in pain medication during the 30 days prior to visit 1 are not permitted, and a history of alcohol or drug abuse within the last 3 years is prohibited. Prior cannabinoid drug use is not exclusionary, but must be stopped for the duration of the study; if there is evidence that the participant is currently using cannabinoids or other recreational drugs while enrolled in the study, s/he will be dropped. Participants will be queried at each visit about other medications they are taken and any changes to this list. A cumulative log of medications will be kept in their designated study folder. Additionally, Northwestern University has an online database called eNOTIS, which is a web-based study enrollment log run by NUCATS that helps improve safety and security for participants. eNOTIS allows investigators to track participants involvement in other studies and in turn monitor if they are using other interventions from these studies at the same time. All participants are entered into eNOTIS at the beginning of the study so that we can record any of these possible interventions throughout the person's time in the study.

## 4.2 Duration of Therapy

Treatment may continue for 6 weeks or until:

- Inter-current illness prevents further administration of treatment;
- Unacceptable adverse event(s);
- Patient decides to withdraw from the study; **OR**
- General or specific changes in the patient's condition render the patient unacceptable for further treatment in the judgment of the investigator.

## 4.3 Intervention Discontinuation: Removal of Patients from Protocol Therapy

Subjects have the right to withdraw fully or partially from the study at any time and for any reason without prejudice to his or her future medical care by the physician or at the institution. Withdrawal of full consent for a study means that the subject does not wish to receive further investigational treatment and does not wish to or is unable to continue further study participation; subject data up to withdrawal of consent will be included in the analysis of the study. Any subject may withdraw full consent to participate in the study at any time during the study. The investigator will discuss with the subject appropriate procedures for withdrawal from the study.

Withdrawal of partial consent for a study means that the subject does not wish to take protocol-required interventions any longer but is still willing to collaborate in providing further data by continuing on study (e.g., participate in all subsequent study visits or procedures). Subjects may decline to continue receiving interventions at any time during the study. If this occurs, the investigator will discuss with the subject appropriate procedures for withdrawal from protocol-required therapies (since this is placebo, there will be not withdrawal period; they can simply stop treatment). Should a subject (or a legally acceptable representative) request or decide to withdraw from the study, all efforts will be made to complete and report the observations as thoroughly as possible up to the date of withdrawal. All information should be reported on the applicable forms.

Reasons for intervention discontinuation might include:

- partial withdrawal of consent
- withdrawal of full consent
- administrative decision by the investigator
- pregnancy in a female subject (cannot be scanned)
- sudden ineligibility due to another intervention, accident, etc
- significant protocol deviation
- patient noncompliance, which may include but is not limited to:
  - not taking the study medication (if applicable) according to the instructions given this will be monitored according to the phone/computer apps and in-person visits; if a participant has multiple indications of medication non-compliance, s/he will be disqualified and the intervention will be stopped
  - 2. taking non-approved concomitant medication (section 4.2)
    - a. this will be monitored according to the phone/computer apps, in-person visits, and eNOTIS
  - 3. not completing required study tasks, such as the daily phone/computer application
    - a. more specifically, if a participant misses 3 consecutive ratings, the research coordinator will be informed and s/he will call the person to remind them;
- AE
- other safety concerns by the investigator or NCCIH
- death
- lost to follow-up

If a patient's intervention is discontinued, the Principal Investigator will be informed, the reason for study removal will be documented, and the date the patient was removed will be listed in the Case Report Form.

#### 4.4 Subject Retention and Ensuring an Adequate Sample Size

Although accrual will be monitored on a quarterly basis according to our DSMP, at designated time points throughout each aim of the study, we will assess whether or not we need to recruit additional participants. For Phase 2 this will be done at the half-way point and repeated for every 2 blocks until completion. At these times, if there is not a large enough drop-out rate to significantly reduce our sample size or power, we will not attempt to replace participants and continue with analysis without any replacement strategy. However, if the drop-out rate is larger than expected and lack of study retention causes us to need to increase our sample size, we will recruit additional participants and have NUCATS randomize accordingly until we have enough

participants in each group to do adequate analyses. In other words, we will continue to enroll subjects until we have an adequate number that meet our criteria, using the original randomization list. As addressed previously, we have specific procedures to help minimize subject dropout and missing data. These include the collection of detailed contact information from subjects, maintaining communications logs regarding our contacts with subjects, and a "study identity" that we will use in various communications with subjects (e.g., phone, email, letters). The computer/phone application mentioned previously will also aid us in retaining and tracking our subjects, as well as keeping up with data collection. As subjects drop out of the study, we will document reasons for dropout and address any procedural issues that may be related to dropout. At regular team meetings, we will review the number and percentage of missed assessments. Even with our data-retention plan, there will of course be missing data over time. We will compare subjects with and without missing data to determine whether any subject characteristics are systematically related to missing data. All relationships over time can be analyzed using hierarchical linear modeling (HLM), which provides maximum likelihood estimates for model parameters when data are missing at random. This model is a mixed-effects repeated measures (MMRM) analyses that includes data over time nested within participants. Participantlevel variables are included in the model. For this model, participant level variables will be Maia Emotion, Erg Suppress, and sign permutation, just as in the logistic regression model (described above). HLM allows one to model the trajectory of change within subjects, and then determine the effects of covariates (e.g., treatment group) on this trajectory. The exact model tested will be:

 $PAIN_{ii} = \beta_{00} + \beta_{01}*MAIA\_EMO_i + \beta_{02}*ERQ\_SUPP_i + \beta_{03}*SIGN\_PER_i + \beta_{10}*DAY_{ii} + \beta_{11}*MAIA\_EMO_i*DAY_{ii} + \beta_{12}*ERQ\_SUPP_i*DAY_{ii} + \beta_{13}*SIGN\_PER_i*DAY_{ii} + r_{0i} + r_{1i}*DAY_{ii} + e_{ii}$ 

In the equation above, *PAIN*<sub>ti</sub> is the average pain rating for the *t*th day for the *i*th participant. *r* and *e* terms are error terms. Parameters will be solved for by maximum likelihood estimation. It should be noted that all aspects of this model will be inspected in Phase 2 and alternative models will be examined as needed. This includes models with more complex pain trajectories (e.g., non-linear change over time), and models with additional covariates and/or interactions among covariates

#### 5.0 STUDY PROCEDURES

#### 5.1 Screening and Enrollment Procedures

Assessments performed exclusively to determine eligibility for this study will be done only after obtaining informed consent. Assessments performed for clinical indications (not exclusively to determine study eligibility) may be used for baseline values even if the studies were done before informed consent was obtained.

All screening procedures must be performed within 28 days prior to randomization unless otherwise stated. The screening procedures include:

#### 5.1.1 Informed Consent

Read and discuss informed consent form (ICF) and receive signature from participant and study personnel asking for consent. An ICF template is attached to the appendix of this protocol.

#### 5.1.2 Medical history

Complete medical and surgical history, history of infections

#### 5.1.3 **Demographics**

Age, gender, race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status

#### 5.1.4 Review subject eligibility criteria

See section 2.0 above

#### 5.1.5 Review previous and concomitant medications

See section 3.2 above

#### 5.1.6 Physical exam including vital signs, height and weight

Vital signs (temperature, pulse, respirations, blood pressure), height, weight

#### 5.1.7 Review of pain intensity stability

Pain levels  $\geq$  5/10 intensity are required to be reported prior to study entry. This criteria must be maintained on average for 2 weeks during the baseline rating period in order to continue in the study at visit 2.

#### 5.1.8 Adverse event assessment

N/A for Screening; AEs will be collected at Visit 2 and all further visits. See section 6.0 and DSMP (in appendix) for Adverse Event monitoring and reporting.

#### 5.2 **Procedures During Treatment**

#### 5.2.1 Schedule of Events for all participants

- Visit 1 (week-3): Consent, screening, and baseline pain/mood monitoring (45 min). Participants will complete the informed consent process and are evaluated for initial inclusion/exclusion criteria, and they will be asked to assess current back pain intensity on a VAS scale. They will be asked to assess current back pain intensity on a VAS scale (0-10mm, no pain to worst possible pain). A medical/pain history and physical examination including vitals will be completed. Blood will be drawn for an initial screen to obtain a complete blood count, chemistry panel, and liver function tests (data reviewed by TJS). Participants will be asked to discontinue their current pain medications ~14 days (2 weeks) prior to their first scanning visit. Participants will receive acetaminophen (rescue medication) at the time of the visit. They will be shown how to use the smart phone/computer application (and given a phone if necessary), and they will be instructed to rate their pain and mood with the app two times a day for the duration of the study. Participants will complete questionnaires and return within 2 weeks for the first MR imaging scans. This length of time is adequate to allow scheduling and permit flexibility for subjects' preferences, and to ensure that pain levels persist at or above 5/10 VAS collected from the pain rating app and that participants do not significantly respond (>20% decrease) to the acetaminophen rescue medication. In addition, the entry interview will be conducted at this visit.
- Visit 2 (week -1): <u>Baseline Scan</u> (90 min).

Approximately 14 days after visit 1, participants will return for their second visit. If a VAS score of > 5 mm on average over the course of the visit 1-visit 2 rating period is calculated and no changes in clinical status are reported or found, they complete a battery of questionnaires and undergo MRI procedures (anatomical and functional scans). Subjects will be queried about changes to health experienced since the last visit and asked if they exceeded 4 acetaminophen per day. In addition one of the pictures for visit 2, 4, and 5 will be conducted (see section 13.III). They will be asked to continue their phone/computer app ratings and return in approximately one week for visit 3. Participants will receive only rescue medication in sufficient quantities until the next visit. Additionally, participants will be randomized into a treatment versus no-treatment group at this time (information that the pharmacy will produce and keep).

• Interim between Visit 2 and Visit 3: Group classification Based on Predicted Response

In between visits 2 and 3, participant's scans will be analyzed by extracting the functional connectivity between frontal and sensorimotor networks (called "sign permutation" in Equation 1) and 2 questionnaire subscores will be calculated (MAIA emotion and ERQ suppression in Equation 1); all 3 parameters will then be entered into Equation 1. Based on the results of the model specified on page 10, participants will be classified as either placebo non-responders (probability < 0.5) or placebo responders (probability  $\ge 0.5$ ).

After baseline scan, the subjects' MR images and data will be collected by research personnel and then will be given to a single member, the blinded assessor (Dr. Huang) who will have no interaction with the participants, therefore will remain blinded. The research personnel responsible for conducting the visit will download the MAIA and ERQ questionnaires from the REDCap database and calculate the scores for MAIA-Emotion and ERQ-suppression subscales to be used in the model.

(1) All MR images collected at the baseline scan will be uploaded to the server and pre-processed within 24 hours of the visit using our in-house pipeline.

(2) The blinded assessor will be responsible for initiating the preprocessing of the brain images and extracting the connectivity values between frontal and sensorimotor regions ("sign permutation value"). This extraction will be done within 4 days after the MRI was collected, which provides enough time to calculate this connectivity and the likelihood of response (see below), as well as adequate time to give the result to the pharmacy (see below).

(3) After obtaining the connectivity values, the blinded assessor will enter these along with the 2 questionnaire subscores into the model on page 10 to determine subject group identification. The model generates a response likelihood from 0 to 1, and those individuals with a p-value  $\geq 0.5$  will be labeled as responders; all others will be labeled as non-responders.

(4) After response group identification, the blinded assessor will then send an email with subject ID, classification group, and randomization code to the Northwestern University Research Pharmacy email <u>NMInvestigationalDrugService@nm.org</u>. The research pharmacy will have two independent randomization sequences for responders (Group A) and non-responders (Group B). Each group independently will be allocated in a 3:3:1 ratio (active treatment, placebo treatment, and no treatment, respectively) by the research pharmacy. Therefore, the pharmacist will be unblinded to identification and treatment allocation.

The unblinded monitor who is responsible for phone app compliance will be partially unblinded, remaining blinded to active vs placebo assignments but unblinded with respect to treatment vs no treatment groups, as the pain rating app will query participants "Have you taken today's medication?" The remaining staff members will be completely blinded to both treatment vs no-treatment allocation and within the treatment allocation to active vs placebo treatments

A one-week interim period was chosen to allow enough time for selected study staff to run the prediction analysis and send this information to the pharmacy, as well provide another week of additional baseline pain app ratings. The duration of this period might be slightly longer or shorter depending upon how quickly the model is run, but it will be within the visit window specified in this protocol, which is  $\pm$  5 days

• Visit 3 (week 0): <u>Start of Treatment Period (</u>30-45 min). Once classification has been made with regard to responder vs non-responder status participants will return for the next visit (V3). A set of questionnaires will be administered and participants will be queried about changes to health experienced since the last visit. Vitals will also be collected. Participants who remain eligible will then be randomized to active treatment, placebo, or no treatment groups in a blinded fashion, which will be completed by the research pharmacy. The unblinded monitor will pick up study drug from the pharmacy prior to visit 3, if the participant is assigned to the treatment arm. Additionally, participants will receive only rescue medication in sufficient quantities until the next visit. The unblinded monitor will explain the medication regimen to the participant at that time. This is to ensure that the remaining study staff remain blind to treatment versus no-treatment group assignment. The placebo group, made up of predicted responders and non-responders, will receive two placebo capsules bid, and the active treatment group, also made up of predicted responders and non-responders, will receive one naproxen capsule (500mg) and one omeprazole capsule (20 mg) bid for the treatment period. Study medication will be dispensed in sufficient quantities until the next visit. The no treatment group and all participants in all groups will receive rescue medication by the research pharmacy in sufficient guantities to last them until the next visit. They will be asked to continue their daily pain/mood ratings, and to come back in 3 weeks for an interim visit, bringing back any remaining study medication at that time which will be accounted for by the research pharmacy.

Visit 4 (week 3): Continuing Assessment (30-45 min).

This visit will be completed entirely by the unblinded monitor; there is no clinical data being collected here – only safety data. All procedures will be performed as described for Visit 3. Adherence will be assessed by pill counting for those on treatment group, and participants will be queried about any side effects experienced. In addition one of the pictures for visit 2, 4, and 5 will be conducted (see section 13.III). Study medication and rescue medication will be dispensed in sufficient quantities until the next visit, as described in Visit 3. They will be asked to continue using the phone/computer app and to come back in 3 weeks for their end-of treatment (final) scan.

- Visit 5 (week 6): End of Treatment/Start of Washout and Final Scan (60-90 min): Participants will undergo the same brain scanning session that they had on Visit 2, and all questionnaires, AE assessment, and treatment medication adherence procedures will be performed as they were in previous visits. Participants will be asked to stop the study medication (if applicable) and to start the washout period, and they will only be given rescue medication at this visit by the research staff (enough to last them until the last visit). In addition one of the pictures for visit 2, 4, and 5 will be conducted (see section 13.III). They will be asked to continue rating their pain and mood on the app and to return for the final visit in 3 weeks. Note that apart from the scanning session and administration of questionnaires via REDCap, all other aspects of this visit will be completed by the unblinded monitor to aid in the maintenance of the double-blind on the part of the study staff.
- Visit 6 (week 9): <u>Final Visit/End of Washout</u> (30-45 min): Any changes to health will be documented, and vitals will be collected. A short battery of questionnaires will be administered and participants will be compensated for all app ratings completed during the study.
- Interim periods: During weeks in between visits, participant data will be tracked with the assistance of a smart phone/computer application designed for the study (available through a secure website). Depending upon preference, we will download an app onto participants' phones or give them a link to use on their computers; in the case that a participant does not have a smart phone or easy access to a computer/internet, we will provide him/her with a smart phone that has the app already installed. Participants will be given a login and will be asked to use this application twice a day, morning and night for baseline and washout and right after they take their medication for treatment (if applicable). The app asks them to rate the severity of their pain and their mood on a Likert scale, and probes them as to

whether or not they took their medication. This will allow us to keep track of the stability and magnitude of pain and mood, as well as treatment compliance. To encourage them to keep up with this requirement, each time they submit these answers, they will receive 25 cents. Responses and payments will be logged automatically into a secure database that only study personnel will have access to; if participants miss more than 3 consecutive ratings in a row, research personnel will call or text them to remind them to rate or verify that their app is still working (they are automatically alerted via email by a program designed by the lab). The unblinded monitor designated for this study will check participants ratings bi-weekly to verify that ratings are being completed.

• <u>Follow up</u>: Patients will be asked if they wish to be added to our contact list to participate in future studies of the lab. They will also be asked if they accept to be contacted by phone 3 months and 6 months later to assess the long-term effects of the study intervention on their chronic pain intensity.

#### 5.3 Randomization:

All randomization (and blinding, see next section) will be completed by NUCATS (see study roster in Location 2 for name of personnel). NUCATS will generate two randomization sequences, one for each group (predicted responders, Group A, and non-responders, Group B). This approach will ensure the proper 3:3:1 treatment allocation. We will use a variable block design (size of 5 and size of 10), throughout Phase 2 of the study to minimize temporal bias that can accompany longitudinal scanning studies. We don't anticipate much exclusion after randomization and enrollment based on our previous studies (although screen failures during the run-in are likely due to pain level or pathology lab results, for example. The Phase 2 overall sample size is 210 randomized 3:3:1, therefore n = 90 active treatment (n = 45 responders/non-responders), n = 90 placebo treatment (n = 45 responders/non-responders), and n = 30 no treatment (n = 15 responders/non-responders). We expect an attrition rate of ~33% for a final N = 140.

#### 5.4 Blinding Procedures

We describe this study as a 3-arm randomized controlled trial in which two treatment arms are double blinded and the third arm consists of a no-treatment group that is partially unblinded to only the unblinded monitor (who will be unblinded to treatment arm but blinded to treatment identity). Other than this person, all the remaining personnel conducting the study, collecting study endpoints, and analyzing data, including the PI, will be blind to the allocation of participants to study arm, response prediction, and treatment. All pills will be identically encapsulated to ensure blinding for study participants who receive treatment. All pills will be identical in size but there will be two colors of masking encapsulation - blue and bi-colored. This is to help the participant remember to take two different tablets (naproxen/placebo and omeprazole/placebo) at each time and thus reduce the possibility of ingesting two identical pills. The only person who will know which capsules contain active vs placebo will be the unblinded pharmacist responsible for ordering the medication, re-encapsulating the agent, filling the numbered medication vials with the appropriate drug and number of capsules, and handling drug accountability. This person will have no other involvement with study staff or be involved with any study procedures. Unmasking should not be required during the study. If allocation assignment needs to be made available, this will be done based on the circumstances outlined above. If there should be a question regarding proper allocation of treatment product, it would be possible to ascertain placebo from active drug product by opening the treatment capsules and determining if there were an enclosed tablet present. This will be prevented by sealing of all capsules prior to their being placed in treatment vials for dispensing. As mentioned above, the blinded assessor inquiring about medication compliance and adverse events will remain blinded to the treatment arm as the other study staff are, but will not be blinded to treatment versus no-treatment group assignment.

Randomization and drug allocation based on this scheme will be completed by NUCATS and Northwestern's Research Pharmacy, respectively, to ensure blinding. A pharmacist not involved in any other part of the study and without access to study data will be responsible for dispensing the study medication into bottles and labeling these vials with the PIDs according to the design implemented and drug accountability for both rescue and study agents. The randomization list will be maintained by the pharmacy and be made available to the study PI and study physician only in case of emergency. Un-blinding will only occur if knowledge of the treatment arm would be essential for the safety of the participant. None of the study staff will have access to the randomization list and all study supplies will be identical in appearance other than the PID. All study staff, including the statistician, will remain blinded until database lock. Un-blinding will not take place until the end of the study when the final neuroimaging contrasts will be performed. Preliminary analyses of the data will also be conducted in a blinded fashion using an automated method in which all participants are processed in the same way. An initial platform of analyses including pre-processing, regressors for spontaneous pain episodes, and brain connectivity during resting states can easily be created while remaining blind to the intervention. These preliminary analyses will be first be re-coded and scrambled by someone in the lab who has no other connection to the study, which thus allows other study personnel using this data to remain blinded during preliminary analysis and throughout the study's entirety. Additionally, since there is a no treatment group, measures to continue the partial blind (on the end of the research team) will be done. For the duration of the study, separate personnel (a designated unblinded monitor) will be involved in organizing participant folders, printing questionnaire responses, evaluating interim responses, adverse events, and medication compliance, and walking with participants to collect their medication. This is to ensure that those collecting and analyzing the data can maintain their blind not only to treatment type but also to whether or not treatment was given. Other than the pharmacist and unblinded monitor, the rest of the study staff will remain totally double-blinded and will only be involved in scanning procedures, and sending guestionnaires online.

#### 5.5 Visit Windows

Not all participants will be able to come back for their next visit exactly 7 or 21 days from the previous visit. To account for holidays and individuals' personal schedules, the acceptable window for each visit will be  $\pm$  5 days from the target date. This window will also be incorporated into pharmacy ordering and pill counts to ensure sufficient amounts of study medication between visits.

#### 6.0 RESPONSE CRITERIA

Treatment response is defined as a reduction in VAS pain intensity > or equal to 20% from visit 1visit 2 pain ratings, or by permutation testing for change in pain rating by 0.05 p-value. Such individuals are considered treatment "responders," whereas "non-responders" are defined as individuals who experience increased or stable pain levels throughout treatment periods, including reductions in pain < 20% of baseline pain intensity.

#### 7.0 ADVERSE EVENTS AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT

#### 7.1 Adverse Event Collection

- 7.1.1 We will collect all adverse reactions reported for all treatment groups. We collect all AEs (even colds and coughs or any preexisting condition that may have worsened) regardless if they are related to the study or not. Our IRB guidelines state "any change in clinical condition of the participant obtained upon interaction with the participant during the visit or interval, related or unrelated to the study. Health status will be elicited by asking the participants if there has been any change in their overall health or health status rather than asking specifically regarding predefined conditions (open-ended questioning)".
- 7.1.2 All AEs will be reported to Dr. Thomas Schnitzer, the study physician, for review and appropriate follow-up.

#### 7.2 Adverse Event Monitoring

Adverse event data collection and reporting, which are required as part of every clinical trial, are done to ensure the safety of subjects enrolled in the studies, as well as those who will enroll in future studies using similar agents. Adverse events are reported in a routine manner at scheduled times during a trial. Additionally, certain adverse events must be reported in an expedited manner to allow for optimal monitoring of patient safety and care.

All patients experiencing an adverse event, regardless of its relationship to study compound/drug, will be monitored until:

- the adverse event resolves or the symptoms or signs that constitute the adverse event return to baseline;
- > any abnormal laboratory values have returned to baseline;
- there is a satisfactory explanation other than the study compound/drug for the changes observed; or
- death.

The reporting of adverse events, serious adverse events and unanticipated problems will be done according to the guidelines of the Northwestern University IRB and the FDA\*. As of August 20. 2007, Northwestern University IRB requires immediate filing of internal or external adverse events reports, or safety reports only if they have been determined by the Principal Investigator to contain a report of unanticipated problems involving risks to subjects or others. NU considers unanticipated problems, in general, to include any adverse event, incident, experience, or outcome that meets all of the following criteria: (1) Unexpected (in terms of nature, severity, or frequency) given: (a) the research procedures that are described in the protocol-related documents, such as the IRB-approved research protocol and informed consent document; and (b) the characteristics of the subject population being studied. 1. The known or foreseeable risk of adverse events associated with the procedures involved in the research that are described in: a) the protocol-related documents, such as the IRB-approved research protocol, any applicable investigator brochure, and the current IRB-approved informed consent document; and b) other relevant sources of information, such as product labeling and package inserts; or 2. The expected natural progression of any underlying disease, disorder, or condition of the subject(s) experiencing the adverse event and the subject's predisposing risk factor profile for the adverse event. (2) Related or possibly related to participation in the research (in this guidance document, possibly related means there is a reasonable possibility that the incident, experience, or outcome may have been caused by the procedures involved in the research); and (3) Suggests that the research places subjects or others at a different or greater risk of harm (including physical, psychological, economic, or social harm) than was previously known or recognized.

#### NOTE:

The following events are considered a serious adverse event and would place subjects at a greater risk of harm:

• results in death;

• is life-threatening (places the subject at immediate risk of death from the event as it occurred);

• results in inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization;

results in a persistent or significant disability/incapacity;

• results in a congenital anomaly/birth defect; or

• based upon appropriate medical judgment, may jeopardize the subject's health and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the other outcomes listed in this definition (examples of such events include allergic bronchospasm requiring intensive treatment in the emergency room or at home, blood dyscrasias or convulsions that do not result in inpatient hospitalization, or the development of drug dependency or drug abuse).

Unanticipated problems involving risks to subjects or others should be reported to the IRB within 10 working days (unanticipated deaths of subjects enrolled at NU or Affiliates need to be reported within 24 hours).

All adverse events deemed to be serious, both unexpected and expected, will be reported to the medical monitor.

The medical monitor (Dr. Thomas J Schnitzer) is required to review all unanticipated problems involving risk to volunteers or others, serious adverse events and all volunteer deaths associated with the protocol and provide an unbiased written report of the event to the FDA\*. The medical monitor will comment on the outcomes of the event or problem and in the case of a serious adverse event or death comment on the relationship to participation in the study. The medical monitor will also indicate whether he/she concurs with the details of the report provided by the study investigator. Reports for events determined by either the investigator or medical monitor to be possibly or definitely related to participation and reports of events resulting in death will be promptly forwarded to the FDA MedWatch Online Reporting System. Note that since the study is not being conducted under an Investigational New Drug Application (IND), mandatory reporting of safety information to the FDA itself is not required. Instead, voluntary reports of adverse events observed or suspected for human medical products will be filed in the online system specified here.

A summary table of adverse events collected will be submitted at least annually to the Northwestern IRB. Additionally, NCCIH will be informed of serious and non-serious adverse events according to the requirements outlined section 6.2.1 below.**7.2.1. Reporting of SAEs** 

SAEs that are unanticipated, serious, and possibly related to the study intervention will be reported to the Independent Monitor, IRB, and NCCIH in accordance with requirements.

- Anticipated or unrelated SAEs will be handled in a less urgent manner but will be reported to the Independent Monitor, IRB, NCCIH, and other oversight organizations in accordance with their requirements.
- Unexpected fatal or life-threatening AEs related to the intervention will be reported to the NCCIH Program Officer within 7 days. Other nonserious and unexpected AEs related to the intervention will be reported to the NCCIH Program Official within 14 days.

#### 7.3 Unblinding Procedures

Unblinding the study therapy is not commonly necessary but may be done if required to ensure a subject's safety. In most cases, the unblinding will be part of managing an SAE, and will be reported with the SAE. In cases where unblinding was not associated with an SAE, such actions will be reported in a timely manner (e.g., notification of IRB within 24 hours by phone or fax, followed by a written narrative of the event within 48 hours.). Otherwise, un-blinding will not be done until each phase of the study is complete (that is, when Phase 2 is finished running all enrolled participants, we can unblind the data).

#### 7.4 Stopping Rules/Intervention Discontinuation

This study will be stopped prior to completion if: (1) the intervention is associated with adverse effects that call into question the safety of the intervention; (2) difficulty in study recruitment or retention will significantly impact the ability to evaluate the study endpoints; (3) any new information becomes available during the trial that necessitates stopping the trial; or (4) other situations occur that might warrant stopping the trial.

#### 8.0 STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### 8.1 Summaries of Design, Endpoints, and Analysis

#### 8.1.1 Phase II: Study Design/Study Endpoints

Enroll and randomize 220 CBP patients into the study. Stratify into placebo responders and nonresponders based on brain scan results at entry. We anticipate that about 50% of the patients will be placebo responders. All participants are randomized so that 40 receive placebo treatment, 40 active medication, and 20 no treatment. If Gender Supplement is awarded then randomization is slightly different: 60 receive placebo, 60 active medication, and 20 no treatment.

We assume that placebo responders and non-responders will show differential interaction with active medication treatment. We also expect to distinguish the four groups based on brain activity at the end of the trial, and show close correspondence between predicted and actual outcomes regarding placebo responses. Additionally, placebo non-responders should show a robust medication response, especially in comparison to the placebo non-responders receiving placebo treatment. Brain activity contrasts are done as a two-way (treatment groups) repeated measures (time: start vs. end) ANOVA (2RM-ANOVA). This is the procedure we implemented recently in a longitudinal neuroimaging study of pain chronification (Baliki et al., 2012). Post-hoc comparisons then reveal: 1) A contrast of brain activity at end of treatment between placebo non-responders with and without medication treatment to identify brain activity for bottom-up modulation of chronic pain. 2) Brain regions related to chronic pain are identified as areas commonly decreased with placebo treatment for placebo responders and medication treatment for placebo non-responders. 3) Top-down placebo and bottom-up medication effects are distinguished by identifying regions that distinctly increase in activity for placebo and medication treatments based on contrasts for the same groups. 4) The no treatment group brain activity is used as a higher-level contrast, which effectively corrects for this confound.

#### 8.1.2 Sample Size and Accrual

Statistical power can be estimated based on the Cohen's d effect sizes for differences in pain with 6 weeks of treatment. For responders, based on our preliminary results, we anticipate a mean decrease of 2.5 units on a 0-10 scales with an estimated SD of 3, which results in an effect size estimate of 0.83. In non-responders, the mean decrease in pain is negligible and we do not expect to have enough power to detect this.

Power analyses in G\*Power 3.1.3 indicated that we will have ample power to detect pre-to-post changes within a group; with an estimated effect size of d = 0.8, power would exceed 95% with a sample of 23 participants. In terms of a between-group comparison, assuming an effect size estimate of d = 0.8 across groups, power would exceed 95% for a sample size of n = 42 per group. Thus, the total sample size of 210 would provide for adequate power, even accounting for

potential dropout and/or lower-then-expected effect sizes. Assuming that we recruited 70 participants per group, for example, would mean that we could detect between-group effects as small as d = 0.5 with power exceeding 80%.

Power analysis for gender: The preliminary gender analyses from phase 1 subjects provide the means to calculate the power necessary for detecting gender effects in placebo propensity. The original sample sizes from phase 1 were based on treating gender and age as covariates of no interest. Based on an anticipated medium-sized effect using Cohen's conventions (Cohen, 1992), calculations in chi2power in Stata indicated that for n=82 and for alpha = 0.05 we obtain power = 0.31. Instead if we double the number of subjects then we reach adequate detection power: assuming that males have 30% while females 50% placebo incidence rate, for alpha = 0.05 and n=160 we obtain just adequate power = 0.74. Therefore all data from phase 1 and 2 will be combined to assess effect of gender on placebo response.

Our previous fMRI results show that 20 per group should be adequate given the preliminary results and earlier studies. Also, 20 per group allows for 80+% statistical power for effect sizes of 1 SD difference between groups. For DTI and T1 studies our earlier studies indicate that 20/group for within-subject contrasts is adequate but may be just at the limit for whole-brain contrasts for detecting between-group differences. We can overcome this obstacle by using targeted analyses of relevant brain areas. For example, simply removing the cerebellum and occipital cortex voxels from such analyses results in improving on multiple comparison cost by a factor of 2.

The results from Phase 1 affirm the above power calculations. Moreover they indicate that increasing the n for each of the treatment groups by 50% (as proposed in the gender supplement application) can lead to identifying effects that currently remain either non-significant or borderline significant.

#### 8.2 Data Analysis Plans

**Summary**: For brain imaging contrasts, fMRI results show that 20 per group should be adequate given the preliminary results and earlier studies. For DTI and T1 studies our earlier studies indicate that 20/group for within subject contrasts is adequate but may be just at the limit for whole brain contrasts for detecting between group differences. In **Phase 2/Aim 2**, we hypothesize that brain parameters will predict strength of treatment response among the placebo stratified group. The n= 20 per group provides adequate sample size for effect sizes of about 1.0.

Given the robust results we have seen already in Phase 1, experimental design and data collection and analysis methods will closely follow the methods we used in Phase 1. To this end, brain imaging data collection details will be identical in Phase 2, all preprocessing and quality control pipelines will remain the same and the same modality comparisons performed in Phase 1 will also be used in Phase 2. Moreover, again we will use a blinded data analysis approach, where for each analysis modality the blinded assessor (Dr. Huang) is not involved in any patient interaction throughout the course of the study and will provide three codes for responders and non-responders one of which is the correct result. This forces all analysis to be done across all three codes and the true code is unraveled only when final results are available for all three codes. This approach is more burdensome however it protects against bias and also reveals false positives (for example figure 7 in report). We have employed this strategy for phase 1 results.

Given the results from Phase 1 we have decreased the number of personality related questionnaires that failed to show even borderline relationships with placebo response. In addition, we have extended the washout period to three weeks, as in Phase 1 a one week washout was not long enough. Since the two secondary aims of Phase 2 are to show placebo and active drug interaction and persistence of clinical placebo response, we monitor all participants over a 12-week treatment (or no-treatment) period. This design provides us with all the same contrasts that we have available in Phase 1, in addition to looking at placebo propensity and response in relation to active treatment.

As power analysis shows that gender effect will require all data from Phase 1 and 2, we will combine outcomes from both phases to contrast prevalence of placebo response as a function of gender. If this hypothesis is validated then we perform exploratory analyses to distinguish between both brain and personality differences by gender.

For the language analysis, It has previously been shown that language can capture subtle changes and differences in a person's physiology and personality, and in some cases, language use can even be predictive of someone's future neuropsychological state (Bedi et al., 2015) Given chronic pain's dependence on an individual's neurology and psychology, we hypothesize that language use (specifically in the form of free speech) in chronic back pain patients will be able to not only track treatment response over the duration of the study but also predict patient's propensity to respond to placebo.

In order to study participant's language in time, we will record patient interviews at 4 points during the study (visits 1, 2, 4, and 5) using two different methodologies. At visit 1, we will utilize the first methodology and conduct a semi-structured open-ended baseline interview consisting of primary questions: The questions themselves are taken from sections of the exit interview utilized in the Phase 1 of the study (exploratory aim) and can be found on pages 45-46; the choice of questions is based on preliminary results from Phase 1 showing that the average semantic similarity of patient interviews to 4 different concepts of interest were able to differentiate previous placebo responders from non-responders at the end of the study (Berger et al, in preparation). In Phase 2, our goal is not only to differentiate responders from non-responders at the beginning of the trial using language. Interviews will be audio-recorded and later transcribed.

To control for inherent interview confounds (such as interpersonal interaction effects or attentionally-biased statements due to pain-related questions) as well as identify how a patient's speech changes over time as a consequence of their pain intensity (and related treatment effects), for visits 2, 4, and 5, we will use a second methodology to study participant's language. In these visits, we will ask patients to describe 1 out of a set of 3 pictures; these pictures will be selected randomly for each visit for all participants and no picture will be presented more than once per person. The pictures utilized have been selected from a set of validated, standardized measures used in the fields of psychology and linguistics to commonly study both divided attention (in autism and ADHD) and speech pathologies (in aphasias, Parkinson's disease, and Alzheimer's) (Carragher et al., 2015; Forbes-McKay & Venneri, 2005) each picture has a main scene with multiple actors, objects, and potential scenarios that a person can attend to and talk about. These pictures are being utilized in this study for four reasons: (1) they provide a language prompt that is not biased by either the topic of pain or by interviewer/interviewee personal dynamics. (2) they have been shown to pick up syntactic and semantic differences in language related to attention and speech effort (these aspects are important for our patients whose attention is constantly divided between the outside world and their continuous background pain). (3) there is a large body of previous speech samples from healthy participants and patients with various ailments that might be able to be used for standardized comparisons, and finally (4) the pictures are consistent enough in features while still being different, making them ideal to test over time (and therefore will allow us to correlate speech to pain metrics and other objectives before, during, and after treatment). Patients will be asked to describe each picture in as much detail as they can

#### Details:

**8.2.1** <u>Pain Response Assessment</u>: Phone app or computer pain ratings, NRS and pain memory will be used as in Phase 1 as main pain-related outcome measures. In addition pain related questionnaires may differentiate between placebo analgesia and treatment analgesia. Also the time course of change in these pain parameters can be tested whether they differentiate between the 4 treatment groups.

**8.2.2.** <u>Resting state</u>: During the resting state protocol, participants are asked to keep their eyes open and let their minds wander during the 10 minute scan. We use graph theoretical analyses of

functional resting state to assess the treatment on large-scale brain architecture. Phase 1 results use this approach and show that brain functional connectivity predicts placebo response. Several additional analyses can be used from resting state data to extract the topological properties of graph networks such as clustering, global efficiency, maximum modularity, and between-ness centrality (for detail see (Achard et al., 2012)). Brain networks identified and validated as reflecting placebo propensity can then be explored regarding their interaction with treatment, both as predictors and as responses.

**8.2.3** and **8.2.4**: <u>Fractional Anisotropy (FA) and Probablistic Tractography</u>: Both of these techniques are applied to the DTI scans that will be collected at the beginning of each part of the study to examine white matter structural integrity and connectivity. FA is an index of coherence of the orientation of water diffusion along a tract and is a measurement of overall tract integrity. FA is calculated voxel-wise for each participant over the whole-brain white matter skeleton, averaged over all participants in each group, and extracted to contrast between groups.

The Phase 1 analysis did not show a significant difference in FA relative to placebo response. This may be due to insufficient power, which can be tested in the larger cohort when we combine Phase 1 and Phase 2 DTI data. Given our earlier paper (Mansour et al., 2013) and the one from another group (Stein, Sprenger, Scholz, Wiech, & Bingel, 2012), white matter tracts may still be a strong predictor of functional brain properties and behavioral response related to the placebo effect. We will also use this approach to examine white matter connectivity both for Phase 1 and Phase 2 data. Additionally, we will also calculate radial and axial diffusivity values from this method. In contrast, probabilistic tractography is a seed-based measurement looking at structural connectivity (anatomical connections) between ROIs as a function of group. Direction of white matter patterns are calculated beginning at one seed in the mean FA skeleton and moving a small distance in the estimated direction of the next seed over several iterations until the tract is terminated; connectivity strength between ROIs can then be compared between groups. We have yet to perform this type of analyses for Phase 1 specific brain regions.

NODDI results pending-will attach once Etienne completes final analysis

**8.2.5.** <u>Subcortical Shape and Volumetric Analyses:</u> The Phase 1 results show that limbic brain hemispheric asymmetry is a predictor of placebo propensity. We will use the same measure to validate this result in Phase 2, using both FSL and Freesurfer measures. So far we only examined this unitary measure and have refrained to explore other subcortical shape and volume properties. With increased power we can begin such explorations, and test their relationship to relevant personality measures.

**8.2.6**: <u>Personality Analysis</u>: The dimensionality of the self-report items will be investigated using standard psychometric techniques to ensure that sets of items are sufficiently unidimensional and reliable. The primary test of whether scores from self-report questionnaires can predict treatment response can be investigated using logistic regression. The logistic regression model will be used to discern self-reported questionnaire items (MAIA and ERQ) in context of TOPPP (Tool for Placebo Propensity Prediction).

Phase 1 results identify a robust simple model based on personality that predicts placebo. We will use this or some small variant as our primary personality model to be validated in Phase 2. Additionally, we have kept some other questionnaires that should trend in being predictive and we can test their contribution in the larger cohort in Phase 2.

#### 8.2.7: Predictive Model/Classifier from Brain/Questionnaire Parameters:

The final model from Phase 1 will be used to categorize participants into responders and nonresponders. The mathematical details can be found on Page 10, and the identification will be a 1:1 ratio as mentioned in section 5.2.1. This then provides a robust validation for the generalizability of the model.

8.2.8: Language/Interview Analysis:

We will record patient interviews over 4 visits (visits 1, 2, 4, and 5). The first will be a short interview consisting of questions found on pages 44-45. In the remaining visits we will ask patients to describe 1 of a set of 3 pictures (randomly selected for each visit.

All audio and text files will be de-identified with the participant's subject id. Transcripts will be preprocessed according to standard Natural Language Processing (NLP) procedures, including parsing, lemmatizing, and stemming the data. Pre-processed, tokenized text will undergo basic language analyses including calculations of verbosity, unique vocabulary, lexical diversity, and other syntactic and morphological parameters (Hess et al., 1984) that can be used as features of interest or as general quality control measures.

For more in-depth analyses, text data will be converted into numerical data where each word is represented as its alphabetized index in the dictionary. These enumerated data will be fed through latent semantic analysis (LSA) to reduce the dimensionality of the data and transform the interviews into a vector space that can be then quantified in numerous ways (Landauer et al. 1997), including linguistic feature extraction based on singular value decomposition (SVD), calculation of semantic similarity (distance) to words of interest, and sentiment analyses, among others. These morphological, syntactic, and semantic language features will be used to (a) validate previous language results from Phase 1, (b) build additional multivariable models of placebo propensity based on language, (c) identify and quantify relationships between language and the neuroimaging and personality markers of placebo response, and (d) correlate changes in language with changes in pain quality and intensity in time before, during, and after pill treatment.

#### 9.0 STUDY MANAGEMENT, DATA COLLECTION, AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

#### 9.1 Institutional Review Board (IRB) Approval and Consent

It is expected that the IRB will have the proper representation and function in accordance with federally mandated regulations. The IRB should approve the consent form and protocol.

In obtaining and documenting informed consent, the investigator should comply with the applicable regulatory requirement(s), and should adhere to Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and to ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Before recruitment and enrollment onto this study, the patient will be given a full explanation of the study and will be given the opportunity to review the consent form (see section 9.2). Each consent form must include all the relevant elements currently required by the FDA Regulations and local or state regulations. Once this essential information has been provided to the patient and the investigator is assured that the patient understands the implications of participating in the study, the patient will be asked to give consent to participate in the study by signing an IRB-approved consent form (see 13.I).

Prior to a patient's participation in the trial, the written informed consent form should be signed and personally dated by the patient and by the person who conducted the informed consent discussion.

#### 9.2 Data Management and Monitoring/Auditing

Participants' safety will be closely monitored by the site clinical investigator and the principal investigator. Careful, periodic assessment of all safety data will be undertaken. An inclusion/exclusion criteria checklist will be reviewed for each subject enrolled to ensure that only appropriate subjects are included. Adverse events will be collected at each visit and tabulated by the coordinator during the trial. The site and principal investigators will meet on a monthly basis to review these data. Those that are unexpected and considered related will be reported to the IRB immediately. An annual report of study progress and adverse effects will be made. A detailed draft Data and Safety Monitoring Plan has been developed and is included below in section 13.V. This will be amended as needed and submitted to the Northwestern IRB prior to the accrual of any participants.

#### 9.3 Quality Assurance and Training

Lab members that previously participated in the acquisition of neuroimaging data will be responsible for collecting data at Northwestern University. All of these members have gone through various kinds of training, including but not limited to Protection of Human Subjects and HiPAA online courses, ethics training, collection and shipping of biological specimens, study management classes provided by the NU IRB, and MRI scanner operation training. All lab members that will be interacting with participants and collecting data have all be approved by the IRB as authorized personnel.

Quality control will be ensured throughout preprocessing of the imaging data after each scanning sessions. The metrics that will be assessed for quality control are head movements, scanner artifact, signal to noise ratio, and visual inspection of the images (most of which is done through an automated pipeline designed by our lab). All data will be identified with codes to maintain participants' confidentiality and managed by NUCAT who is responsible for the randomization of the participants. IRB and NCCIH will be informed in case of protocol deviation.

#### 9.4 Data Collection and Forms

All data collection will be done by IRB-approved authorized research personnel (see section 8.3 above). Data in this case is in the form of PHI, questionnaire answers, interview answers, and scans. Participant data (such as PHI) are recorded on paper (stored in coded binders, one per participant) and recorded online (all questionnaires) in a format created by the study team. Paper data is stored in a locked cabinet in a locked room that only study personnel have access to. Online questionnaire data is also automatically coded when participants begin to answer the forms, and answers are stored in a secure database (NUCATS REDCap database, which was recommended by NIH) that is password protected.. Data entry into REDcap will be completed by staff members conducting the visit. Audio recordings from interviews are coded at the start of the interview and are uploaded into coded folders that are password protected and stored in a secure database that only specific personnel have access to. Audio recordings will be kept permanently in the secure database and will have all potential identifying information removed prior to uploading onto the server. Scans collected from the MRI are burned on CDs or DVDs at the end of the session (using coded PIDs) and kept in the study binder (in a locked room). When this scan data is uploaded, it is put on a secure server. All of this confidentiality information can now be found in section 9.3. Note that in most instances, case report forms (CRFs) will not be used as source documents. REDCap access will be limited to only visit 1 to ensure documentation of consent and safe blood levels.

#### 10.0 PARTICIPANT RIGHTS

#### 10.1 IRB Review

This protocol and the informed consent document, as well as any subsequent modifications, will be reviewed and approved by the Northwestern IRB before beginning the study.

#### 10.2 Informed Consent

A signed consent form will be obtained from each participant. For participants who cannot consent for themselves, such as those with a legal guardian or power of attorney, this individual must sign the consent form. The consent form will describe the purpose of the study, the procedures to be followed, and the risks and benefits of participation. A copy will be given to each participant or legal guardian and this fact will be documented in the participant's record (i.e., we make a copy of the signed consent form for our study records). An example of an informed consent document can be found in the Supplements and Appendices section, 13.I.

#### 10.3 Confidentiality

Any data, specimens, forms, reports, audio recordings, and other records that leave the site will be identified only by a participant identification number (Participant ID – PID) to maintain confidentiality. Audio recordings will be stored on our database and will not be destroyed. All records will be kept in a locked file cabinet in a locked room that only specific study personnel have access to. All computer entry and networking programs will be done using PIDS only, and they will be done using a secure server. Access to this data is also password protected and shared only between specific study personnel. Information will not be released without written permission for the participant, except as necessary for monitoring by IRB, the FDA, the NCCIH, and the OHRP.

## 10.4 Study Discontinuation

As part of their duties to ensure that research participants are protected, this study may be discontinued at any time by the IRB, the NCCIH, the OHRP, the FDA, or other governmental agencies.

## 11.0 PUBLICATION OF RESEARCH FINDINGS

Any presentation, abstract, or manuscript will be made available to the NCCIH if requested.

#### 12.0 REFERENCES

- Achard, S., Delon-Martin, C., Vertes, P. E., Renard, F., Schenck, M., Schneider, F., . . . Bullmore, E. T. (2012).
  Hubs of brain functional networks are radically reorganized in comatose patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *109*(50), 20608-20613. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1208933109
- Amanzio M, Benedetti F (1999) Neuropharmacological dissection of placebo analgesia: expectation activated opioid systems versus conditioning-activated specific subsystems. J Neurosci 19:484-494.

Amanzio M, Benedetti F, Porro CA, Palermo S, Cauda F (2011) Activation likelihood estimation

Version 8.0 (Phase 2), Date: 03/28/2018

metaanalysis of brain correlates of placebo analgesia in human experimental pain. Hum Brain Mapp. Andersson GBJ, Frymoyer JW (1997) The epidemiology of spinal disorders. In: The adult spine: principles and practice, pp 93-141. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven.

Apkarian AV, Sosa Y, Sonty S, Levy RE, Harden RN, Parrish TB, Gitelman DR (2004) Chronic back pain is associated with decreased prefrontal and thalamic gray matter density. J Neurosci 24:10410-10415.

Atlas LY, Wager TD, Dahl K, Smith EE (2009) Placebo effects. . In: Handbook of Neuroscience for Behavioral Psychologists (Cacioppo JT, Berntson GG, eds). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Atlas LY, Whittington RA, Lindquist MA, Wielgosz J, Sonty N, Wager TD (2012) Dissociable influences of opiates and expectations on pain. J Neurosci 32:8053-8064.
- Baliki MN, Petre B, Torbey S, Hermann KM, Huang L, Schnitzer TJ, Fields HL, and Apkarian AV. (2012). Corticostriatal functional connectivity predicts transition to chronic back pain. Nature Neuroscience, 15: 1117-1119. doi:10.1038/n.3153.
- Baliki M, Schnitzer TJ, Bauer WR, Apkarian AV (2011a) Brain morphological signature for chronic pain. PloSone 6:e26010.

Baliki MN, Baria AT, Apkarian AV (2011b) The cortical rhythms of chronic back pain. J Neurosci in press.

- Baliki MN, Geha PY, Apkarian AV, Chialvo DR (2008a) Beyond feeling: chronic pain hurts the brain, disrupting the default-mode network dynamics. J Neurosci 28:1398-1403.
- Baliki MN, Schnitzer TJ, Bauer WR, Apkarian AV (2011c) Brain morphological signatures for chronic pain. PLoS One 6:e26010.
- Baliki MN, Geha PY, Jabakhanji R, Harden N, Schnitzer TJ, Apkarian AV (2008b) A preliminary fMRI study of analgesic treatment in chronic back pain and knee osteoarthritis. MolPain 4:47.
- Baliki MN, Chialvo DR, Geha PY, Levy RM, Harden RN, Parrish TB, Apkarian AV (2006) Chronic pain and the emotional brain: specific brain activity associated with spontaneous fluctuations of intensity of chronic back pain. J Neurosci 26:12165-12173.
- Baliki MN, Petre B, Torbey S, Herrmann KM, Huang L, Schnitzer TJ, Fields HL, Apkarian AV (2012) Corticostriatal functional connectivity predicts transition to chronic back pain. Nat Neurosci.
- Baria AT, Baliki MN, Parrish T, Apkarian AV (2011) Anatomical and functional assemblies of brain BOLD oscillations. J Neurosci 31:7910-7919.
- Bedi G, Carillo F, Cecchi GA, Slezak DF, Sigman M, Mota N, Ribeiro S, Javitt DC, Copelli M, Corcoran
- Beecher HK (1955) The powerful placebo. J Am Med Assoc 159:1602-1606.
- Benedetti F (1996) The opposite effects of the opiate antagonist naloxone and the cholecystokinin antagonist proglumide on placebo analgesia. Pain 64:535-543.
- Benedetti F (2008) Mechanisms of placebo and placebo-related effects across diseases and treatments. Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 48:33-60.
- Benedetti F, Amanzio M, Maggi G (1995) Potentiation of placebo analgesia by proglumide. Lancet 346:1231.
- Benedetti F, Carlino E, Pollo A (2011) How placebos change the patient's brain. Neuropsychopharmacology 36:339-354.
- Bingel U, Wanigasekera V, Wiech K, Ni Mhuircheartaigh R, Lee MC, Ploner M, Tracey I (2011) The effect of treatment expectation on drug efficacy: imaging the analgesic benefit of the opioid remiferitanil. Sci Transl Med 3:70ra14.
- Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, Gallacher D (2006) Survey of chronic pain in Europe: prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. Eur J Pain 10:287-333.
- Carragher, M., Sage, K. and Conroy, P. (2015), Outcomes of treatment targeting syntax production in people with Broca's-type aphasia: evidence from psycholinguistic assessment tasks and everyday conversation. INT J LANG COMMUN DISORD, 50: 322–336.
- Chappell AS, Desaiah D, Liu-Seifert H, Zhang S, Skljarevski V, Belenkov Y, Brown JP (2010) A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of duloxetine for the treatment of chronic pain due to osteoarthritis of the knee. Pain Pract 11:33-41.
- Charron J, Rainville P, Marchand S (2006) Direct comparison of placebo effects on clinical and experimental pain. Clin J Pain 22:204-211.
- Chiang MC, Barysheva M, Shattuck DW, Lee AD, Madsen SK, Avedissian C, Klunder AD, Toga AW, McMahon KL, de Zubicaray GI, Wright MJ, Srivastava A, Balov N, Thompson PM (2009) Genetics of brain fiber architecture and intellectual performance. J Neurosci 29:2212-2224.
- Colloca L, Petrovic P, Wager TD, Ingvar M, Benedetti F (2011) How the number of learning trials affects placebo and nocebo responses. Pain 151:430-439.

Damoiseaux JS, Greicius MD (2009) Greater than the sum of its parts: a review of studies combining structural connectivity and resting-state functional connectivity. Brain Struct Funct 213:525-533.

- de Craen AJ, Tijssen JG, de Gans J, Kleijnen J (2000) Placebo effect in the acute treatment of migraine: subcutaneous placebos are better than oral placebos. J Neurol 247:183-188.
- De Pascalis V, Chiaradia C, Carotenuto E (2002) The contribution of suggestibility and expectation to placebo analgesia phenomenon in an experimental setting. Pain 96:393-402.
- Eguiluz VM, Chialvo DR, Cecchi GA, Baliki M, Apkarian AV (2005) Scale-free brain functional networks. PhysRevLett 94:018102.
- Ehrlich GE (2003) Low back pain1. BullWorld Health Organ 81:671-676.
- Eickhoff SB, Grefkes C (2011) Approaches for the integrated analysis of structure, function and connectivity of the human brain. Clin EEG Neurosci 42:107-121.
- Enck P, Klosterhalfen S, Zipfel S (2011) Novel study designs to investigate the placebo response. BMC Med Res Methodol 11:90.
- Fassler M, Meissner K, Schneider A, Linde K (2010) Frequency and circumstances of placebo use in clinical practice--a systematic review of empirical studies. BMC Med 8:15.
- Finniss DG, Kaptchuk TJ, Miller F, Benedetti F (2010) Biological, clinical, and ethical advances of placebo effects. Lancet 375:686-695.
- Flaten MA, Simonsen T, Zahlsen K, Aamo T, Sager G, Olsen H (2004) Stimulant and relaxant drugs combined with stimulant and relaxant information: a study of active placebo.Psychopharmacology (Berl) 176:426-434.
- Forbes-McKay, K.E. & Venneri, (2005) Detecting subtle spontatenous language decline in early Alzhemier's disease with a picture task. A. Neurol Sci 26: 243.
- Geers AL, Helfer SG, Kosbab K, Weiland PE, Landry SJ (2005) Reconsidering the role of personality in placebo effects: dispositional optimism, situational expectations, and the placebo response. J Psychosom Res 58:121-127.
- Geers AL, Wellman JA, Fowler SL, Helfer SG, France CR (2010) Dispositional optimism predicts placebo analgesia. J Pain 11:1165-1171.
- Geha PY, Baliki MN, Harden RN, Bauer WR, Parrish TB, Apkarian AV (2008) The brain in chronic CRPS pain: abnormal gray-white matter interactions in emotional and autonomic regions. Neuron 60:570-581.
- Gwilym SE, Fillipini N, Douaud G, Carr AJ, Tracey I (2010) Thalamic atrophy associated with painful osteoarthritis of the hip is reversible after arthroplasty; a longitudinal voxel-based-morphometric study. Arthritis Rheum. 62:2930-2940.
- Haake M, Muller HH, Schade-Brittinger C, Basler HD, Schafer H, Maier C, Endres HG, Trampisch HJ, Molsberger A (2007) German Acupuncture Trials (GERAC) for chronic low back pain: randomized, multicenter, blinded, parallel-group trial with 3 groups. Arch Intern Med 167:1892-1898.
- Hall KT, Lembo AJ, Kirsch I, Ziogas DC, Douaiher J, Jensen KB, Conboy LA, Kelley JM, Kokkotou E, Kaptchuk TJ (2012) Catechol-O-Methyltransferase val158met Polymorphism Predicts Placebo Effect in Irritable Bowel Syndrome. PLoS One 7:e48135.
- Harrington A (1997) The placebo effect. London: Harvard university press.
- Harstall C, Ospina M (2003) How prevalent is chronic pain? Pain Clinical Updates 11.
- Hart LG, Deyo RA, Cherkin DC (1995) Physician office visits for low back pain. Frequency, clinical evaluation, and treatment patterns from a U.S. national survey. Spine 20:11-19.
- Hashmi JA, Baria AT, Baliki MN, Huang L, Schnitzer TJ, Apkarian AV (2012a) Brain networks predicting placebo analgesia in a clinical trial for chronic back pain. Pain. epub.
- Hashmi JA, Baliki M, Chanda ML, Huang L, Parks E, Schnitzer T, Apkarian V (2012b) Lidocaine patch (5%) is no more potent than placebo in treating chronic back pain when tested in a randomised double blind placebo controlled brain imaging study. Mol Pain 8:29.
- Hashmi JA,Baliki M, Huang L, Baria AT,Torbey S, Herrmann KM, Schnitzer T, Apkarian V (2013) Shape shifting pain: chronification of back pain shifts brain representation from nociceptive to emotional circuits. Brain,136: 2751-2768.

Hess, C.W., Ritchie, K.P., Landry, R.G., The Type-Token Ration and Vocabulary Performance. Psychological Reports, 1984. 55: p. 51-57.

- Hrobjartsson A, Kaptchuk TJ, Miller FG (2011) Placebo effect studies are susceptible to response bias and to other types of biases. J Clin Epidemiol 64:1223-1229.
- Janssen APSa, Pharmaceutica (2010) Chronic Pain in America: Roadblocks to Relief, a study conducted by Roper StarchWorldwide for American Academy of Pain Medicine, American Pain Society

and Janssen Pharmaceutica. In.

- Johannes CB, Le TK, Zhou X, Johnston JA, Dworkin RH (2010) The prevalence of chronic pain in United States adults: results of an Internet-based survey. J Pain 11:1230-1239.
- Kaptchuk TJ, Kelley JM, Deykin A, Wayne PM, Lasagna LC, Epstein IO, Kirsch I, Wechsler ME (2008a) Do "placebo responders" exist? Contemp Clin Trials 29:587-595.
- Kaptchuk TJ, Stason WB, Davis RB, Legedza AR, Schnyer RN, Kerr CE, Stone DA, Nam BH, Kirsch I, Goldman RH (2006) Sham device v inert pill: randomised controlled trial of two placebo treatments. BMJ 332:391-397.
- Kaptchuk TJ, Friedlander E, Kelley JM, Sanchez MN, Kokkotou E, Singer JP, Kowalczykowski M, Miller FG, Kirsch I, Lembo AJ (2010) Placebos without deception: a randomized controlled trial in irritable bowel syndrome. PLoS One 5:e15591.
- Kaptchuk TJ, Kelley JM, Conboy LA, Davis RB, Kerr CE, Jacobson EE, Kirsch I, Schyner RN, Nam BH, Nguyen LT, Park M, Rivers AL, McManus C, Kokkotou E, Drossman DA, Goldman P, Lembo AJ (2008b) Components of placebo effect: randomised controlled trial in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. BMJ 336:999-1003.
- Kleijnen J, de Craen AJ, van Everdingen J, Krol L (1994) Placebo effect in double-blind clinical trials: a review of interactions with medications. Lancet 344:1347-1349.
- Landauer, T.K., Dumais, S.T., A Solution to Plato's Problem: The Latent Semantic Analysis Theory of Acquisition, Induction, and Representation of Knowledge. Psychological Review, 1997. 104(2): p. 211-240.
- Levine JD, Gordon NC, Bornstein JC, Fields HL (1979) Role of pain in placebo analgesia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 76:3528-3531.
- Liberman R (1964) An Experimental Study of the Placebo Response under Three Different Situations of Pain. J Psychiatr Res 33:233-246.
- McCarberg BH, Stanos S, Williams DA (2012) Comprehensive chronic pain management: improving physical and psychological function (CME multimedia activity). Am J Med 125:S1.
- Meissner K, Bingel U, Colloca L, Wager TD, Watson A, Flaten MA (2011) The placebo effect: advances from different methodological approaches. J Neurosci 31:16117-16124.
- Montgomery GH, Kirsch I (1997) Classical conditioning and the placebo effect. Pain 72:107-113.
- Moore RA, Smugar SS, Wang H, Peloso PM, Gammaitoni A (2010) Numbers-needed-to-treat analyses--do timing, dropouts, and outcome matter? Pooled analysis of two randomized, placebo-controlled chronic low back pain trials. Pain 151:592-597.
- Morton DL, Watson A, El-Deredy W, Jones AK (2009) Reproducibility of placebo analgesia: Effect of dispositional optimism. Pain 146:194-198.
- Morton DL, Brown CA, Watson A, El-Deredy W, Jones AK (2010) Cognitive changes as a result of a single exposure to placebo. Neuropsychologia 48:1958-1964.
- Obermann M, Nebel K, Schumann C, Holle D, Gizewski ER, Maschke M, Goadsby PJ, Diener HC, Katsarava Z. (2009) Gray matter changes related to chronic posttraumatic headache. Neurology 73:978-983.
- Pihl RO, Altman J (1971) An experimental analysis of the placebo effect. J Clin Pharmacol New Drugs 11:91-95.
- Praemer A, Furnes S, Rice DP (1992) In: Musculoskeletal conditions in the United States, pp 1-99. Rosemont: AAOS.
- Price DD, Craggs J, Verne GN, Perlstein WM, Robinson ME (2007) Placebo analgesia is accompanied by large reductions in pain-related brain activity in irritable bowel syndrome patients. Pain 127:63-72.
- Rescorla RA (1988) Pavlovian conditioning. It's not what you think it is. Am Psychol 43:151-160.
- Rodriguez-Raecke R, Niemeier A, Ihle K, Ruether W, May A (2009) Brain gray matter decrease in chronic pain is the consequence and not the cause of pain. J Neurosci 29:13746-13750.
- Schweinhardt P, Seminowicz DA, Jaeger E, Duncan GH, Bushnell MC (2009) The anatomy of the mesolimbic reward system: a link between personality and the placebo analgesic response. J Neurosci 29:4882-4887.
- Seeley WW, Crawford RK, Zhou J, Miller BL, Greicius MD (2009) Neurodegenerative diseases target largescale human brain networks. Neuron 62:42-52.
- Seminowicz DA, Wideman TH, Naso L, Hatami-Khoroushahi Z, Fallatah S, Ware MA, Jarzem P, Bushnell MC, Shir Y, Ouellet JA, Stone LS (2011) Effective treatment of chronic low back pain in humans reverses abnormal brain anatomy and function. J Neurosci 31:7540-7550.
- Shapiro AK, Struening EL, Barten H, Shapiro E (1975) Correlates of placebo reaction in an outpatient population. Psychol Med 5:389-396.

- Skljarevski, V., S. Zhang, A. S. Chappell, D. J. Walker, I. Murray and M. Backonja (2010). "Maintenance of effect of duloxetine in patients with chronic low back pain: a 41-week uncontrolled, dose-blinded study." <u>Pain Med</u> **11**(5): 648-657.
- Skljarevski V, Zhang S, Desaiah D, Alaka KJ, Palacios S, Miazgowski T, Patrick K (2010) Duloxetine versus placebo in patients with chronic low back pain: a 12-week, fixed-dose, randomized, double-blind trial. J Pain 11:1282-1290.
- Stein N, Sprenger C, Scholz J, Wiech K, Bingel U (2012) White matter integrity of the descending pain modulatory system is associated with interindividual differences in placebo analgesia. Pain.
- Sumner JA, Griffith JW, Mineka S (2010) Overgeneral autobiographical memory as a predictor of the course of depression: a meta-analysis. Behav Res Ther 48:614-625.
- Tilburt JC, Emanuel EJ, Kaptchuk TJ, Curlin FA, Miller FG (2008) Prescribing "placebo treatments": results of national survey of US internists and rheumatologists. BMJ 337:a1938.
- Tracey I (2010) Getting the pain you expect: mechanisms of placebo, nocebo and reappraisal effects in humans. Nat Med 16:1277-1283.
- Traut EF, Passarelli EW (1957) Placebos in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and other rheumatic conditions. Ann Rheum Dis 16:18-22.
- van Tulder MW, Scholten RJ, Koes BW, Deyo RA (2000) Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for low back pain: a systematic review within the framework of the Cochrane Collaboration Back Review Group1. Spine 25:2501-2513.
- Vase L, Riley JL, 3rd, Price DD (2002) A comparison of placebo effects in clinical analgesic trials versus studies of placebo analgesia. Pain 99:443-452.
- Vase L, Robinson ME, Verne GN, Price DD (2003) The contributions of suggestion, desire, and expectation to placebo effects in irritable bowel syndrome patients. An empirical investigation. Pain 105:17-25.
- Vase L, Petersen GL, Riley JL, 3rd, Price DD (2009) Factors contributing to large analgesic effects in placebo mechanism studies conducted between 2002 and 2007. Pain 145:36-44.
- Verbeek J, Sengers MJ, Riemens L, Haafkens J (2004) Patient expectations of treatment for back pain: a systematic review of qualitative and quantitative studies. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 29:2309-2318.
- Wager T, Fields HL (2012) Placebo analgesia. In: Textbook of pain (Wall PD, Melzack R, eds). London: Chuchill livingstone.
- Wager TD, Atlas LY, Leotti LA, Rilling JK (2011) Predicting individual differences in placebo analgesia: contributions of brain activity during anticipation and pain experience. J Neurosci 31:439-452.

Whalley B, Hyland ME, Kirsch I (2008) Consistency of the placebo effect. J Psychosom Res 64:537-541

- Wickramasekera I (1980) A conditioned response model of the placebo effect predictions from the model. Biofeedback Self Regul 5:5-18.
- Wilcox CS, Cohn JB, Linden RD, Heiser JF, Lucas PB, Morgan DL, DeFrancisco D (1992) Predictors of placebo response: a retrospective analysis. Psychopharmacol Bull 28:157-162.
- Zubieta JK, Bueller JA, Jackson LR, Scott DJ, Xu Y, Koeppe RA, Nichols TE, Stohler CS (2005) Placebo effects mediated by endogenous opioid activity on mu-opioid receptors. J Neurosci 25:7754-7762.

#### 13.0 SUPPLEMENTS/APPENDICES

## I. Informed Consent Form Template

# Northwestern University Department of Physiology

## Consent Form and HIPAA Authorization for Research Second Phase

**PROTOCOL TITLE:** Brain mechanisms for clinical placebo in chronic pain

**PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:** A. Vania Apkarian, Ph.D.

SUPPORTED BY: National Institutes of Health, NCCIH

#### **Introduction**

You are being asked to take part in a research study. This document has important information about the reason for the study, what you will do if you choose to be in this research study, and the way we would like to use information about you and your health.

#### What is the reason for doing this study?

You are asked to take part in this study because you are a person over the age of 18 who has experienced low back pain for more than 6 months. The purpose of this study is to see how pain is represented in the brain in people who have pain relief with placebo (a sugar pill) treatment, as well as to understand the effects of different treatments on the body and on the brain. We want to study your back pain for 12 weeks, including the characteristics of your pain, and do brain scans using a non-invasive brain imaging technique called functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI).

#### What will you do if you choose to be in this study?

The study requires 6 visits spread out over 12 weeks. You are asked to participate in research that compares placebo with a standard of care drug, a combination of one naproxen capsule (500mg) and one omeprazole capsule (20 mg) taken twice daily, two FDA-approved drugs for use as investigational agents in this study. If you agree to participate. Please know that this is a double-blinded study, which means that neither you nor the study doctor nor the study staff will know whether you are receiving drugs or placebo. However, if there is a safety issue, the study doctor can get this information when warranted. Randomization means you will be randomly assigned to study drugs or placebo based on chance, like a flip of a coin. Neither you nor the researcher chooses your assigned group. You may be assigned to a placebo group, an active drug (naproxen and omeprazole) group, or a no-drugs group (you will not receive any pills except Rescue Medication).

If you consent to participate, you will be asked to come to the following location:

710 N. Fairbanks Ct Olson Pavilion Center for Translational Imaging (CTI), located in the basement (LC 0-255) Chicago, IL 60611

All scanning visits will take place at the location listed above. Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine  $10^{\text{th}}$  floor Abbot Hall, 710 N Lake Shore Dr Chicago, IL 60611

All other visits will take place at the location listed above.

#### Visit 1 (week -3): Screening visit (90 min).

If you agree to participate, you will be evaluated with inclusion/exclusion criteria and complete the informed consent documents. A medical/pain history will be taken and physical exam will be completed by a physician and you will be asked to rate your current back pain intensity. You will complete a set of 10 questionnaires that will ask you about your health and medical history, past and current pain levels, personality, and emotions. You will be allowed to take breaks and walk around during this time so that you don't get tired while filling out questionnaires. Finally, you will have your blood drawn for screening purposes including to see if your kidneys and liver are functioning as they should (we will take 40 mL or about 8 teaspoons). You will be asked to return in 2 weeks for baseline magnetic resonance imaging scans. You will be asked to discontinue your current pain medications 14 days prior to Visit 2 and take only the rescue medication ("rescue" medication that is also known as acetaminophen/Tylenol ®), given for pain during this time (see below) – this is so that we can assess your baseline amount of pain. You will be informed that you may be randomized in the placebo group and/or the no-treatment group (Visit 3) once you gualify to continue in the study. You must therefore be willing and able to stop taking the medication you take for pain for up to a maximum of 12 weeks during this study. You will be given acetaminophen (500 mg, up to 4 times per day) to take if you require pain relief (as rescue medication), a dose that can be continued throughout the study. You are free to stop your participation if you feel you are not able to deal with the intensity of your pain. If you are randomized to the treatment group (active or placebo) you may receive the anti-inflammatory drug naproxen, since it is known to increase the risk of stomach and intestinal side effects, a combined naproxen/omeprazole drug regimen will be given to you to provide some protection for these types of side effects. You will also be asked questions about your pain and mood in an interview at the end of this visit. This interview will be recorded with a voice recorder.

#### Visit 2 (week -1): Baseline Scan (90 min).

You will rate your pain intensity, complete questionnaires, and undergo brain scanning (anatomical and functional scans). You will receive medication, which you can use if the pain becomes too much to handle (500 mg four times per day maximum). The researcher will ask you about how often you used the "rescue" medication and any changes in health you may have experienced since last visit. You will also be asked to describe a picture and will be given up to 5 minutes to describe the picture given. This will be recorded on a voice recorder.

#### Visit 3 (week 0): Start of Treatment Period and Randomization (30-45 min).

You will rate your pain intensity and complete questionnaires. You will be randomized into either a drug (placebo <u>or</u> naproxen and omeprazole) treatment group or no treatment group; if you are assigned to a treatment group (active or placebo), neither you nor the clinical coordinator/assistant will know which group you are in. Enough medication will be given to you to last until the next visit. The placebo group will receive two placebo capsules twice daily and the active drug group will receive one naproxen capsule (500mg) and one omeprazole capsule (20mg) twice daily (morning and night) for the treatment period. The medication (Naproxen or Placebo) needs to be taken with at least one full glass (8 oz) of water and the other medication (Omeprazole or Placebo) should be taken one hour before a meal. The researcher will provide the study medication; if you were randomized into the no treatment group you will only receive rescue medication. The researcher will ask you about how often you used the "rescue" medication and any side effects you experienced since Visit 2 took place. Additional "rescue" medication will be given to you, and if you are in one of the treatment groups.

#### Visit 4 (week 3): Continuing Assessment (30-45 min).

The procedures described for Visit 3 will be repeated during this visit. The researcher will ask you about how often you used the "rescue" medication and any side effects you experienced since Visit 3 took
place. As well, the researcher will ask you how often you took the study medication (if in a treatment group) and document the total pills you ingested. You will also be asked to describe a picture and will be given up to 5 minutes to describe the picture given. This will be recorded on a voice recorder.

#### Visit 5 (week 6): End of Treatment/Start of Washout and Final Scan (60-90 min):

The procedures described for Visit 2 will be repeated during this visit. The researcher will ask you about how often and reliably you took your medication (if in a treatment group), as well as how often you used the "rescue" medication and any side effects you experienced since Visit 4 took place. You will only receive the "rescue" medication for the upcoming 3 weeks. You will also be asked to describe a picture and will be given up to 5 minutes to describe the picture given. This will be recorded on a voice recorder.

#### Visit 6 (week 9): Final Visit/End of Washout (30-45 min):

You will return to complete questionnaires but no brain scans will be done. The researcher will ask you about how often you used the "rescue" medication and any side effects you experienced since Visit 5 took place. If you are in one of the treatment groups, you will be asked to return all of your study medication and rescue medication at this time, and all treatment will be stopped at this visit.

#### Interim Activities

For the duration of the study, you will be asked to rate your pain and mood twice a day (even in between visits). This will be done with an electronic application that we will train you how to use. We will download an application onto your phone or give you a link to use on your computer; if you do not have a smart phone or easy access to a computer/internet, we will provide you with a smart phone that has the application already installed. You will be compensated for your responses. Please note that if you fail to rate your pain too many times in a row, you may be dropped from the study.

#### fMRI

This study uses functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to look at the brain. Functional magnetic resonance imaging is a type of brain scan that uses magnetic fields and radio waves to make an image of changes in blood flow in your brain while you do certain tasks.

In order to make sure the MRI procedure will be safe, you will be asked to fill out a screening form before starting the study. It is important that you tell the researchers in this study if you have any history of:

- Metal fragments in your eyes or face.
- Implantation of any electronic devices such as (but not limited to) cardiac pacemakers, cardiac
  defibrillators, cochlea implants or nerve stimulators.
- Surgery on the blood vessels of your brain or the valves of the heart
- Claustrophobia (fear of enclosed places)
- Body piercing or tattoos

You will be given instructions outside the MRI scanner about the scanning. Next you will be asked to lie still on the MRI patient table and your head will be placed in a specially-designed head holder. Your head will be cushioned by a firm foam pillow. The table will then slide into the enclosed space of the MRI scanner. Some people feel tired, uncomfortable or claustrophobic (afraid of small spaces) in the MRI scanner. The MRI scanning session will take around 40 minutes to complete once you are in the scanner.

The information from the MRI scanner is only useful if you are able to complete the whole imaging session, and hold your head very still the whole time. Therefore you will be encouraged to hold as still as possible, and to let the investigators know if you are uncomfortable in any way as soon as possible after the imaging session begins.

The front of the head-holder will be open, which lets you look through a special mirror and be able to see outside of the scanner if you want to. The MRI scanner makes loud banging noises while taking a measurement, so either ear plugs or specially designed headphones will be used to reduce the noise. The researchers will be in communication with you through an intercom system to tell you how the study is going. The earplugs or headphones should not get in the way of communicating with the researchers.

#### What are some of the possible risks and discomforts?

The risks from the study procedures include the following, some of which may be serious, including the risk of worsening your low back pain condition and related symptoms, especially since you may receive a placebo for up to 12 weeks:

#### Blood Draw:

The risks of taking blood include pain, a bruise at the point where the blood is taken, redness and swelling of the vein, infection, and fainting.

#### Study Agents:

The side effects for naproxen include: upset stomach, nausea, heartburn, headache, drowsiness, and dizziness. They also include less common but serious side effects such as: easy bruising/bleeding, difficult/painful swallowing, hearing changes, mental/mood changes, intestinal bleeding, swelling of limbs, sudden unexplained weight gain, change in the amount of urine produced, unexplained neck stiffness, vision changes, unusual fatigue, and liver damage. To minimize the risk of developing gastrointestinal ulcers and indigestion, if you receive naproxen, you will also receive omeprazole.

The side effects for omeprazole (given with a capsule of naproxen) include: headache, drowsiness, diarrhea, nausea, stomach pain, and dry mouth. Less common but serious side effects include: dizziness, confusion, fast or uneven heart rate, jerking muscle movements, jittery feeling, muscle cramps, muscle weakness or limp feeling, a choking feeling, or seizure/convulsions.

The side effects for acetaminophen (the "rescue medication") include: nausea, rash, and headache. However, acetaminophen may cause liver damage and rarely death due to overdosing and/or mixing with alcohol. Because alcohol interacts with acetaminophen, you should avoid alcohol during this study and/or limit yourself to the equivalent of one beer per day. If you drink 3 or more alcoholic beverages per day, you should tell the study doctor. Liver problems may result from taking acetaminophen.. Early symptoms of liver damage include, but are not limited to, nausea, vomiting, sweating, and general malaise (not feeling well). Subjects should not take more than four 500 mg tablets (rescue medication daily maximum) during a 24-hour period. Regular use of acetaminophen may increase the risk of chronic kidney failure, especially in those individuals with pre-existing kidney disease.

**The side effects for placebo include**: [relate the side effects relevant for the composition of the placebo] There are no expected side effects other placebo because it contains no active drug.

#### Scanning:

Risks associated with MRI or fMRI scanning include I an increase in your level of chronic back pain because you will be asked to lie down in the scanner bed for around 40 minutes at a time. You may experience mild discomfort from trying to keep still, or you may feel anxious or claustrophobic (afraid of small spaces) in the scanner. During one of the scans, the scanner may vibrate or be louder than usual. You will always be in contact with a researcher in the scanning room, and he/she can stop the scanning session if you have too much pain to continue.

Some people cannot have an MRI because they have some type of metal in their body. For instance, if you have a heart pacemaker, artificial heart valves, metal implants such as metal ear implants, bullet pieces, chemotherapy or insulin pumps or any other metal such as metal clips or rings, you cannot have an MRI. During this test, you will lie in a small closed area inside a large magnetic tube. Some people are scared or anxious in small places (claustrophobic). The MRI scanner makes loud banging noises while taking a measurement, so either ear plugs or specially designed headphones will be used to reduce the

noise.

The MRI pictures from this study will not be in a form readable by either you or your doctor. Therefore, a copy of the MRI pictures or the results of your individual study will not be given either to you or your doctor. While the MRI pictures in this study are not formally reviewed by a radiologist, if in the course of processing the images we notice any abnormality that would be possibly important to your health we will tell you and a doctor you name.

#### **Questionnaires and Interviews:**

Some of the questions asked in the interviews throughout the study are personal and may make you uncomfortable. Some of the questions in the questionnaires may be upsetting or you may be uncomfortable answering them. If you do not want to answer a question or set of questions at any time, you can tell the researcher to skip it/them.

#### What do I need to know about reproductive health/sexual activity if I am in this study?

If sexually active, both men and women should use an effective method of birth control while taking the study drugs. Barrier contraceptives (condoms or diaphragm) with spermicide, intrauterine devices (IUDs), hormonal contraceptives, oral contraceptive pills, surgical sterilization, and complete abstinence are examples of effective methods. If you or your partner become pregnant while taking the study drugs, it is important that you tell your study doctor immediately. You may have to stop the study drugs. The study drugs have been shown to be harmful to developing fetuses and should only be taken by pregnant women when there is no other alternative and their health care providers have determined that the benefits of taking the study drugs outweigh the risks. Other treatment options will be discussed with you if you stop the study drugs. If you are considered to be postmenopausal, you are not required to use contraception while in this study. Rarely, women considered to be postmenopausal become pregnant. If you suspect that you become pregnant while taking the study drugs, it is important that you tell the study drugs. You may have to stop the study drugs, it is is important that you tell the study doctor immediately. You may have to stop the study drugs, it is is become pregnant. If you suspect that you become pregnant while taking the study drugs, it is is is doctor immediately. You may have to stop the study drugs, it is doctor immediately. You may have to stop the study drugs.

#### What are the Possible Benefits for Me or Others?

The possible benefits to you from this study include reduced back pain due to placebo or standard-of-care treatment during the study. Taking part in this study may help scientists to better understand why some people get pain relief from different types of treatments for chronic low back pain. This knowledge can improve daily life and suffering for many people who live with back pain who currently do not experience pain relief from commonly used pain treatments. Ultimately, this research could lead to improved treatment of back pain, you may also benefit from pain relief in the long term, regardless of which group you are assigned to.. If you are randomized in the no treatment group, we will provide most effective study medication at the end of the study for a single 3 week treatment period.

It is important to note, however, that there also may not be any direct benefit to taking part in this study.

#### What other procedures or courses of treatment might be available to me?

You do not have to take part in this research study. Instead of being this study, you can seek treatment from your family doctor, physical therapist, and pain management specialists. You may request additional information on these resources from the researcher.

#### Are there any financial costs to being in this study?

There are no costs to you for being in this study other than the costs of transportation to and from the study visits..

#### Travel Expenses:

You will be given assistance, such as parking vouchers, and/or reimbursement for expenses related to travel up to \$20 per study visit. If you take public transportation or a taxi you must bring receipts and your reimbursement will be provided to you in cash at the time of your visit. If you drive your own vehicle, you will be reimbursed for gas mileage from your home address to the study clinic and receive a parking voucher for free parking for up to 6hours.. To receive free parking, you must park in the garage at 222 East Huron Street and we will give you a voucher to use that day.

#### Will I receive payment for participation in this study?

You will get up to \$242 for completion of all procedures and study visits in this research study (not counting transportation reimbursement as explained above). Upon completion of each scanning visit (visit 2 and visit 5), you will receive: \$50. For the remaining visits (visit 1, visit 3, visit 4, and visit 6) you will be paid \$25. You will be paid \$0.25 for each time you use the electronic application (maximum of 2 times per day). You will be paid only for the visits and the ratings you complete. You will receive payments either by cash at the end of each visit, or by check that is sent to you in the mail. You will receive a check if you have to end the study early and will receive compensation for pain ratings. If you are paid by check, the Accounting Services at Northwestern University will be given your name, address, and Social Security Number in order to issue a check for your study participation. Study payments are considered taxable income and reportable to the IRS. A Form 1099 will be sent to you if your total payments are \$600 or more in a calendar year.

For individuals assigned to the no treatment group who elect to receive treatment after the study is completed and all participants are unblinded. You will be given the option to have the treatment at no cost to you at that time. However, you will <u>not</u> be paid for receiving this treatment. This is optional for those individuals who would like to try the most effective treatment (Active or Placebo). Those interested individuals will be asked to arrive to Abbott Hall to pick up a 3 week treatment supply after the study has ended.

#### What should I do if I am injured as a result of being in this study?

If you become ill or get an injury or illness as a result of study (medications or procedures), you should seek medical treatment through your doctor or treatment center of choice. You should promptly tell the study doctor about any illness or injury.

Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Northwestern University, and the investigators of this study will not pay for medical care required because of a bad outcome resulting from your participation in this research study. This does not keep you from seeking to be paid back for care required because of a bad outcome.

The coverage for such injury or illness is only available if the Northwestern University principal investigator have decided that the injury/illness is directly related to the study drug or study procedures and is not the result of a pre-existing condition or the normal progression of your disease, or because you have not followed the directions of the study doctor. If your insurance is billed, you may be required to pay deductibles and co-payments that apply. You should check with your insurance company about any such payments.

#### If I have questions or concerns about this research study, whom can I call?

You can call us with your questions or concerns. If you have any illness or injury during your time on this study, you should call us promptly. You can contact the study Clinical Coordinator (Monday through Friday, 9:00am – 5:00pm at 312-503-2886). For problems arising evenings or weekends, you may call Dr. Thomas Schnitzer at 312-649-2964 (24 hours a day, 7 days a week). Dr. A. Vania Apkarian is the person in charge of this research study. You can call him at 312-503-0404 (Monday through Friday, 9:00am – 5:00pm).

#### What are my rights as a research subject?

If you choose to be in this study, you have the right to be treated with respect, including respect for your decision whether or not you wish to continue or stop being in the study. You are free to choose to stop being in the study at any time. Additionally, if you are interested, you will have an option to participate in other low back pain studies at Northwestern University.

Choosing not to be in this study or to stop being in this study will not result in any penalty to you or loss of benefit to which you are entitled. Specifically, your choice not to be in this study will not negatively affect your right to any present or future medical treatment to which you are otherwise entitled.

Your participation in this study may be stopped by the investigator without your consent if you do not follow the directions of the study staff. If this occurs, you will be informed by the study staff and will not continue with the remaining visits. You will still be reimbursed for the portions of the study that you have completed.

If you want to speak with someone <u>who is not</u> directly involved in this research, or have questions about your rights as a research subject, please contact the Northwestern University Institutional Review Board (IRB) Office. You can call them at 312-503-9338.

#### What about my confidentiality and privacy rights?

We are committed to respect your privacy and to keep your personal information confidential. When choosing to take part in this study, you are giving us the permission to use your personal health information that includes health information in your medical records and information that can identify you. For example, personal health information may include your name, address, phone number or social security number. Your health information we may collect and use for this research includes:

- Medical history
- Lab tests, or certain health information indicating or relating to a particular condition as well diaries and questionnaires
- Records about study medication or drugs
- Records from brain scans
- Billing information
- Audio recordings

This consent expires on 04/24/2017. After this date, Northwestern University may not gather new information about you, use or disclose your personal health information collected in this study for any purpose other than the research study described in this consent unless Northwestern University obtains permission to do so from you. Illinois State Law permits use and disclosure of your mental health information only to the extent specified in this document.

During this study you may be coming to a Northwestern Memorial Healthcare Corporation entity (for example, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Prentice Women's Hospital) for research appointments or to get clinical services, such as lab tests, needed for the study. When that happens, you will be scheduled for these services through the NMHC computer system. When a clinical exam or lab is done by NMHC or one of its employees for the purpose of this research study, that information will be kept in both NMHC's clinical records and in the study records.

#### The following groups of people may give the researchers information about you:

- Authorized members of the Northwestern University and the Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago workforce, who may need to see your information, such as administrative staff members from the Office for Research, Office for Research Integrity and members of the Institutional Review Board.
- Clinical affiliates, including but not limited the Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago (RIC), Northwestern Medical Group (NMG), Northwestern Memorial Hospital (NMH), Northwestern Lake Forest Hospital (NLFH), and the Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago (Lurie Children's). Your participation in this clinical trial may be tracked in an electronic database and may be seen by investigators running other trials that you are enrolled in and by your healthcare providers
- Clinical affiliates, including but not limited to Northwestern Medical Group (NMG), Northwestern Memorial Hospital (NMH), and Northwestern Lake Forest Hospital (NLFH), for purposes including, but not limited to, the affiliate's provision of care to you and/or the affiliate's scheduling of appointments and/or billing activities.
- Other University research centers and University contractors who are also working on the study
- Study monitors and auditors who make sure that the study is being done properly, National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH) who is sponsoring the study, and that company's contractors and partners.
- Government agencies and public health authorities, such as the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Those persons who get your health information may not be required by Federal privacy laws (such as the Privacy Rule) to protect it. Some of those persons may be able to share your information with others without your separate permission.

The results of this study may also be used for teaching, publications, or for presentation at scientific meetings. Furthermore, any audio recordings used in any publications, teaching or scientific meetings will have no identifying information such as your name. The researcher will ask you to refrain from using your name or any other potential identifying information during the recording sessions. In the case, which any recordings have any potential identifying information they will be deleted and we will ask you to perform the audio recording session again. Once the recording is completed a research staff member will upload it to our secure data server, only accessible by research staff, and will then be deleted off the voice recorder.

Unless you revoke your consent, it will expire 04/24/17

Although you may revoke consent to participation in this research at any time and in any format, you must revoke authorization for use or disclosure of your health information in writing. To revoke your authorization, write to:

A. Vania Apkarian, Ph.D.

Northwestern University, Department of Physiology

310 E. Superior Street #7-705

Chicago, IL 60611

You do not have to authorize the use or disclosure of your health information; however, you will not be allowed to take part in this research study. If you do not authorize the use or disclosure of your health information, it will not affect your treatment by health care providers, or the payment or enrollment in any health plans, or affect your eligibility for benefits.

A copy of this signed consent document, information about this study, and the results of any test or procedure done may be included in your medical records and may be seen by your insurance company.

#### ClinicalTrials.gov

A description of this clinical trial will be available on <u>http://www.ClinicalTrials.gov</u> as required by U.S. Law. This Web site will not include information that can identify you. At most, the Web site will include a summary of the results. You can search this Web site at any time.

# **Optional Elements:**

The following research activities are optional, meaning that you do not have to agree to them in order to participate in the research study. Please indicate your willingness to participate in these optional activities by placing your initials next to each activity.

#### l agree l disagree

The researcher may contact me in the future to see whether I am interested in participating in other research studies such as Electroencephalogram recording and Saliva collection.

#### **Consent Summary:**

I have read this consent form and the research study has been explained to me. I have been given time to ask questions, and have been told whom to contact if I have more questions. I agree to be in the research study described above.

A copy of the consent form will be provided to me after I sign it. A copy of this signed consent document, information about this study and the results of any test or procedure done may be included in my medical record and may be seen by my insurance company.

1	Date
Subject's Name (printed) and Signature	
1	Date
Name (printed) and Signature of Person Obtaining Consent	
<b>T</b> (0 )	
lime of Consent	

# II. List of Questionnaires

#### (A) General Information:

1. MQS - Medicine Quantification Scale – Completed at visit 1

Provides us with a measurement of the amount and kinds of medication they are taking prior to beginning the study

- <u>MRI Screening Form</u> Completed at each scanning visit Makes sure that participants are safe to go into the MRI scanner before each visit
- <u>NIH Demographics Form</u> Completed at visit 1
   <u>Collects standard demographics</u> age gender race at
- *Collects standard demographics age, gender, race, ethnicity* 4. PHI - Patient Health Information – Completed at visit 1

Collects contact information and other standard demographics (such as level of education and annual income), as well as a very basic medical/health history

5. ODI - Oswestery Low Back Disability Index - Completed at visit 1 and visit 5

Collects information about how much pain impacts various aspects of quality of life and how disabled participants feel on account of their pain

#### (B) Pain-related:

1. PCS - Pain Catastrophizing Scale - Completed at visit 1

Measures how much people worry about their pain and its possible causes (which may tie into the placebo response).

2. MPQ-sf - McGill Pain Questionnaire (short form) – Completed at each visit

Measures location, duration, intensity, and quality/qualia of pain. Allows us to not only track change in pain over time, but also correlate these qualities to brain imaging data collected on the same days. 3. PDt - Pain Detect – Completed at each visit

Provides information about location, duration, intensity, and quality of pain, but does so at different time scales (now, 24 hrs, 1 week) and with more unique time information (fluctuating, intermittent, etc). We can also correlate changes in these scores to brain data.

4. PIC - Patient Impressions of Change - Completed at each visit

One question provides an overall score for the extent to which pain changed (increased/decreased) or remained the same from visit to visit (another way to measure the placebo response).

10. NRS – Numeric Rating Scale – Completed at each visit (this is a component of many of the questionnaires that we have simply put into one measurement for simplicity; they rate their pain from 0 to 10 with either a sliding bar that gives a number or on a bar with numbers clearly marked)

#### (C) Expectation Related to Medication/Medicine Beliefs

1. <u>HCAHQ</u> - Holistic Complementary and Alternative Health Questionnaire- Completed at visit 6

Measures participant's opinions and beliefs about whether alternative/complementary medicinal techniques work and should be used, including questions that subtly ask about the placebo response (e.g., mind-body connection and positive thinking as an important treatment). We can see if beliefs about these types of therapies influence the placebo response.

2. <u>MHLC</u> - Multidimensional Health Locus of Control – Completed at visit 6

Provides information on how well participants believe they themselves (as opposed to medicine or the health care system and/or "luck/fate") are responsible for and in control of their health.

3. <u>TSS</u> – Treatment Satisfaction Survey – Completed at visit 4 and visit 5 (after they have taken all of their medication for treatment period and continuing assessment, respectively

Self-developed 2-question survey that asks participants to rate how satisfied or dissatisfied they are with the study medication and to explain why.

#### (D) Mindfulness, Interoceptive Awareness, and Emotional Control/Regulation

1. MAIA - Multidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness - Completed at visit 1

Measures how aware someone is of his/her body and how well they can focus on bodily sensations (such as pain) or distract themselves from these sensations. Such a quality may also link to the propensity to respond or not respond to a placebo. The ability to control awareness or to just be more aware of one's body in general may also link to brain imaging data related to the functional connectivity of the insula and the mPFC.

2. ERQ - Emotional Regulation Questionnaire - Completed at visit 1

Measures how well a person can control his/her emotions (includes reappraisal and suppression of both positive and negative emotions). May correlate with amygdala- or prefrontal region-related imaging data.

#### (E) Suggestibility

1. <u>MISS</u> - Multidimensional Iowa Suggestibility Scale (we are interested in the Persuadability, Sensation Contagion, and Psychosomatic Control subscales) – Completed at visit 6

Measures the extent to which a participant is/can be influenced by a variety of other external and internal factors. Suggestibility has been shown to influence the placebo response in some previous studies.

#### (F) Personality and Current Emotional States

1. BDI – Beck Depression Index – Completed during the screening visit

Measures whether someone may or may not be clinically depressed. Because depression can also influence brain states and anatomy, a high BDI score (>=19) is an exclusion criteria for the study. 2. LAQ – Loss Aversion Questionnaire – Completed at visit 1

Measures how sensitive individuals are to a wide variety of potential "losses" in their lives. Our research has shown that people with chronic back pain tend to be more gain sensitive than healthy counterparts (paper submitted to Molecular Pain), which may correlate not only to the presence of pain but also to the propensity to respond to medications (as in less sensitive to lower efficacy medications). LAQ answers may also correlate with NAc, prefrontal, and amygdala brain imaging data.

## III. Interview Scripts Visit 1 Script:

As you know, this is a study about understanding chronic pain. We are really interested in understanding chronic pain and people's responses to medication, with the hope that we will be able to help treat or prevent chronic pain in the future. We are especially interested in how chronic pain impacts people's day-to-day experiences and their quality of life – we think that it is very important to hear from you directly about what your pain is like and how it affects you. We think YOU are an expert on your own pain, so we want to learn from you.

Today I'll be asking you some questions about your life experiences dealing with chronic pain. I would like you to answer them as fully and honestly as you can. There are no right or wrong answers, and you can skip any questions that you don't feel comfortable answering. There is no specific order or time limit, so you can feel free to come back to a question if you need more time to think about it. You can tell me as little or as much as you want to. Some of the questions might be personal to you – if you feel uncomfortable with any question and do not want to answer it, please let me know. The interview will be around 45 minutes, but for some people it may be shorter or longer, depending upon how much we talk.

I will be recording our conversation with this voice recorder. This is to help me remember what we talked about and to be as accurate as possible in reporting what I've heard. When the interview is done, the conversation will be typed out and stored in a secure folder on our server that only myself and my team will have access to. The recording will be deleted from the device so that no one else can listen to it. I will also not use your name in the interview so that your name is not directly connected to the recording. Do you have any questions about this?

Do you have any questions or concerns that you would like to ask before we begin?

## 1. To begin, tell me about your experience with your back pain.

Follow up: How has your pain been recently? Follow up: How long have you had your pain? Follow up: What do you think may have caused it?

Follow up: How has your pain changed over time?Follow ups: What does your pain feel like? What words would you use to describe what your pain feels like right now?Follow ups: How has your pain changed over the course of the study (in the last 6 weeks)? Was this because of the treatment?

Follow up: Do you see yourself differently because of your pain?

Follow up: What are some things that influence your pain? Follow ups: What makes it worse? What makes it better? Follow up: How do you manage your pain? How do you cope with your pain? Probes: increased/decreased/specific physical activity, sleep quality, body position, medications, faith/spirituality, weather, working, bad day, other distractions including: smoking or drinking, social activities, family, support system, etc...

Follow up: What do you understand about your back? Explain to me how you think your back pain works (what do you think is happening in your body?).

Follow up: Do you think back pain is different for different people?Follow up: Do you have a family history of chronic pain? Please explain.Follow up: [*If not*] Do you know anyone else who had/has chronic pain? Tell me more about that/him/her.Follow up: How do/did they deal with their pain?Follow up: Did this affect/change how you deal with your pain?

Follow up: How do you think your pain will be a year from now? Follow up: Why do you say that? Follow up: Have you ever woken up and thought that the pain was gone? Follow up: Do you believe your pain will disappear one day?

Follow up: How does your pain affect your mood?

Follow up: Has pain ever made you feel angry?

Follow up: Has pain ever made you feel sad?

Follow up: Has pain ever made you feel anxious?

Follow up: Has pain ever made you frustrated?

Follow up: And now the reverse - how does your mood affect your pain?

Probes: definition of mood, happiness helped alleviate the pain or made it easier to ignore, sadness or anger made it worse,

Follow up: Does pain affect your concentration or decision-making? Tell me more about that. Follow up: Or your memory? Please explain.

# Visit 2, Visit 4, and Visit 5 Scripts:

Participants will be given three pictures (see below) and will be asked to freely explain the picture in their own words. Participants will have at most 5 minutes to explain the picture in their own words before the research personnel terminates the recording. The three pictures will be sorted at random per participant, therefore, picture 1 may be given at visit 5 and picture 3 given at visit 4 for one participant, which can be the different order for another participant.

# Picture 1



Convright @ 1983 by Lee & Febiger

## Picture 2



Picture 3



# IV. AE Collection Form Example

ADVERS	E EVENT FORM																							
Brain M	echanisms for Clinical Pl	acebo ir	n Chroi	nic Po	nin: RC	01 ATC	0798	7-01A	1						99)									
Principal Inv	estiaator: Dr. A. Vania Ankarian												NORT	HW	FSTI	FRN								
	,												U	NIVE	RSITY									
Subject ID	/Initials:												-	1	1									
Date Reported	Adverse Event Description	Severity see codes below	Serious (Y/N)		Start Dat	e	End Date Or	End Date Tr		End Date		End Date		End Date		End Date		Treatment or Action Taken	atment Relationship Action to Study	ionship Relationship Study to Study	Relationship to Study Device	CRC Initials	PI Initials	PI Date
				Day	Month	Year	Day	Month	Year	Taken	see codes below	see codes below	see codes below	,										
		<u> </u>		<b>├</b> ──			<b>├</b> ─																	
				<u> </u>			<b> </b>																	
		<b> </b>													ļ	ļ								
		<u> </u>																						
		<u> </u>																						
				<u> </u>			<u> </u>																	
				<u> </u>			<u> </u>																	
Mild 1 - Aut	areness of Sign or Symptom, but eacily	tolerated					-	Action T	aken				1 - Unrelated											
Moderate 2	- Discomforting Enough to Cause Inte	rference wit	h Usual Ad	tivity				2 - Medi	cation				2 - Possibly Re	lated										
ievere 3 - Ir	capacitating wth Inability to Perform	Usual Activit	Y					3 - Hosp	italizatio	n			3 - Probably Re	elated										
						4 - Study Drug Interupted				4 - Definitely R	elated													
Serious - De	ath, life threatening, permanently or	substantially	disabling,	require	s or prok	ongs	-	5 - Study	Drug D	ose Adjusted						L								
npatient ho	spitalization, or is a congenital anoma	ly, cancer, or	overdose					6 - Study	Drug P	ermanently Di	scontinued		Version 04.14.201	4	Page	_ of								

# V. Data and Safety Monitoring Plan (DSMP)

#### Data and Safety Monitoring Plan (DSMP) Independent Monitor

## 1) Study Identification Information

- A) NIH Study Number RO1 AT007987-01A1
- B) Study Title Brain mechanisms for clinical placebo in chronic pain
- C). Name of Principal Investigator (PI) Apkar V. Apkarian, Ph.D.

## 2) Study Overview

A) This is a randomized, partially-blind clinical trial addressing the topic of placebo mechanisms in management of chronic pain. The overall purpose of this study is to identify brain mechanisms and characteristics of the placebo analgesia response in chronic pain patients (CPP) and utilize them as potential predictors of response to placebo based analgesia.

B) The Data and Safety Monitoring Plan (DSMP) outlined below will adhere to the protocol approved by the Northwestern University IRB.

## 3) Confidentiality

## A) **Protection of Subject Privacy**

During this study, medical history and physical examination will be performed at visit 1 and at regular intervals for various potential aversive side effects. All of the materials collected are for research purposes only, and data will be kept in strict confidence. No information will be given to anyone without permission from the subject. The consent form includes the informed consent statement required by Northwestern University for studies involving human subjects. This statement guarantees confidentiality and identifies the subject as the owner of the information provided. Confidentiality will be ensured by use of identification codes. All data, whether generated in the laboratory or at the scanner, will be identified with a randomly generated identification code unique to the subject.

#### B) Database Protection

The database will be secured with password protection. The informatics manager will receive only coded information that is entered into the database under those identification numbers. Electronic communication with outside collaborators will involve only unidentifiable information. Adverse event reports and annual summaries will not include subject- or group-identifiable material. Each report will only include the identification code.

## 4) Adverse Event Information

#### A) **Definition**

An adverse event (AE) is any untoward medical occurrence in a subject during participation in the clinical study or with use of placebo or naproxen/omeprazole. An adverse finding can include a sign, symptom, abnormal assessment (laboratory test value, vital signs, electrocardiogram finding, etc.), or any combination of these.

A serious adverse event (SAE) is any adverse event that results in one or more of the following outcomes:

- Death
- A life-threatening event
- Inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization
- A persistent or significant disability/incapacity
- A congenital anomaly or birth defect
- An important medical event based upon appropriate medical judgment

## B) Classification of AE Severity

AEs will be labeled according to severity, which is based on their impact on the patient. An AE will be termed "mild" if it does not have a major impact on the patient, "moderate" if it causes the patient some minor inconvenience and "severe" if it causes a substantial disruption to the patient's well-being.

## C) **AE Attribution Scale**

AEs will be categorized according to the likelihood that they are related to the study intervention. Specifically, they will be labeled definitely unrelated, definitely related, probably related, or possibly related to the study intervention.

## D) Expected Risks

Naproxen/omeprazole magnesium is used to treat signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis when there is a high risk for stomach ulcer/bleeding. The fact that it contains naproxen (NSAID) increases certain risks including the chance of heart attack, inflammation of the stomach lining, indigestion, diarrhea, or stomach ulcers.

These risks are considered to range from minimal to severe and are addressed in the protocol and consent form.

Dr. Thomas Schnitzer will review adverse effects every four weeks. Any adverse event will be reported to the Northwestern IRB and NIH/NCCIH.

#### E) AE Reporting and Followup

Please refer to Tables 4, 5, and 6 in DSMP Appendix A for formats for AE data collection and reporting.

#### F). SAE Reporting

SAEs that are unanticipated, serious, and possibly related to the study intervention will be reported to the Independent Monitor, IRB, and NCCIH in accordance with requirements.

- Unexpected fatal or life-threatening AEs related to the intervention will be reported to the NCCIH Program Officer within 7 days. Other serious and unexpected AEs related to the intervention will be reported to the NCCIH Program Official within 14 days.
- Anticipated or unrelated SAEs will be handled in a less urgent manner but will be reported to the Independent Monitor, IRB, NCCIH, and other oversight organizations in accordance with their requirements.
- The medical monitor (Dr. Thomas J Schnitzer) is required to review all unanticipated problems involving risk to volunteers or others, serious adverse events and all volunteer deaths associated with the protocol and provide an unbiased written report of the event to the FDA. The medical monitor will comment on the outcomes of the event or problem and in the case of a serious adverse event or death comment on the relationship to participation in the study. The medical monitor will also indicate whether he/she concurs with the details of the report provided by the study investigator. Reports for events determined by either the investigator or medical monitor to be possibly or definitely related to participation and reports of events resulting in death will be promptly forwarded to the FDA.

#### G) Data Quality and Management

i. Description of Plan for Data Quality and Management:

The unblinded monitor will have access to review all data collection forms on an ongoing basis for data completeness and accuracy as well as protocol compliance. Logs of scanned participants as well as drug dispension and follow-up will be regularly assessed by the unblinded monitor. Data verification will be performed by

someone other than the individual originally collecting the data at interim visits and after study completion. In REDcap, double data entry will be used as a quality assessment measure for paper source documents used as CRFs that will be uploaded into the database; initial entry by research personnel will be left unverified and only after a second person has completed this entry will the form become complete and verified. A statement reflecting the results of the ongoing data review will be incorporated into the Annual Report for the Independent Monitor.

ii. Frequency of Review

The frequency of data review for this study differs according to the type of data and can be summarized in the following Table:

Data type	Frequency of review	Reviewer
Subject accrual (including compliance with protocol enrollment criteria)	Quarterly	PI, Independent Monitor
Status of all enrolled subjects, as of date of reporting	Quarterly	PI, Independent Monitor
Adherence data regarding study visits and intervention	Quarterly	PI, Independent Monitor
AEs and rates (including out-of-range lab values)	Quarterly	PI, Independent Monitor
SAEs	Per occurrence	PI, Independent Monitor, NIH/NCCIH

#### Frequency of Review TABLE

## H) Subject Accrual and Compliance

iii. Measurement and Reporting of Subject Accrual, Compliance with Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:

Review of the rate of subject accrual and compliance with inclusion/exclusion criteria will occur monthly during the recruitment phase and then every 3 months to ensure that a sufficient number of participants are being enrolled and that they meet eligibility criteria and the targeted ethnic diversity goals outlined in the grant proposal (Targeted/Planned Enrollment Table).

iv. Measurement and Reporting of Participant Adherence to Treatment Protocol

Data on adherence to the treatment protocol will be collected for individual subjects at each visit and reviewed quarterly by the PI, the study coordinator, and the safety officer. Adherence of participants will be evaluated by performing pill counts and by monitoring the appropriate vital signs at each visit. If adherence falls below the rate of 75%, which might inhibit the ability of the study to test its primary hypotheses, the safety officer will suggest a conference call for study investigators to discuss methods for improving adherence.

## I) Justification of Sample Size

We examine the differences in change in pain for placebo responders versus non-responders and the interaction between active treatment and response type. We can estimate statistical power based on the Cohen's d effect sizes for differences in pain with 6-week placebo treatment. For responders, based on our preliminary results, we anticipate a mean decrease of 3 units on a 0-10 scales with an estimated SD of 15, which results in an effect size estimate of 2.0. In non-responders the mean decrease in pain is negligible and we do not expect to have enough power to detect this. Power analyses in G\*Power 3.1.3 indicated that we will have ample power. Even with a conservative estimated effect size of d = 1.0, power would be 80% for a sample size of n = 17 per group which would allow to also detect the interaction effects. In addition, it ensures adequate sample sizes even assuming some attrition in each group. For brain imaging contrasts, fMRI results show that 20 per group should be adequate given the preliminary results and earlier studies. For DTI and T1 studies our earlier studies indicate that 25/group for within subject contrasts is adequate but may be just at the limit for whole-brain contrasts for detecting between-group differences.

Phase 1 results are consistent with above power calculations. They also show that a number of predictors were only borderline significant. Thus, increasing the number of treatment arm subjects by an additional 40 patients would provide opportunity to detect smaller effects and to test for gender dependence of placebo response.

## J) Stopping Rules

This study will be stopped prior to its completion if: (1) the intervention is associated with adverse effects that call into question the safety of the intervention; (2) difficulty in study recruitment or retention will significantly impact the ability to evaluate the study endpoints; (3) any new information becomes available during the trial that necessitates stopping the trial; or (4) other situations occur that might warrant stopping the trial.

## K) Designation of an Independent Monitor

The Independent Monitor for this study is Dr. James (Jim) W. Atchison. Dr. Atchison is not associated with this research project and thus works independently of the PI, Dr. Apkarian. Since Norm is leaving, we need a new person to do this for us.

Dr. Atchison is not a part of the key personnel involved in this grant, and is qualified to review the patient safety data generated by this study because of his/her unique expertise in the area of chronic pain. Dr. Atchison's CV is included at the end of this DSMP.

## L) Safety Review Plan

Study progress and safety will be reviewed quarterly (and more frequently if needed). Progress reports, including patient recruitment, retention/attrition, and AEs, will be provided to the Independent Monitor following each of the monthly reviews. An Annual Report will be compiled and will include a list and summary of AEs. In addition, the Annual Report will address (1)

whether AE rates are consistent with pre-study assumptions; (2) reason for dropouts from the study; (3) whether all participants met entry criteria; (4) whether continuation of the study is justified on the basis that additional data are needed to accomplish the stated aims of the study; and (5) conditions whereby the study might be terminated prematurely. The Annual Report will be sent to the Independent Monitor and will be forwarded to the IRB and NCCIH ,FDA, and sponsoring industry collaborator. The IRB and other applicable recipients will review progress of this study on an annual basis.

## M) Study Report Outline for the Independent Monitor (Interim or Annual Reports)

The study team will generate Study Reports for the Independent Monitor and will provide information on the following study parameters: Progress reports, including patient recruitment, retention/attrition, and AEs

Study Report tables will be generated only from aggregate (not by group assignment) baseline and aggregate safety data for the study population. A separate Closed Safety Report, with masked group baseline and safety data, will be generated for the Independent Monitor(s) by a designated unmasked member of the team but will not be reviewed by the study team.

## N) Informed Consent

Written informed consent will be obtained from each subject at entry into the study. Informed consent is obtained by the following process:

- 1. The subject (if applicable, parent/guardian) will be asked to review the study consent form.
- 2. The PI or Co-Investigator (Co-I), or study coordinator will meet with the subject to review the form, to confirm the subject's understanding of the study, and to answer any questions the subject might have.
- Once the subject demonstrates understanding of the study and agrees to participate in the study, the consent will be signed in the presence of the research coordinator or PI (or Co-I) and a witness.

## O) Reporting Changes in Study Status

During the funding of this study, any action by the FDA (if applicable), an IRB, or one of the study investigators that results in a temporary or permanent suspension of the study will be reported to the NCCIH Program Official within 1 business day of notification.

# Data and Safety Monitoring Plan

# Appendix A

The tables below are EXAMPLE TABLES that can be used or modified to report study progress and patient safety data to oversight groups including the IRB, Independent Monitor(s), NCCIH, and the FDA.

# Table 1. Enrollment by Month of Study

Ν	Mont h	# Expect ed	# Screen ed	# Enrolled or Randomiz ed	# Withdraw n	# Actual (# Enrolled - # Withdrawn)	# Cumulative (Sum of # Actual by Month)
	2	6	4	3	0	3	3
	4	8	6	5	1	4	7

\*Enrollment can also be displayed graphically in a Figure, with cumulative subject accrual plotted over time.

## Table 2. Demographics

Characteristics	N	N%
Gender		
Female		
Male		
Ethnicity		
Hispanic or Latino		
Not Hispanic or Latino		
Unknown		
Age		
Mean (SE)		
Median (min, max)		
Race		
AIAN		
Asian		
Nat Hawaiian/Other Pac Islander		
Black or African		
American		
White		
Other		
More than one race		
Unknown		

# Table 3. Subject Status

<u>Status:</u>	<u>% Compliance to Intervention:</u>
A = Active	(# tablets taken/total # per protocol)*100
C = Completed	Or (# classes taken/total # of assessing should have attended
L = Lost to followup	per protocol)*100

		Date				Interventio
Pt	Date	Complete	Study	Reason for	% Adherence	n Duratior
Identifier	Enrolled	d Study	Status	Withdrawal	to Intervention	(Weeks)
Subj001	08/02/2010	N/A	А			
		9/20/201				
Subj002	07/26/2010	0	С		87%	8 week
				Injury unrelated		
Subj003	08/04/2010	N/A	W	to intervention		

# Table 4. Adverse Events

Pt Identifie r	AE Onset	AE End	AE Code (Med RA, CTC AE)	Sever ity	SA E? (Y/ N)	Relat ed- ness	Actio n Take n	Outco me	Comments
	08/02/201								Subj felt Achilles tendon pain during yoga class; history of tendonitis; will not attend class next
Subj001	0			1		2	1	4	week
Subj002	07/26/201 0			2		2	1	1	
Subj003	08/04/201 0			3		0	4	4	Subj broke leg while skiing; withdrew from study

Severity of AE:	Relatedness to Intervention:				
1 = Mild	0 = Definitely unrelated				
2 = Moderate	1 = Unlikely				
3 = Severe	2 = Possibly related				
4 = Life threatening or disable	ing 3 = Probably related				
-	4 = Definitely related				
Action Taken:	-				
0 = None	Outcome:				
1 = Dose modification	1 = Resolved				

- 2 = Medical intervention
  - 2 = Recovered with minor sequelae 3 = Recovered with major sequelae
- (specify in comments)3 = Recovered with major3 = Hospitalization4 = Continuing treatment
  - 4 = Intervention discontinued 5 = Condition worsening
  - 5 = Other 6 = Patient death\*\*

\*\*Provide further details regarding all reported serious AEs and deaths in the SAE and Subject Deaths tables listed at the end of this section.

# Table 5. Frequency of Specific Symptoms

Symptoms	AE Code (MedRA, CTCAE)	N%
Fatigue		
Malaise		
Nausea		
Dizziness		
Muscle Aches		

# Table 6. Out-of-Range Laboratory Values

						Urin								Related
		Н				е								to
	Visit	С	WB	PL	Prote	RB	Creatin	AL	AS	Cholest	Amyla	BU	CP	Interven
Pt ID	#	Т	С	Т	in	С	ine	Т	Т	erol	se	Ν	Κ	tion
Subj00	)1													
Subj00	)2													
Subj00	)3													

\*If the study is collecting data on patient-reported outcomes or other assessments, which should be regularly assessed with safety data during the study, a similar table listing out-of-range values or scores can be generated for the report.

## Table 7. Serious Adve9rse Events

Pt Identifier	Age	Treatment Date	SAE	SAE Date	Related to Intervention	Description of Actions and Outcomes (e.g., hospitalization, withdrawn from study)
Subj001						
Subj002						
Subj003						

# Table 8. Subject Deaths

Pt		Date	Treatment		Date of	
Identifier	DOB	Enrolled	Date	Cause of Death	Death	Comments
Subj001		08/02/2010				
Subj002		07/26/2010				
Subj003		08/04/2010				

# Table 9. Procedural Events

Visits	1	Interim	2	Interim	3	4	5	6
Informed Consent	Х							
Medical History	Х							
Concomitant Mediactions	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
Physical Exam	Х							
Vitals	Х				Х			Х
Demographics	Х							
Adverse Assessment	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
Phone app usage	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Pain Rating Stability/Elgibility		Х						
Stratification (1 week)				Х				
MRI Screening and Collection			Х				Х	
Study Agent Dispension					Х	Х		
Drug Compliance						Х	Х	
РНН	Х							
<b>Biological Speciman Collection</b>	Х							
Protocol Deviations (if app)	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
eNOTIS	Х							
Study Completion								Х
NRS	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
MPQ	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
PDt	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
PIC	Х		Х		Х	Х	X	Х
ODI	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
BDI	Х				Х	Х	X	Х
PCS	Х							
ERQ	Х							
MAIA	Х							
LAQ	Х							
TSS						Х	X	
MHLC-C								Х
MISS								Х
HCAHQ								Х

# <u>Curriculum Vitae</u> James W. Atchison, D.O. Medical Director Center for Pain Management Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago 980 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60611 312-238-7825/312-238-7881 Fax

## MEDICAL LICENSURE

Illinois #036131304, Sept 2012 Florida #OS 7098, Sept 1995 Ohio #4622, Sept 1988 - Inactive

# DIPLOMATE

National Board of Osteopathic Medical Examiners, #14972, July 1988

# **SPECIALITY BOARDS**

American Board of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, #3373, May 1992 American Board of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Pain Medicine, #360, Sept 2003 American Board of Electrodiagnostic Medicine, #1685, April 1993

# HIGH SCHOOL/UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION

- 1969 1973 Carrollton High School; Carrollton, OH; Degree: Diploma
- 1973 1977 Wittenberg University; Springfield, OH; Degree: Bachelor of Arts in Biology
   Phi Gamma Delta Men's Social Fraternity
  - Men's Intercollegiate Basketball, 1973-74, 1974-75
  - Student Assistant Coach, Men's Intercollegiate Basketball, 1975-76, 1976-77. (NCAA Division III National Champions, 1977; National Runners-up, 1976)

## **HIGH SCHOOL TEACHING**

- 1977 1978 Greenon High School; Enon, OH
  - Instructor: Physics, Introductory Science
  - Coach: Varsity Assistant Football, Basketball and Baseball
- 1978 1980 Triad High School; North Lewisburg, OH
  - Instructor: Physics, Chemistry, General Science
  - Coach: Head Men's Basketball, Head Men's and Women's Track, Varsity Assistant Football
- 1980 1983 Springboro High School; Springboro, OH
  - Instructor: Introductory Chemistry and Physics, Biology
  - Coach: Head Men's and Women's Cross Country, Head Women's Track, Assistant Men's Basketball

# 14.0 MEDICAL SCHOOL

1983 – 1987 Ohio University College of Osteopathic Medicine (OUCOM); Athens, OH; Degree: Doctor of Osteopathy

-	President OU	<b>COM</b> Sports	Medicine	Club,	1984 -	1985
				/		

Social Chairman OUCOM Student council, 1984 – 1985

# INTERNSHIP

1987 – 1988 Rotating; Cuyahoga Falls General Hospital; Cuyahoga Falls, OH

# RESIDENCY

1988 – 1991 Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation; The Ohio State University; Columbus
 Resident Liaison to the Resident Physician Council of the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (AAPM&R), 1990, 1991
 AAPM&R Resident Physician Council Membership Committee, 1991

# ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS

8/1/91 - 1996	Assistant Professor; University of Kentucky, College of Medicine,
	Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation; Lexington, KY
01/96 – 2001	Associate Professor; University of Florida, College of Medicine,
	Departments of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation and Neurological Surgery;
	Gainesville, FL
01/02 – 06/05	Clinical Associate Professor University of Florida, College of Medicine,
	Departments of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation and Neurological Surgery
07/05- 09/12	Clinical Professor University of Florida, College of Medicine, Department
	of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, Division of Physical Medicine and
	Rehabilitation
8/27/12-Present	Professor in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Northwestern University,
	Feinberg School of Medicine

# 15.0 CLINICAL APPOINTMENTS

08/01/91 – 1996	Cardinal Hill Rehabilitation Hospital, Department of Rehabilitation
Medi	cine, Lexington, KY
08/01/91 – 1996	Chandler Medical Center, University of Kentucky, Department of

- 08/01/91 1996 Chandler Medical Center, University of Kentucky, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Lexington, KY
- 08/01/96 1996 Veterans Administration Hospital, Cooper Drive, Lexington, KY
- 0 8/01/91 1996 Shriner's Hospital, 1900 Richmond Road, Lexington, KY
- 08/01/94 1996 Highlands Regional Medical Center, Prestonsburg, KY
- 01/02/96 8/27/12 Shands Medical Center at University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
- 02/01/97 8/27/12 Shands Rehabilitation Hospital, Gainesville, FL
- 06/25/97 2010 Shands at Alachua General Hospital, Gainesville, FL (Hospital Closed) 8/27/12- Present Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL

# ADMINISTRATIVE APPOINTMENTS

 01/01/96-09/2011 Medical Director; SpineCare Center, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
 02/01/97-8/27/12 Medical Director; Shands Rehabilitation Hospital, Gainesville, FL
 02/01/97-06/30/98 Interim Chief; Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 07/01/98-09/2011 Chief; Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

- 8/27/12-Present
- Medical Director, Center for Pain Management, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL

## CONSULTING

- 1989 1991 Rehabilitation Service Commission of Ohio, Bureau of Disability Determination; Columbus, OH
- 1089 1991 Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation; Columbus, OH
- 1992 1996 University of Kentucky Student Health Services; Lexington, KY
- 1992 1996 University of Kentucky Athletic Department; Lexington, KY

# **CONSULTING (Continued)**

- 2005 2011 Florida C.A.R.E.S.; Gainesville, FL
- 2009 2012 Best Doctors, Inc.; Boston, MA
- 2014- Present Best Doctors, Inc., Boston, MA
- 2015- Present INSPE Associates Ltd., Chicago, IL

# 16.0 **REVIEWER**

# American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

- Article Reviewer: 1991 2006, 2012
- Editorial Board; 2001 2006

# 17.0 Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

- Article Reviewer: 1996 – 2009

# Pain Medicine

- Article Reviewer: 2011 – Present

# Association of Academic Physiatrists

- Education Committee
  - White Paper on Fellowships, March 1993
  - "Spinal Biomechanics I and II," September 1997

# American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

- Practice Parameters Committee
  - AHCRP Clinical Practice Guidelines for "Acute Low Back Problems in Adults," November 1994
    - American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons Algorithms for "Hip Pain, Knee
  - Pain, Knee Injury, Wrist Pain, Shoulder Pain, and Low Back Pain," September 1995
- American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons Algorithms for "Herniated Lumbar Disc, Spinal Stenosis, Low Back Musculoligamentous Injury, Phase I Ankle Injury, Phase II Knee Pain, Hallus Valgus, and Pes Planus," Aug 1996
- "The Fibromyalgia Syndrome: A Consensus Report on Fibromyalgia and Disability," Jul 1997

- "AAOS Phase I Wrist Pain Guideline" and "AAOS Phase I Ankle Injury Guideline," May 1999

# 18.0 Journal of Back and Muscloskeletal Rehabilitation

Version 8.0 (Phase 2), Date: 03/28/2018

- Editorial Board; 1999 Present
- Article Reviewer; 1999 Present

# 19.0 Foundation for Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

ERF Best Research Paper Applications, August 2003

# 20.0 The Retirement Research Foundation

Proposal Reviewer, June 1998

# 21.0 U.S. Dept of Education, Office of Special Education & Rehabilitative Services

- Proposal Reviewer for Brain Injury Model System Sites, August 1998
- Proposal Reviewer for Work Training/Vocational Rehab Programs for the

#### Disabled.

\_

July 2002

- Proposal review for SCI Model Systems Sites, August 2011

#### iSpine

- Text Reviewer; 2011

## 21.1 HIGHER EDUCATION TEACHING

Summer 1984Ohio University College of Osteopathic Medicine. Athens, OHHIGHER EDUCATION TEACHING (Continued)

- Laboratory Teaching Assistant for Gross Anatomy and Osteopathic Clinical Principles Course for the Summer Studies Program for Disadvantaged Candidates
- Summer 1991 University of Kentucky Medical School
- 08/1991 1996 University of Kentucky Department of Rehabilitation Medicine Residents
  - Daily Rounds on Stroke Module I and II
  - Weekly Grand Rounds
  - Biweekly Case Conferences
  - Biweekly EMG Case Conferences
  - Monthly Journal Club
    - Weekly Tuesday Morning Resident Course
  - Biweekly Supervision of Outpatient Clinic at VA Medical Center
    - Biweekly Supervision of Outpatient Clinics at PM&R Offices

```
1991 – 1992
```

University of Kentucky Medical Students: 4 students for 4-week rotation

#### in Medicine

- Summer 1992 University of Kentucky Medical School
  - Conjoint 831 for 3<sup>rd</sup> year Medical Students
    - University of Kentucky Office for Experimental Education Internship
      - 1 post-graduate student, 6 hours/week, 8 weeks
- 1992 1993 University of Kentucky Medical Students: 2 students for 4-week rotation in Medicine
- Spring 1993 University of Kentucky Medical School

	1993 – 1994	-	<ul> <li>Introduction to Physical Diagnosis – Medicine 821; weekly group sessions for 2<sup>nd</sup> year medical students, 4 students</li> <li>University of Kentucky Medical Students</li> <li>3 students for 4-week rotation in Medicine</li> <li>Elective in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation: 2 students for 4-week rotation</li> </ul>
22.0	1993 -1994		University of Kentucky Medical Students (continued)
	hiwee	23.0 kly	- Introduction to Physical Diagnosis – Medicine 821:
	DIWEE	-NIY	to and the last state is a
	Summor 1994	Univers	<ul> <li>group sessions for 2<sup>nd</sup> year medical students, 4 students</li> <li>sity of Kentucky Department of Biomechanical Engineering</li> <li>Graduate student interaction/partial supervision of ongoing research projects, 4 students</li> <li>University of Kentucky Medical Students</li> </ul>
	Summer 1994	_	Summer research projects: 2 students for 8 weeks
		-	Medical Student Elective in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation - Visiting medical student from Southeastern College of Osteopathic Medicine
	1994 – 1995		<ul> <li>University of Kentucky Medical Students: 6 students for 4-week</li> <li>rotation in medicine</li> <li>Daily Rounds on Stroke Rehabilitation Module I and II</li> <li>Weekly Grand Rounds</li> <li>Monthly Student Report on a Rehabilitation topic of Choice</li> </ul>
	1994 – 1995	-	University of Kentucky Medical School Introduction to Physical Diagnosis – Medicine 821, biweekly group sessions for 2 <sup>nd</sup> year medical students, 4 students
23.1	HIGHER EDUC		FEACHING (Continued)
		-	<ul> <li>4<sup>th</sup> Year Gerontology Clerkship</li> <li>Five times/week clinical/didactic session, 14 students</li> <li>Problem based learning tutor, 8 sessions, 5 students</li> </ul>
	1994 - 1995	-	University of Kentucky Department of Biomechanical Engineering Graduate student interaction/partial supervision for ongoing research projects, 2 students
	1995		<ul> <li>University of Kentucky Medical School</li> <li>4<sup>th</sup> Year Gerontology Clerkship, 5 times/week clinical/didactic sessions, 4 students</li> <li>University of Kentucky Department of Biomechanical Engineering</li> <li>Masters committee for thesis candidate, Liz Knapp</li> </ul>
	1996 – 2012	-	<ul> <li>University of Florida College of Medicine</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Year Geriatrics Rotation (1996, 1997)</li> <li>Assistance Technology for Geriatric Rehabilitation. Introduction to Gerontology and Geriatrics</li> </ul>

		-	<ul> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> Year Geriatrics Rotation (1996 – 2005) <ul> <li>Introduction to Rehabilitation Principles – Immobility/ Deconditioning, 10 students, 1 time/month</li> <li>Introduction to the Aging Spine – Rehabilitation Treatment Approach to Arthritis, Spinal Stenosis, and Osteoporosis, 10 students, 1 time/month</li> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> Year Clerkships (1996 – 2005)</li> <li>Clinical Instruction in SpineCare Center</li> </ul></li></ul>
			<ul> <li>Lectures on Evaluation and Treatment of Low Back Pain</li> </ul>
			and Neck Pain
			<ul> <li>Clinical framing of Orthopaedics spine reliow in spine care center</li> <li>Weekly Spine Conference with Orthopaedics/ Neurosurgery</li> <li>Posidents</li> </ul>
			- Physician Assistant Students
			Lectures on Evaluation and Treatment of Low Back Pain     and Nock Pain
			- Rheumatology
			- Rheumatology Spine Fellowship
			- Clinical Instruction in SpineCare Center
		-	Psychiatry
			- Special Topics Seminar, Geriatric Psychiatry Fellowship
			- Lecture on Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
			in Geriatrics – Enabling the Elderly
	2006 – 2012		University of Florida Medical Students
			4 <sup>th</sup> Year Elective in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation: 2-Week
		Rotatio	n athread to be a standard
			4 <sup>th</sup> Year Elective in Musculoskeletal Medicine: 2-Week Rotation
	1006 2012		4" Year Gerlatric Clerksnip: 2-Week Rotation
	1996 - 2012		Clinical Detations in ChinaCare Contar for ADND Students
2012	Spring		- Clinical Rotations III SpineCare Center for ARMP Students
2012 -	- Shi ilig		the Shands Pohab Hernital (288 hours)
	1997 - 2001		University of Florida College of Health Professions
	HIGHER EDU		FACHING (Continued)
		CATION	- Department of Clinical and Health Psychology
			- Dissertation Committee for John Otis, Ph.D. Candidate
	1999 – 2011		University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics & Rehabilitation
	1000 2011	-	Spine & Musculoskeletal Medicine Fellowship Director
			(1999-2011)
			- 1999-2000 Michael Meighen, M.D.
			- 2000-2001 Ephraim K. Brenman, D.O.
			- 2001-2002 Carlos J. Placer, M.D.
			- 2002-2003 Arthur J. Kalman, D.O.
			- 2003-2004 Mara Isser, D.O.

		-	2004-2005 Alberto Rivera, M.D.
		-	2005-2006 Nandita S. Keole, M.D.
		-	2005-2006 Javier A. Placer, M.D.
		-	2006-2007 Joseph Ferraro, M.D.
		-	2006-2007 Samuel Nortman, M.D.
		-	2007-2008 Don Mascarenhas, M.D.
		-	2007-2008 Marisol Arcila, M.D.
		-	2008-2009 Adam Berliner, D.O.
		-	2008-2009 Stanford Williamson, D.O.
		-	2009-2010 Robert "Jamie" Spicer, D.O.
		-	2010-2011 Maximillian Shokat, D.O.
		-	2010-2011 Maureen Noh, M.D.
		-	2011-2012 A. Scott Hamilton, M.D.
		-	2011-2012 Quang T. (Wayne) Nguyen, M.D.
	1999 – 2012	Univer	sity of Florida, College of Medicine
		-	Geriatric Medicine Fellow Rotations
2002 -	· 2004	University of F	Iorida College of Health Professions
		- Depart	ment of Health Services Administration,
		Brooks	Center for Rehabilitation Studies
		-	Physician Collaborator for Steven George, PhD, PT
	2012 – 2012	Univer	sity of Florida, College of Medicine
		- Stroke	Fellowship/Neurorehabiltation Inpatient Care Rotation
	March 2012	Univer	sity of Florida, College of Medicine
		-	AHMA Back Pain Seminar – Medical Student
		Univer	sity of Florida/North Florida/South Georgia Veterans Health
	System		
			<ul> <li>Geriatric Research Education &amp; Clinical Centers –</li> </ul>
		Geriat	ric Fellowship Noon Conference – Principles of Pain Management

#### 24.0

# 25.0 TEACHING AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

<ul> <li>"Principles of Manual Medicine." American Academy of Physical</li> </ul>
Medicine and Rehabilitation Annual Meetings.
- <i>"Emerging concepts in the diagnosis and treatment of pain. An intensive</i>
and comprehensive review." American Academy of Physical Medicine and
Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA (Program Committee Co-Chair)
- <i>"Emerging concepts in the diagnosis and treatment of pain. An intensive and comprehensive review."</i> American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.

# 26.0 TEACHING AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL (Continued)

New Orleans, LA (Program Committee Co-Chair)

June 2005 - "Emerging concepts in the diagnosis and treatment of pain. An intensive and comprehensive review." (Program Committee Co-Chair) American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. San Francisco, CA.

# 27.0 **RESIDENT LECTURES**

Aug 1991	<ul> <li>Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Upper and Lower Limb – Neuromusculoskeletal Examination and
	Clinical/Anatomic Correlates (CHRH)
Sep 1991	<ul> <li>Basics of Electromyography/Nerve conduction Studies (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Radial Nerve Studies (CHRH)
-	Prevention and Treatment of Pressure Ulcers (CHRH)
Oct 1991	- Aphasia – diagnosis and classification (CHRH)
-	Upper Limb – Neuromusculoskeletal Examination and Clinical/Anatomic
	Correlates (CRRH)
-	Diagnosis and Treatment of Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy (CHRH)
-	Median Motor and Sensory Nerve Conduction Studies (CHRH)
-	Knee Anatomy and Examination (VA)
-	Knee Rehabilitation and Bracing (VA)
Nov 1991	<ul> <li>Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Lower Limb – Neuromusculoskeletal Examination and Clinical/Anatomic
	Correlates (CHRH)
	<ul> <li>Neuroradiology – Central Nervous System Course (ALL)</li> </ul>
-	Use of Nerve and Motor Point Blocks (CHRH)
-	Facial EMG and Nerve conduction Studies (CHRH)
Dec 1991	<ul> <li>Diagnosis and Treatment of Parkinson's Disease (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Ulnar Motor and Sensory Nerve Conduction Studies (CHRH)
	<ul> <li>Upper and Lower Limb – Neuromusculoskeletal Examination and</li> </ul>
	Clinical/anatomic Correlates (VA)
Jan 1992	<ul> <li>Biomechanics of Normal Human Locomotion (VA)</li> </ul>
-	Therapeutic Exercise and Strength Training (VA)
-	Treatment of Upper Motor Neuron Spasticity (CHRH)
-	Prophylaxis, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Deep Venous Thrombosis (CHRH)
-	Use of Antidepressants and Antianxiolytics Following Stroke (CHRH)
	<ul> <li>Multiple Sclerosis and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis for CNS Course</li> </ul>
	(ALL)
Feb 1992	<ul> <li>Prophylaxis of Seizures Following Stroke (CHRH)</li> </ul>
	- Bladder anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH)
-	Differential Diagnosis of Low Back Pain (VA)
-	Use of Cervical Traction (VA)
Mar 1992	- Pulmonary Rehabilitation (VA)
-	Diagnosis and Treatment of Spinal Stenosis (VA)
-	Lower Limb Nerve Conduction Studies
	<ul> <li>Differentiation of Upper Motor Neuron vs Lower Motor Neuron Firing</li> </ul>
	Pattern by EMG (CHRH)

# - Use of F Waves and H Reflexes (CHRH)

# **RESIDENT LECTURES (Continued)**

Apr 1992	<ul> <li>Diagnosis and Treatment of Fibromyalgia (VA)</li> </ul>
-	Examination and Biomechanics of Shoulder Function (VA)
May 1992	- Myasthenia Gravis, Myastenic Syndrome, and Repetitive Stimulation
	(VA)
-	Treatment of Plantar Fascitis (VA)
	<ul> <li>Aphasia – Diagnosis and Classification (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Use of Antidepressants and Antianxiolytics Following Stroke (CHRH)
-	Prophylaxis of Seizures Following Stroke (CHRH)
Jun 1992	<ul> <li>Ankylosisng Spondylitis and the Arthridites (VA)</li> </ul>
-	Diagnosis and Treatment of Spinal Stenosis (VA)
-	Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH)
-	Use of Manual Medicine (CHRH)
Jul 1992	<ul> <li>Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Prophylaxis, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Deep Venous Thrombosis (CHRH)
-	Asphasia – Diagnosis and Classification (CHRH)
-	Prophylaxis of Seizures Following Stroke (CHRH)
-	Lower Limb Nerve Conduction Studies (VA)
-	Introduction of Electrodiagnostic Instrumentation (ALL)
-	Introduction to Electrodiagnostic Needle Examination (ALL)
Jul 1992	- Manual Medicine Mini-Course Within Musculoskeletal Course (ALL)
-	Introduction to Anatomy and Biomechanics of the Spine
	- Evaluation and Treatment of the Cervical Spine
-	Evaluation and Treatment of Ribs and the Thoracic Spine
-	Evaluation and Treatment of Lumbar Spine, Pelvis, and Sacrum
Aug 1992	<ul> <li>Motor Nerve Conduction Studies (ALL)</li> </ul>
-	Sensory Nerve Conduction Studies (ALL)
	<ul> <li>Electrodiagnostic Evaluation of Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome (VA)</li> </ul>
-	Diagnosis and Treatment of Lumbar Stenosis (VA)
	- Classification of Impairment, Handicap, and Disability (Conjoint 831)
Sep 1992	<ul> <li>Motor Unit Analysis, Part I (ALL)</li> </ul>
-	Basic Carpal Tunnel Analysis/Screen (ALL)
-	Introduction to F Waves/H Reflexes (ALL)
Oct 1992	<ul> <li>Motor Unit Analysis, Part II (ALL)</li> </ul>
-	Electrodiagnostic Analysis of the Fascial Nerve (ALL)
-	How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-AIs)
-	Medial Calcaneal Nerve Conduction Studies (VA)
	<ul> <li>Lateral Femoral Cutaneous Nerve Studies (VA)</li> </ul>
-	Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH)
-	Prophylaxis, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Deep Venous Thrombosis (CHRH)
Nov 1992	<ul> <li>Averaging/F Wave Techniques (VA)</li> </ul>
-	Lower Limb Nerve Conduction Screen (ALL)
-	Use of Antidepressants and Antianxiolytics Following Stroke (CHRH)

Prophylaxis of Seizures Following Stroke (CHRH)

# **RESIDENT LECTURES (Continued)**

-

Dec 1992	<ul> <li>Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Saphenous Nerve Studies (VA)
-	Controversies in the Use of Steroids Following CVA (CHRH)
-	Superficial Peroneal Nerve Studies (VA)
Jan 1993	<ul> <li>Electrodiagnosis of Peroneal N. Palsy (VA)</li> </ul>
-	Lateral Antebrachial Cutaneous Nerve Studies (CHRH)
-	How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)
Feb 1993	- Anterior Interosseous Syndrome (VA)
-	Diagnosis of Hereditary Sensory Motor Neuropathies (VA)
-	Evaluation of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH)
-	Diagnosis and Classification of Peripheral Neuropathy (VA)
-	How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)
-	Use of Antispasticity Medicine Following Stroke (CHRH)
Mar 1993	<ul> <li>Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Alternative Ulnar Nerve Conduction Studies (VA)
-	Controversies in the Use of Steroids Following CVA (CHRH)
-	Techniques in Repetitive Stimulation (VA)
-	Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH)
Apr 1993	<ul> <li>Motor Unit Analysis, Part I (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Comparison of Lower Limb Sensory Nerve Studies (VA)
-	Evaluation of Spontaneous EMG Potentials (CHRH)
May 1993	<ul> <li>Motor Unit Analysis, Part II (ALL)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)</li> </ul>
-	Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH)
Jun 1993	<ul> <li>Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH)</li> </ul>
-	Controversies in the Use of Steroids Following CVA (CHRH)
-	Use of Antispasticity Medicine Following Stroke (CHRH)
Jul 1993	<ul> <li>Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Prophylaxis, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Deep Venous Thrombosis</li> </ul>
	(CHRH)
	<ul> <li>Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Principles of Geriatric Rehabilitation (ALL)</li> </ul>
Aug 1993	<ul> <li>Introduction to Electrodiagnostic Instrumentation (ALL)</li> </ul>
-	Introduction to EMG Need Examination (ALL)
Sep 1993	<ul> <li>Motor Nerve Conduction Studies (ALL)</li> </ul>
-	Sensory Nerve Conduction Studies (ALL)
-	How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)
-	Motor Unit Analysis, Part I (ALL)
Oct 1993	<ul> <li>How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)</li> </ul>
-	Basic Carpal Tunnel Analysis/Screen (ALL)

	-	Introduction to F Waves/H Reflexes (ALL)
Nov 1	1993	- Motor Unit Analysis, Part II (ALL)
	-	Evaluation and Treatment of Shoulder Pain/Dysfunction (UK)
	-	How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)
	-	Neuroradiology for CNS Course (ALL)
RESID	DENT LEC	CTURES (Continued)
Dec 1	.993	- Basic Lower Limb Nerve Conduction Screen (ALL)
Jan 1	994	<ul> <li>How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)</li> </ul>
	-	Electrodiagnostic Analysis of the Facial Nerve (ALL)
	-	Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH)
	-	EMG Waveform Analysis, Part I (ALL)
	-	Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH)
Feb 1994		- Prophylaxis, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Deep Venous Thrombosis
		(CHRH)
	-	Prophylaxis of Recurrent CVA (CHRH)
	-	Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH)
	-	EMG Waveform Analysis, Part II (ALL)
	-	Ulnar Motor and Sensory Nerve Conduction Studies (ALL)
Mar 1994	-	Multiple Sclerosis and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis for CNS Course
		(ALL)
	-	How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)
		<ul> <li>Needle Stimulation and Recording for Difficult Nerve Conduction</li> </ul>
		Studies (ALL)
	-	Repetitive Stimulation Techniques (ALL)
Apr 1994		<ul> <li>Unusual Nerve Conduction Studies (ALL)</li> </ul>
	-	How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)
	-	EMG Needle Exam – Upper Limb Anatomy and Screening Exam (ALL)
	-	Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH)
May	1994	<ul> <li>Controversies in the Use of Steroids Following CVA (CHRH)</li> </ul>
	-	Use of Antispasticity Medicine Following Stroke (CHRH)
		<ul> <li>EMG Needle Exam – Lower Limb Anatomy and Screening Exam</li> </ul>
		(ALL)
	-	Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH)
	-	Review of EMG/NCS for Carpal Tunnel Analysis (ALL)
Jun 1	994	<ul> <li>Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH)</li> </ul>
	-	Review of Difficult EMG/NCS Cases (ALL)
Jul 19	94	- Manual Medicine Mini-Course Within Musculoskeletal Course (ALL)
		<ul> <li>Evaluation and Treatment of the Cervical Spine (ALL)</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Evaluation and Treatment of the Lumbar Spine (ALL)</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Evaluation and Treatment of Pelvis and Sacrum (ALL)</li> </ul>
Aug 1	.994	<ul> <li>Introduction to Electrodiagnostic Instrumentation (ALL)</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als)</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH-AIs)</li> </ul>
	-	Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH-AIs)

-

- Prophylaxis, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Deep Venous Thrombosis (CHRH-AIs)
   Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH-AIs)
- Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH-Als)
  - Use of Antidepressant and Antianxiolytics Following Stroke (CHRH-Als)

# **RESIDENT LECTURES (Continued)**

Sep 1994	<ul> <li>Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH/Ger)</li> </ul>		
-	Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH/Ger)		
-	Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH/Ger)		
-	Alternative Treatments for Pain in the Elderly (CHRH/Ger)		
-	Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH/Ger)		
-	Use of Unconventional Medication in Geriatric Rehabilitation (CHRH/Ger)		
-	Principles of Diagnosis and Treatment of Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy		
	(CHRH/Ger)		
	<ul> <li>Use of Antidepressants and Antianxiolytics Following Stroke</li> </ul>		
	(CHRH/Ger)		
-	Prophylaxis of Seizures after Stroke (CHR/Ger)		
-	Medications to be Avoided in the Elderly (CHRH/Ger)		
Oct 1994	<ul> <li>How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als/Ger)</li> </ul>		
-	Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH/AI/Ger)		
-	Prophylaxis, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Deep Venous Thrombosis		
	(CHRH/AI/Ger)		
	<ul> <li>Use of Antispasticity Medicine Following Stroke (CHRH/AI/Ger)</li> </ul>		
-	Use of Unconventional Medication in Geriatric Rehabilitation (CHRH/AI/Ger)		
-	Use of Antidepressants and Antianxiolytics Following Stroke		
	(CHRH/AI/Ger)		
-	Medications to be Avoided in the Elderly (CHRH/AI/Ger)		
-	Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH/AI/Ger)		
-	Alternative Treatments for Pain in the Elderly (CHRH/AI/Ger)		
Nov 1994	<ul> <li>Introduction to EMG Needle Examination (ALL)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>How to Evaluate a Patient with Low Back Pain (CHRH-Als/Ger)</li> </ul>		
Dec 1994	- Motor Unit Analysis, Part I (ALL)		
Jan 1995	<ul> <li>EMG Needle Exam – Upper Limb Anatomy and Screening Exam</li> </ul>		
	(ALL)		
-	Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH/Ger)		
	<ul> <li>Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH/Ger)</li> </ul>		
-	Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH/Ger)		
	<ul> <li>Medications to be Avoided in the Elderly (CHRH/Ger)</li> </ul>		
Feb 1995	<ul> <li>Physiology of Exercise (ALL)</li> </ul>		
-	Needle Stimulation Techniques (ALL)		
-	Cancer Rehabilitation (ALL)		
-	EMG Needle Exam – Lower Limb Anatomy and Screening Exam (ALL)		
- Use of Unconventional Medication in Geriatric Rehabilitation (CHRH/Ger) \_
  - Principles of Diagnosis and Treatment of Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy (CHRH/Ger)
- Use of Antidepressants and Antianxiolytics Following Stroke (CHRH/Ger)
- -Medications to be Avoided in the Elderly (CHRH/Ger)

How to Evaluate a Patient With Low Back Pain (CHRH/AI/Ger) The Essentials of Electrodiagnostic Instrumentation (ALL)

Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH/Ger)

Use of Antidepressants and Antianxiolytics Following Stroke (CHRH/Ger)

Use of Unconventional Medication in Geriatric Rehabilitation

Principles of Diagnosis and Treatment of Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy

Electrodiagnostic Evaluation of Motor Neuron Disease (ALL)

Principles of Diagnosis and Treatment of Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy (CHRH)

Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH)

Use of Unconventional Medication in Geriatric Rehabilitation (CHRH)

Introduction to Electrodiagnostic Instrumentation (ALL)

Anatomy and Kinesiology of the Cervical Spine (ALL)

Needle Stimulation and Pin Pick-up Techniques (ALL)

Spine – Spinal Cord Injury (OSMI – Ortho Morning Conference)

Rehabilitation of the Acute Stroke Patient (ALL)

Overview of Opioid Management- PGY-2 (RIC)

The Basics of Electrodiagnostic Examination and Interpretation (ALL)

Medications to be Avoided in the Elderly (CHRH/Ger)

Evaluation and Treatment of the Hemiplegic Shoulder (CHRH/Ger)

Principles in Orthotic Use and Bracing Following CVA (CHRH)

Department of PM&F Orientation for PGY-IS

Department of PM&R Orientation for PGY-II Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH/Ger) Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH)

- Mar 1995 EMG Waveform Analysis: Video Review (ALL)

Analysis of Normal and Pathological Gait (CHRH/Ger)

Medications to be Avoided in the Elderly (CHRH/Ger)

Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH/Ger)

Repetitive Stimulation Techniques (ALL) Unusual Stimulation Techniques (ALL)

Bladder Anatomy and Dysfunction (CHRH)

Analysis of Normal and Pathologic Gait (CHRH)

Anatomy and Kinesiology of the Lower Leg (ALL)

Motor Unit Analysis, Part I (ALL)

Sensory Nerve Conduction Studies (ALL)

Rational Polypharmacy- PGY-2 (RIC)

-

\_

\_

-

-

--

\_

\_

May 1995

June 1995

Jul 1995

Aug 1995

Sept 1995

Oct 1995

Nov 1995

Dec 1995

Sep 2011

Jan 2014

-

Apr 1995

**RESIDENT LECTURES (Continued)** 

(CHRH/Ger)

(CHRH/Ger)

-

\_

\_

-

-

\_

-

-

	March 2014 August 2014 Oct 2014 - Dec 2014 Feb 2015 March 2015 April 2015 - May 2015 - - - - -	<ul> <li>Opioids II -advanced series – (RIC)</li> <li>Intro to Pain Management- PGY-2 (RIC)</li> <li>Opioids I- (RIC)</li> <li>Functional Measures- (RIC)</li> <li>WC/ SSI/ Disability/ Impairment- (RIC)</li> <li>Outcomes for CRPM/WC/CRPS- (RIC)</li> <li>Opioids I- (RIC)</li> <li>Opioids I- (RIC)</li> <li>Worker's Comp- PGY-3 and PGY-4 (RIC)</li> <li>Interdisciplinary Approach to Chronic Pain- PGY-2 (RIC)</li> <li>FCE and Impairment Ratings- PGY- 3 and PGY-4 (RIC)</li> <li>WC/ IME's</li> </ul>				
28.0	ADVISING A	ADVISING ACTIVITY				
	1001 1002	University of Kentucky Medical Students (1)				
	-	University of Kentucky – Medical Students (1)				
		Research Requirements (3)				
	Summer 1992	- University of Kentucky, Office for Experimental Education Internship (1)				
	1992 – 1993	- University of Kentucky, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine –				
		Residents for Research Requirements (5)				
	-	University of Kentucky – Medical Students (4)				
	1993 – 1994	- University of Kentucky, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine –				
		Residents for Research Requirements (4)				
	1994 – 1995	<ul> <li>University of Kentucky, Department of Physical Medicine and</li> </ul>				
		Rehabilitation – Residents for Research Requirements (5)				
	-	University of Kentucky, College of Nursing. Doctoral Candidate:				
		Deborah Reed				
	-	University of Kentucky, Department of Biomechanics. Master's				
		Candidate: Liz Knapp				
	1995 – 1996	<ul> <li>University of Kentucky, Department of Biomechanics. Master's</li> </ul>				
		Candidate: Liz Knapp				
	1996 – 2001	- University of Florida, Department of Clinical & Health Psychology.				
		Ph.D. Candidate: John Otis				
	2002 – 2003	- University of Florida, College of Medicine. 4 <sup>th</sup> Year Medical Student:				
		Kevin Vincent, Ph.D.				
	-	University of Florida, College of Medicine. 4 <sup>th</sup> Year Medical Student:				
		Marisol E. Arcila.				
	2002 2004	Luciversity of Florida, College of Medicine, Ath Moor Medical				
29.0	2003 - 2004	- University of Fiorida, College of Medicine. 4" Year Medical				
	Student					
		James Lopez				
	-	University of Florida, College of Medicine. 4 <sup>th</sup> Year Medical Student				

		David James Kennedy		
	2004 – 2005	University of Florida, College of Medicine. 4th Year Medical Student		
		Sheryce Andrews		
2006 -	- 2007	University of Florida College of Medicine. 4 <sup>th</sup> Year Medical Studen		
		Matthew T. Smith		
		University of Florida College of Medicine. 4 <sup>th</sup> Year Medical		
		Student Wesley Chay		
		University of Florida College of Medicine. 4 <sup>th</sup> Year Medical		
		Student		
		Timi Tuamokumo		
2008 –	- 2009	University of Florida College of Medicine. 4 <sup>th</sup> Year Medical Student Jeffrey Faricielli		

#### 30.0

# 31.0 ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITY

#### 1991 – 1996 University of Kentucky, College of Medicine

- Search Committee for Chair, Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation; 1995
  - Clinical Sciences Self-Study Committee; 1995
- Graduate Medical Education Committee; 1995
- Internal Residency Review Committee for Occupational/Preventive Medicine; 1995
- Research Committee; 1995

#### ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITY (Continued)

#### 1991 – 1996 University of Kentucky, Dept of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

- Research Committee
- Resident Recruitment Committee
- Organization of Resident Recruitment; 1994–1995
- Education Committee
- Development of Course: "Introduction to Rehabilitation Medicine for Medical Students"
- Development of Dept of Rehabilitation Medicine Evaluation Forms
- Student Evaluation of Faculty Lectures "Introduction to Rehab Medicine"
- Student Evaluation of Departmental Rotations
- Resident/Faculty Evaluation of "Chief Rounds" Lectures
- Development of Educational Syllabus for Stroke Rotation
- Co-Development of Educational Syllabus for General Rehabilitation Rotation
- Co-Development of the Required Teaching Guidelines for the VA Residents
- Development of PM&R Student Health Clinic ½ day/week (Jan 1992)
- Co-Course Director: Residents Thursday Course "Manual Medicine" (with Musculoskeletal Course, Jul 1992, Jul 1994)
- Development of PM&R/Manual Medicine Consultation Services for Department of Athletics (Aug 1992)

- Course Director: "Introduction to Electrodiagnosis for Resident" (Jul 1992 Dec 1992, Aug 1993 Jun 1994, Aug 1994 Jun 1995)
- Co-Course Director: Residents Thursday Course "Prosthetics and Orthotics " (Spring 1992)
- Development of Yearly Evaluation for Resident Competency/Skills in EMG/NCS
- Course Director for Tuesday Morning Residents Lecture Series (Aug 1994-1996)
- Course Director: Residents Thursday Course "Electrodiagnosis (Spring 1995)
- 1993 1994 Assistant Residency Program Director
  - Departmental Coordinator of Medical Student Rotations
  - Research Committee, Chair

\_

- 1994 1995 Departmental Coordinator of 4<sup>th</sup> Year Gerontology Clerkship, MD 841
  - Departmental Coordinator of Medical Student Rotations
  - Research Committee, Chair
  - Preparation of Document for Residency Review Committee 1994
  - Course Director for CME Course "Clinical Osteopathic Manual Medicine: An Introductory Hands-on Course for Physicians and Therapists"
- 1994 1995 Residency Program Director

# 1991 – 1995 Cardinal Hill Rehabilitation Hospital

- Utilization Review Committee
- Dysphagia Committee
- Development of Dysphagia Survey mailed to all hospitals in Kentucky to establish areas of service/need
- Long Range Planning Committee Stroke
- 1993 1995 Research Committee, Chair
- 1996-2012 University of Florida, College of Medicine
  - Medical Director, SpineCare Center 1996 09/2011
  - UF Health Systems Worker's Compensation Provider Network Project Team 08/1996

# ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITY (Continued)

- Post-Acute Hospitalization Planning Committee 09/1996
- Chair, Sub-Acute Rehabilitation Subcommittee 09/1996
- HealthSouth Project Team 09/1996
- Interim Chief Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Department of Orthopaedics Surgery 02/1997 07/1998
- Medical Staff Executive Committee 07/1997
- Rehabilitation Medical Advisory Committee Jul 1997
- Chair Jul 1997
- Physiatry Steering Committee May 1997
- Rehabilitation Operations Group Feb 1997
- Chief, Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation Jul 1998 Sep 2011
- Advisory Board, Gator Sports Camp Jul 1998
- VA Rehab Task Force Jul 1998
- Program Director, PM&R Fellowship Program July 1999 Sep 2011
- Shands Pain Committee Jul 2001 07/2003

- Shands Spinal Cord Injury Committee July 2001 July 2003
- Chair, Shands Pain Management Subcommittee July 2004 July 2008
- 02/97-2012 Shands Rehab Hospital
  - Medical Director
- 07/01-2012 Shands Rehab Hospital
  - Medical Director, Spinal Cord Injury Continuum of Care
- 1993-2005 Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF)
  - Surveyor Training Tucson, AZ Jul 1993
  - Site Survey; New Hampshire Rehabilitation Hospital;/Health South Concord, NH; November 1993
  - National Advisory Committee Outpatient Medical Rehabilitation Tucson, AZ March 1994
  - Site Survey; Yonkers Rehab Center; Des Moines; IO; April 1994
  - Site Survey; Holzer Medical Center Rehabilitation Unit; Gallipolis, OH; June 1994
  - Site Survey; Union Memorial Hospital; Baltimore, MD; January 1995
  - Site Survey; Rehab Institute of Chicago; Chicago, IL; May 1995
  - Site Survey; Rehab Institute of Michigan; Detroit, MI; September 1995
  - Site Survey; Stratton VA Medical Center, PM&R Services; Albany, NY; April 1996
  - Site Survey; Coghlin Rehabilitation Hospital of the Medical College of Ohio; Toledo, OH; June 1996
  - Site Survey; Magee Rehab Hospital; Philadelphia, PA; December 1996
  - Site Survey; Edward Hines Jr VA Hospital; Hines, IL; June 1997
  - Site Survey; Aultman Comprehensive Medical Rehabilitation Center; Canton, OH; December 1997
  - Site Survey; Broken Arrow Rehabilitation; Broken Arrow, OK; February 1998
  - Site Survey; St. Francis Medical Center, the Center for Physical Rehabilitation; Pittsburgh, PA; September 1998
  - Site Survey; Doctors Hospital of Stark County Rehab Center; Masillon, OH; March 1999
  - Site Survey; Barberton Citizens Hospital Rehabcare Center; Barberton, OH; May 1999

#### ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITY (Continued)

- Site Survey; Rehabilitation Institute at Tennessee Christian Medical Center; Nashville, TN; November 1999
- Site Survey; St. Elizabeth Health Center Acute Rehab Unit; Youngstown, OH; May 2000
- Site Survey; Louis Stoke Cleveland Dept of Veteran Affairs medical Center; Cleveland, OH; June 2000
- Site Survey; Firelands Regional Health System; Sandusky, OH; October 2000
- Site Survey; Meridia Center for Rehabilitation and Pain Management; Euclid, OH; December 2000
- Site Survey; University of North Carolina Hospitals Rehab Center, Chapel Hill; NC; August 2001
- Site Survey; Avante at Leesburg; Leesburg, FL; October 2001

- Site Survey; The Rehab Program at Hollywood Medical Center; Hollywood, FL; September 30-October 1,2002
- Site Survey; Marion General Hospital; Marion, OH; June 2003
- Site Survey; Middleton Regional Hospital/Inpatient Rehab Unit; Middleton, OH; June 2004
- Site Survey; Ohio Valley Rehabilitation Network, Inc.; Bridgeport, OH; August 2004
- 2012–2012 Surveyor Training Tucson, AZ Feb 2012
  - Site Survey; Northeastern Rehabilitation at Heritage Hospital; Tarboro, NC; May 2012
- 1997-2012Florida Department of Labor & Employment Security, Division of<br/>Vocational Rehabilitation, Florida Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Program
  - Inpatient/Outpatient Rehabilitation Committee Apr 1997
  - Site Survey: Tampa General Rehabilitation Center Dec 2000
    - Site Survey: Lee Memorial Hospital, Ft. Myers, FL May 2008

# 2001-2003 University of North Texas Health Science Center, Texas College of Osteopathic Medicine

External Board of Scientific Counselors

# HONORS

#### Undergraduate

- Sigma Sigma National Biology Honorary Society
- Phi Eta Sigma National Men's Freshman Honorary Society

#### **Medical School**

- Sigma Sigma Phi National Osteopathic Honorary Society
- Outstanding Academics & Community Service Award, 1985
- Dean's Award for Outstanding Academic, Personal integrity, and Anticipated Contribution to the Field of Osteopathic Medicine, 1987
  - Outstanding Clinical Student at OUCOM NE Ohio Regional Teaching Site, Brentwood Hospital, Warrensville Heights, OH, 1987

# 32.0 Internship

 Intern/Resident Research Paper Contest Winner (chosen by clinical faculty); Title:
 "Recognition and recovery from ankle sprains: a step-wise treatment and rehabilitation program."

# Residency

Senior Resident Academic Achievement Award, 1991

# Academia

- Teacher of the Year (chosen by residents), Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Kentucky, College of Medicine, 1991-1992

# **HONORS (Continued)**

Master Teacher Award for Leadership, University of Kentucky, College of Medicine, 1995
 Exemplary Teacher, College of Medicine, University of Florida, 2003-2004

Distinguished Clinician Award, American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2010 (National)

#### **VOLUNTEER PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES**

#### **Ohio High School Athletic Association**

- On-site physician, Central Ohio District Basketball Tournament; Mar 1989, Mar 1990
- On-site physician, State Soccer Final; Nov 1989
- On-site physician, State Football Finals; Nov1989
- On-site physician, State Track Finals; Jun 1990, Jun 1991
- On-site physician, State Basketball Finals; Mar 1991

# 33.0 Ohio State Wheelchair Olympics

- On-site physician, May 1989, May 1990, May 1991

# 34.0 Ohio State Fair Golden Gloves Boxing Tournament

- On-site physician; Aug 1989

# 35.0 Great Ohio Bike Adventure

On-site physician; Jun 1990

# 36.0 United States Olympic Committee (Drug Testing)

- Columbus Marathon, Columbus, OH; Nov 1988
- TAC/USA Track & Filed Junior National Championships, Columbus, OH; Jun 1989
- USA National Baseball Team, Millington, TN; Jun 1989
- USA National Karate Championships, Akron, OH; Feb 1990

#### **Cardinal Hill Hospital**

- Physician liaison for Stroke Support Group; Fall 1991 1996
- Live Television Interview 21<sup>st</sup> Annual Telethon' Apr 1992
- Celebrity/physician 3-on-3 Kick-off, Downtown Showdown Shoot-out; May 1992

#### **Kentucky Bluegrass Games**

On-site physician; Jul 1992, Jul 1993

# Salvation Army Free Clinic, Lexington, KY

Physician; Sep 1992, Nov 1992, May 1993, Aug 1993, Dec 1993, Feb 1994, Jan 1995,
 Apr 1995, Aug 1995, Sep 1995

# **TOPP Soccer Program**

- Co-Director of the creation of local TOPP Soccer Program for kids with disabilities; 2006-2007 Shands Healthcare Marketing, Gainesville, FL
  - Healthcast audio recording to be used on hospital website. Oct 2008

# AOA/AOF iLEARN Mentor Exchange Program

- Offer guidance and wisdom to student doctors, interns, residents and DOs.

# PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

American Association Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine

American Osteopathic Association

American Osteopathic College of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

American Pain Society

American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

American Spinal Cord Injury Association

#### **PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS (continued)**

Association of Academic Physiatrists

Florida Osteopathic Medical Association

Florida Society of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

North American Spine Society

American Academy of Pain Management (2012)

American Academy of Pain Medicine (2012)

#### NATIONAL/STATE COMMITTEES

#### 1992–Present American Academy of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

- Subcommittee on Electronic Enhancement of PM&R Educational Opportunities; 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995
- Liaison to Medical Education Committee; 1993
- Program Planning Committee, 1996-2007
- Chair, 2004 2007
- PASSOR Liaison; 2002 to 2010
- Pain Course Co-Chair; 2004 to 2006
- Awards Committee; 2008, 2009

#### **Geriatric Special Interest Group**

- Nominating Committee; 1995, 1996
- Presentation of Breakfast Focus Session at AAPM&R National Convention on Controversial Issues; 11/1992
- Survey on The use of Unconventional Medications and Treatments in Geriatric Rehabilitation; 10/1994

#### Manual Medicine Special Interest Group

- Chairman; 1995, 1996
- Co-Chairman National Program Committee; 1993, 1994
- Submissions for 1993
  - Manual Medicine Techniques in the Elderly
  - The Sacroiliac Joint and its Relationship to Low Back Pain
  - Mechanical Thoracic Pain An Interdisciplinary Approach: the Integration of Manual Medicine and Myofascial Pain Concepts in Physiatric Practice
  - Submissions for 1994
  - Manual Medicine and Quality Management
  - The Use of Manual Medicine in Performing Artists
  - Manual Medicine Approach to Shoulder Pain: Adhesive Capsulitis, Neck, Scapula or Rib Dysfunction?
  - Exercise, Prescription as a Continuum of Manual Medicine
    - Application of Manual Medicine for the Young Physiatrist
- National Survey of Residency Programs to Determine Level and Source of Instruction of Manual Medicine
- National Survey of Special Interest Group Members to Produce a List of Physiatrists Willing to Teach Manual Medicine to Residents and Other Practitioners

-	Special	Interest	Group	Chairs	Committee:	1994
	Special	interest	Group	Chun 5	commutee,	T))+

#### Stroke and Neurological Diseases Rehabilitation Special Interest Group

 Review of Practice Parameter Paper on Venous Thromboembolism in Stroke; 03/1993

#### **NATIONAL/STATE COMMITTEES (Continued)**

#### **Opioid REMS Task Force Planning Committee**

- Chairman; 2012
- 1997-2003
   American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine
  - Journal Committee; 2001-2003
  - Marketing & Public Relations Committee; 1998-2001
- 1997-2001 American Board of Electrodiagnostic Medicine - Oral Board Examiner; 1997- 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001
- 2003-Present American Spinal Cord Injury Association
  - Prevention Committee 2003, 2004
  - Membership Committee 2003, 2004
  - Program Committee 2009-2012
- 1992-Present Association of Academic Physiatrist
  - Review of Fellowship White Paper; 02/1993
  - Education Committee; 1992, 1993, 1998
  - Undergraduate Medical Education Committee; 1994-1997
  - Principal Author of White Paper on Medical Student Education and PM&R; 1995, 1996
  - Research Coordinators Council; 1994, 1996-1998
  - Residency Program Directors Council; 1994, 1995
- 1992 1995 Kentucky Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
   Education Committee; 1992-1995
- 1993-2005Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF)2012--Site Surveyor; 1993-2005; 2012
  - National Advisory Committee: Outpatient Medical Rehabilitation; 1994
- 1997-2012 Florida Department of Labor and Employment Security, Division of
  - Vocational Rehabilitation, Florida Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Program
    - Inpatient/Outpatient Rehabilitation Committee, 04/1997
- 2012-Present American Academy of Pain Medicine
  - 2013 Annual Meeting Planning Committee

#### 37.0

#### 38.0 INVITED LECTURES

\_

- Feb 1990
   "Entrapment syndromes." The Ohio State University School of Allied Health Science, Columbus, OH
  - Sep 1990 "Social Security Administration disability guidelines for the

musculoskeletal and neurologic systems." Rehabilitation Services Commission of Ohio, Bureau of Disability Determination, Adjudicator Training Classes, Columbus, OH

- Nov 1990 "Spinal manipulation and massage." The Ohio State University, Physical Medicine Course 736, Columbus, OH
- Jan 1991 "Spinal infection." The Ohio State University Department of Physical Medicine, Grand Rounds, Columbus, OH
  - "Musculoskeletal and neurologic systems examination in relation to the Social Security Administration disability guidelines." University of Kentucky Department of Rehabilitation Medicine Grand Rounds, Lexington, KY

#### **INVITED LECTURES (Continued)**

June 1991		<ul> <li>"Social Security Administration disability guidelines for the musculo-</li> </ul>			
		skeletal and neurologic systems." Rehabilitation Services Commission of Ohio,			
		Bureau of Disability Determination, Adjudicator Training Classes, Columbus, OH			
Sep 19	91	- "Spinal manipulation for low back pain." University of Kentucky Fourth			
		Annual Rehabilitation Management Conference for the Primary Care Physician,			
		Lexington, KY			
	Nov 1991	- "Multiple sclerosis: diagnosis, treatment and rehabilitation." Rockcastle			
		County Hospital, Medical Staff Grand Rounds, Rockcastle, KY			
Dec 19	91	- "Medical complications of stroke." Veterans Medical Center Nursing			
		Inservice Lexington, KY			
	Jan 1992	- "Complications of medications following central nervous system injury."			
		Veterans Medical Center Therapy Inservice, Lexington, KY			
	-	"What is physical medicine and rehabilitation?" University of Kentucky			
	_	Department of Student Health Grand Rounds Lexington KY			
	Mar 1992	- "Use of exercise in the elderly to enhance functional mobility." University			
		of Kentucky. Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Grand Rounds, Lexington.			
		KY			
	_	"Principles of cervical manipulation." Cardinal Hill Rehabilitation			
		Hospital Physical Therapy Inservice Lexington KY			
		- "Use of exercise in the elderly to enhance functional mobility" University			
		of Kentucky CME Outreach Program at Carter Cave Resort Park, KY			
	April 1992	- "Introduction of physical medicine and rehabilitation: what does it have			
	to offer you?"	introduction of physical medicine and reliabilitation. What does it have			
	to oner you.	University College of Osteonathic Medicine, Professional Counseling			
	Week Athens	OH			
May 10	492	- "Use of exercise in the elderly to enhance functional mobility." University			
Widy 1992		of Kentucky Department of Rebabilitation Medicine Resident Research Day			
		Lovington KV			
	luno 1002	"Diagnosis and treatment of convical and lumbar radiculenative"			
	June 1992				
	veleialis	Madical Contar Thorapy Inconvice Levington KV			
Δμα 1002		"Use of everyise in the elderly to enhance functional mobility." University			
Aug 19	92 -	Use of exercise in the enderty to enhance functional mobility. University			

		of Kentucky and Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, The 9th Annual Summer Series on Aging, Lexington, KY				
	Sent 1992	- "Use of exercise in the elderly to enhance functional mobility."				
		University of Kentucky, Fifth Annual Rehabilitation Management				
		Conference for the Primary Care Physician, Lexington, KY				
	Oct 1992	- "Diagnosis and treatment of entrapment neuropathies." Veterans				
	Medical					
	mearea	Center Therapy Inservice Lexington KY				
	_	"I ow back nain: diagnosis and aggressive conservative management "				
		South Williamson Annalachian Regional Hospital Medical Staff Grand Rounds				
		South Williamson, KY				
Dec 19	92	- "Osteonathic medicine: its history and use " University of Kentucky				
Dec 15	52	Athletic Training Staff and Student Trainers Grand Bounds Levington KY				
	_	"Low back pain and spinal injury in athletes: causes evaluation, treatment				
	-	and outcome." University of Kentucky Department of Rebabilitation Medicine				
		Grand Pounds Lovington KV				
	Eab 1002	"Modical complications following stroke" University of Kontucky 24th				
	160 1993	Annual Eamily Modicino and Primary Caro Poviow Lovington, KV				
		Markshap on "Aggressive concentative management of back and pack				
	-	workshop on Aggressive conservative management of back and neck				
		Pain. Oniversity of Kentucky 24th Annual Fainity Medicine & Prinary Care				
	Review, Lexington, KY					
	Mar 1002	"Falls in the elderly." University of Kentucky Division of Carietrics and				
	IVIAI 1995	- Fails III the elderly. Oniversity of Kentucky Division of Genatrics and Sandors Brown Contor on Aging Undates in Corigtrics Lovington KV				
	May 1002	"Modical complications following stroke " University of Kontucky 24 <sup>th</sup>				
	1vidy 1995	- Medical complications following stroke. Oniversity of Kentucky 24**				
		Annual Farming Medicine and Primary Care Review, Lexington, Ky				
	-	Workshop on Aggressive conservative management of back and neck pain.				
		University of Kentucky 24th Annual Family Medicine & Primary Care Review,				
	luna 1002	Lexington, KY				
	June 1993	- The use of exercise in prevention and renabilitation of fails and hip				
	Tractures. In	e Onio valley Appalachia Regional Genatric Education Center Teleconference,				
1.1.1.00	Lexington, KY	IIThe use of eventies in an unities, which ilitation, and subscure of falls				
Jul 199	3	- The use of exercise in prevention, renabilitation, and outcome of fails				
		and hip fractures. University of Kentucky Department of Renabilitation				
		Wealcine, Grand Rounds, Lexington, KY				
	Aug 1993	- Medical considerations and complications following common orthopedic				
		procedures: total hip replacement, total knee replacement, repair of hip				
		tracture, and the Ilizarov technique." Cardinal Hill Rehabilitation Hospital				
<b>.</b>		General Rehabilitation/ Orthopedic Update 1993, Lexington, KY				
Sept 19	993	- "Updates in the management of stroke." Cardinal Hill Rehabilitation				
		Hospital Patient/ Family Stroke Support Group, Lexington, KY				
	-	"Treatment of back pain in the elderly and following stroke." Cardinal Hill				
		Rehabilitation Hospital Stroke Program Team Meeting, Lexington, KY				
	Oct 1993	- "Medical rehabilitation issues following stroke." Johnson Mathers				
		Hospital Medical Staff Meeting, Carlisle, KY				

	- "New developments in the acute management of persons
	following stroke." Cardinal Hill Rehabilitation Hospital, Stroke Update 1993,
	Lexington, KY
Feb 1994	- Workshop on "Falls assessment." University of Kentucky 24th Annual Family Medicine and Primary Care Review, Lexington, KY
May 1994	- "Spinal injury in athletes: causes, evaluation, treatment, and outcome."
	University of Kentucky Department of Rehabilitation Medicine Grand Rounds, Lexington, KY
Jun 1994	- "Falls Assessment." Johnson Mathers Hospital Medical Staff Meeting,
_	"The use of unconventional medications in geriatric rehabilitation." The
	Ohio State University Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
	Resident Farewell Day, Columbus, OH.
Jun 1994 State	- "Alternative uses of electromyography as a research tool." The Ohio
	University Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Resident Farewell
	Day, Columbus, OH
-	"Incorporating manual medicine into an exercise prescription." The Ohio
	State University Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Resident
	Farewell Day, Columbus, OH
July 1994	<ul> <li>"Enabling the elderly." University of Kentucky and Sanders-Brown</li> </ul>
	Center on Aging, The 11th Annual Summer Series on Aging, Lexington, KY
-	"Medical rehabilitation issues following stroke." Medical Staff Meeting, London, KY
Aug 1994	- "New CARF standards for comprehensive and subacute rehabilitation and
	new levels of rehabilitation care." University of Kentucky Dept of
	Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Seventh Annual Rehabilitation Conference,
	The Rehabilitation Continuum: From Hospital to Home, Lexington, KY
INVITED LECT	URES (Continued)
Sep 1994	- "The use of unconventional medication during geriatric rehabilitation."
	The Ohio State University Seventh Annual Update in Internal Medicine,
Colum	nbus, OH
	<ul> <li>"The electromyographic and kinematic analysis of climbing activities in</li> </ul>
	amputee farmers." Television Interview with Jerry Sanders, Channel 27,
	Lexington, KY
-	"The use of ankle strengthening exercises to prevent falling in the elderly."
	Television Interview with Jerry Sanders, Channel 27, Lexington, KY
Oct 1994 -	"Preventing falls in farmers with disabilities." External Advisory
Committee of So	utheast Center for Agricultural Health and Injury Prevention, Lexington, KY

<ul> <li>"Medical rehabilitation issues following stroke." East Cumberland Hospital Medical Staff Meeting, Columbia, KY</li> <li>"Stroke update: new developments in the acute management and rehabilitation of persons following stroke." Cardinal Hill Rehabilitatic Stroke Update 1994, Lexington, KY</li> </ul>			
Dec 1994	Back Problems." Television Interview with Jerry Sanders, Channel 27, Lexington, KY		
Feb 1995	<ul> <li>"Enabling the elderly." University of Kentucky 25th Annual Family</li> </ul>		
	Medicine and Primary Care Review, Lexington, KY		
	<ul> <li>"Muscle energy manipulation." Cardinal Hill Rehabilitation</li> </ul>		
	Hospital		
	Dept of Physical Therapy Grand Rounds, Lexington, KY		
Mar 1995	- "The aging athlete." University of Kentucky Dept of Orthopedics, Sports		
	Medicine Grand Rounds, Lexington, KY		
	<ul> <li>"Structural diagnostic exam." University of Kentucky Dept of</li> </ul>		
	PM&R Course – Clinical Osteopathic Manual Medicine: Introductory Hands-On		
	Course for Physicians and Therapists, Lexington, KY		
-	"Principles of vertebral motion." University of Kentucky Dept of PM&R		
Courses Clinical	Ostoonathis Manual Madicine, Introductory, Unando On Course for Divisione and		

Course: Clinical Osteopathic Manual Medicine: Introductory Hands-On Course for Physicians and

Therapists, Lexington, KY

- "Layer palpation." University of Kentucky, Dept of PM&R, Clinical Osteopathic Manual Medicine: Introductory Hands-On Course for Physicians and Therapists, Lexington, KY

- "Segmental motion testing." University of Kentucky, Dept of PM&R, Clinical Osteopathic Manual Medicine: Introductory Hands-On Course for Physicians and Therapists, Lexington, KY

- "Functional indirect technique." University of Kentucky, Dept of PM&R, Clinical Osteopathic Manual Medicine: Introductory Hands-On Course for Physicians and Therapists, Lexington, KY.

- "Incorporating manual medicine into daily practice." University of Kentucky, Dept of PM&R, *Clinical Osteopathic Manual Medicine: Introductory Hands-On Course for Physicians and Therapists*, Lexington, KY

Apr 1995

- "Falls assessment." University of Kentucky, Division of Geriatrics and Sanders-Brown Center on Aging , *Updates in Geriatrics*, Lexington, KY

May 1995 - "Enabling the elderly." University of Kentucky 25th Annual Family Medicine and Primary Care Review, Lexington, KY

# **INVITED LECTURES (Continued)**

 "A review of the AHCPR Guidelines for Acute Low Back Problems."
 University of Florida, Departments of Neurosurgery and Orthopedic Surgery Grand Rounds, Gainesville, FL

Jun 1995	- "A review of the AHCPR Guidelines for Acute Low Back Problems." Kentucky Osteopathic Medical Association Annual Meetings, Ft. Mitchell, KY
-	"How to use the AMA Guides for Disability and Impairment Ratings." Kentucky Osteopathic Medical Association Annual Meetings, Et. Mitchell, KY
Jul 1995	- "Pathophysiology and medical management of stroke." Appalachian
Δυσ 1005	"A roviow of the AHCPP Guidelines for Acute Low Pack Problems"
Aug 1995	Liniversity of Kentucky Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
	Fighth Annual Rebabilitation Conference Lexington KY
Sen 1995	- "Use of exercise in the elderly" The Ohio State University Dent of
5cp 1555	Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, FWI Society Reunion, Columbus, OH
-	"FMG/kinematic analysis of the hemiplegic shoulder." The Ohio State
	University Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, FWJ Society
	Reunion, Columbus, OH
-	"Enabling the elderly." University of Kentucky, 25th Annual Family
	Medicine and Primary Care Review, Lexington, KY
Nov 1995	- "Nonoperative care: early physical therapy, injections, etc.; late pain and
	low back pain management; interventional blocks." The Center for Advanced
	Medical Education Spine Review Course, Chicago, IL
-	"Postoperative care: acute and long-term rehabilitation; spinal cord
	injury/bracing; postoperative bracing." The Center for Advanced Medical
	Education Spine Review Course, Chicago, IL
Dec 1995	- "A review of the AHCPR Guidelines for Acute Low Back Problems."
	University of Kentucky, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
	Grand Rounds, Lexington, KY
Feb 1996	- "A review of the AHCPR Guidelines for Acute Low Back Problems."
	Shands Hospital Rehabilitation Services Grand Rounds, Gainesville, FL
Mar 1996	<ul> <li>"Diagnosis, treatment and care of patients with acute low back pain."</li> </ul>
	University of Kentucky Offices of Continuing Pharmacy, Medical and Nursing
	Education, Bowling Green, KY
	<ul> <li>"Diagnosis, treatment and care of patients with acute low back pain."</li> </ul>
	University of Kentucky Offices of Continuing Pharmacy, Medical and Nursing
	Education, Owensboro, KY
	- "Diagnosis, treatment and care of patients with acute low back pain."
	University of Kentucky Offices of Continuing Pharmacy, Medical and Nursing
	Education, Corbin, KY
	- "Rehab of the acute CVA patient." University of Kentucky
	Department of Continuing Education, course on <i>Topics in Geriatric Medicine</i> ,
1 1000	Lexington, KY
Apr 1996	- "Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Guidelines for the Treatment
	of Acute Low Back Problems in Adults: a review." EAmerican College of
	Low Back Dainy, Aggressive Nensurgical Approach, Son Antonio, TV
	LOW BUCK PUIN: Aggressive Nonsurgical Approach, San Antonio, TX.
INVI	IED LECI OKES (Continued)

	- Return to work issues in occupational low back injuries."  American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Seminar.  The Physiatric
<u>-</u>	Approach to Low Back Pain: Aggressive Nonsurgical Approach, San Antonio, TX "Return to work issues in occupational neck injuries." 🕮 American College
	of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Seminar, <i>The Physiatric Approach</i> to Neck Pain, San Antonio, TX
May 1996	- "University of Florida SpineCare Program." Radio Call in Program, Rock 104, Gainesville, FL
-	"University of Florida SpineCare Program." Noon News, Channel 20, Gainesville, FL
Jun 1996	<ul> <li>"Sacroiliac joint dysfunction: diagnostic evaluation and treatment."</li> <li>Shands Hospital Physical Therapy Staff, Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>
Aug 1996	- "University of Florida SpineCare Program." Interview with Myro Munroe Noon News, Channel 20, Gainesville, FL
Jan 1997	- "Enabling the elderly." Department of Veteran Affairs, Geriatric Conference, Gainesville, FL
-	"Appropriate referrals to the SpineCare Clinic." 22University of Florida, Department of Community Health & Family Medicine, Gainesville, FL
Feb 1997	- "Evaluation and treatment of acute spinal problems." University of Florida, College of Medicine, Department of Rheumatology Grand Rounds,
May 1997	Gainesville, FL - "Occupational low back pain." American College of Occupational and
_	Environmental Medicine Conference, Safety Harbor, FL "Assessment and treatment of occupational neck pain." American College
Jul 1997	of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Conference, Safety Harbor, FL - "Algorithmic approach to spinal interventions." University of Florida.
	College of Medicine, Health and Human Performances, Gainesville, FL Rehabilitation of the Spine Undate '97, Orlando, FL
-	"Use of manual medicine in a comprehensive rehabilitation program."
	Gainesville, FL Rehabilitation of the Spine Update '97. Orlando, FL
	Gainesville, FL
Aug 1997	<ul> <li>"Manual medicine." Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation, West Orange, NJ</li> </ul>
Sep 1997	<ul> <li>"Non-surgical care (part 1)." Weekly Spine Conference, Departments of Neurosurgery and Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Fl</li> </ul>
Oct 1997 DepartmenT	- "Evaluation and treatment of low back pain." Orthopaedic Grand Rounds, of Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Florida
Gainesville, F	
-	neck pain." Lecture to PA Students, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
	- "What's on your mind?" TV 20 panel, Gainesville, FL

Nov 1997 "Evaluation and treatment of acute low back pain (part 1)." 22 Family Practice Noon Conference, Shands @ AGH, Gainesville, FL **INVITED LECTURES (Continued)** Dec 1997 "Evaluation and treatment of acute low back pain (part 2)." Family Practice Noon Conference, Shands @ AGH, Gainesville, FL "A guestion of health." TV 20 Panel. Gainesville, FL Jan 1998 "Non-surgical care (part 1)." Weekly Spine Conference, Departments of Neurosurgery and Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL "Evaluation and treatment of acute and chronic low back pain." PM&R Conference, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL Mar 1998 "Evaluation and treatment of neck pain." Family Practice Noon Conference, Shands @ AGH, Gainesville, FL "Back pain in the athlete." Gainesville Sports Organizing Committee, Apr 1998 Gainesville, FL "Evaluation, classification, and rating of disability." PM&R Conference, May 1998 Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL Jun 1998 "Case presentations." Weekly Spine Conference, Departments of Neurosurgery and Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL "Non-surgical care (part 2)." Weekly Spine Conference, Departments of Aug 1998 Neurosurgery and Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Fl "Advances and research related to the hemiplegic shoulder." Shands Rehab Hospital, Grand Rounds, Gainesville, FL "Evaluation and maintenance of functional status in the elderly." Aug 1998 Department of Veterans Affairs; Gainesville, FL "Evaluation and treatment of low back pain" and "Evaluation and Nov 1998 treatment of neck pain." Lecture to PA Students, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL "Spine CAMP." Weekly Spine Conference, Departments of Neurosurgery Dec 1998 and Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL "Spine CAMP." Rehabilitation Medicine Associates. Gainesville, FL Feb 1999 "My aching back." Health Discoveries Conference, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL May 1999 "Learn how to stand up to back pain." With Eddy Duncan, M.D. and Patrick O'Connor, LPT. Public Lecture/Q&A, Illuminations. Gainesville, FL "A Question of Health." TV 20 Panel. Gainesville, FL Jun 1999 "Initial visit and treatment – acute algorithm." Lecture to Family Practice Aug 1999 Residents, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

Sep 1999	- "Evaluation and treatment of low back pain" and "Evaluation and treatment of neck pain." Lecture to PA Students, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL			
	- "Enabling the elderly." Lecture to Geriatric Psychiatry Residents.			
Feb 2000	- "Algorithmic approach to chronic spinal pain." Alachua County Pharmaceutical Association. Gainesville, FL.			
Aug 2000 Conference,	- "New strategies in the treatment of pain." Family Practice Noon			
Sep 2000 Rounds.	College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL - "Non-surgical management of back pain." Internal Medicine Grand			
INVITED LECT	College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL F <b>URES (Continued)</b>			
-	"Occupational low back pain" and "Assessment and treatment of occupational neck pain." Lectures to PA Students, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL			
Jan 2001 Brenman,D.C	- "Management of low back pain in the athlete." With Ephraim			
Gaine	Sports Medicine Conference, College of Medicine, University of Florida, sville. FL			
May 2001 Medicine	- "Chronic low back pain." Medicine Noon Conference, College of			
inconcinc)	University of Florida, Gainesville, FL			
-	"Exercise therapy for the spine. Part 1." Anesthesia Pain Group,			
	College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL			
	College of Medicine. University of Florida. Gainesville. FL			
May 2001	- "Opioids for chronic pain: Outcomes and clinical dilemmas." With Michael Robinson, Ph.D. Pain Interest Group. College of Medicine,			
	University of Florida, Gainesville, FL			
-	"My aching back." National Association of Retired Federal Workers. Gainesville, FL			
Sep 2001	- "Non-surgical management of back pain." Internal Medicine Grand			
	Rounds.			
_	College of Medicine, University of Fiorida, Gainesville, FL "Occupational low back pain" and "Assessment and treatment of occupational			
-	neck pain." Lectures to PA Students, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville. FL			
Oct 2001	- "What is an osteopath?" Introduction to Profession of Medicine Series.			
	College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL			
-	"Exercise therapy for the spine." Physical Therapy Inservice at Illuminations. Gainesville, FL.			

Dec 2001		- "Exercise and manipulation" AND "Use of manual medicine in a comprehensive rehabilitation program." Department of Anesthesiology, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL		
Apr 2002		<ul> <li>"EMG Case Studies." University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics</li> <li>&amp; Rehabilitation Spine Conference, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>		
	38.	1.1 May 2002 - "Pain Management Strategies." ENT Department Morning Conference,		
	38.	1.2 University of Florida, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL		
	-	"Pain Management Strategies." Neurosurgery Department Morning		
	-	Conference, University of Florida, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL		
	July 2002	- "Other Uses of Zanaflex." Lakeside Occupational Medicine Group.		
	38.1.3	Tampa, FL		
July 2002		- "Rehabilitation of the Stroke Patient." Family Practice Residents, University of Florida, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL		
Dec 2002 -		- "Pain Assessment: A Review of Pain Algorithms." Shands Physical Therapists, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL		
		"Conservative Back Care." Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation,		
	-	Spine Conference. University of Florida, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL		
	Feb 2003	<ul> <li>"Chronic Low Back Pain." Health Psychology Graduate Students.</li> </ul>		
		Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Florida, College of Health		
		Professions, Gainesville, FL		

#### **INVITED LECTURES (Continued)**

Mar 2003 - Pharmaceutic	"Implementing the Gi al	uidelines for Opioid Pharmacotherapy." Jansen
	Roundtable, Gainesvi	lle, FL
38.1.4	Apr 2003 - Pharmacotherapy."	"Implementing the Guidelines for Opioid
38.1.5		Jansen Pharmaceutical Roundtable. Gainesville, FL
	_	

38.1.6 Jul 2003 - "Implementing the Guidelines for Opioid Pharmacotherapy."

38.1.7	Jansen Pharmaceutical Roundtable. Gainesville, FL
2002	

- Oct 2003 "Rehabilitation of the Stroke Patient" and "Occupational Low Back Pain." (with Kalman, A.D., Rehab Psychology Class, University of Florida, College of Health Professions, Gainesville, FL
  - "Put That Back Pain Behind You!" (with Kalman, A.D. and Shay, T.) Public Lecture/ Q&A, Magnolia Park Rehab Center. Gainesville, FL

38.1.8	Nov 2003 - "Rehabilitation Following Cervical Mylopathy." University of Florida,
38.1.9	Department of Orthopaedics & Rehabilitation Spine Conference, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL
Jan 2004	- "Occupational Low Back Pain" AND "Assessment and Treatment of Occupational Neck Pain." Lectures to PA Students, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
Mar 2004	- "Osteopathic Medicine." PM&R Interest Group. University of Florida, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL
Mar 2005	<ul> <li>"Put That Back Pain Behind You!" (with Kalman, A.D. and Shay. T.)</li> <li>Public Lecture/Q&amp;A, Orthopaedics &amp; Sports Medicine Institute,</li> <li>University of Florida, Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>
-	"Common Neuropathic Pain Disease States in Primary Care." Sponsored by Embryon. Presented to Research Triangle Primary Care Physicians, Raleigh, NC
Apr 2005	- "Working With Your Patient in Managing Neuropathic Pain." Presented
May 2005	- "Post-Op Pain Management." Grand Rounds, Department of
May 2005	- Whole Patient Management in Neuropathic Pain: Evidence and Experience. "Evidence-Based Treatment of Neuropathic Pain. Pri-Med Update
June 2005	- "Common Neuropathic Pain Disease States in Primary Care." Common Chronic Pain Conditions Confronting the Primary Care Provider. Pennsylvania
Oct 2005	- Improving Outcomes for Patients with Chronic Pain. "Chronic Pain: Its Causes and Clinical Manifestations." Presented to Pri-Med Regional Satellite
Mar 2006	- Whole Patient Management in Neuropathic Pain: Evidence and Experience. "Management of Comorbidities: Evidence for Success." Pri-Med Update sponsored by Embryon. Melville. NY
Apr 2006	- Whole Patient Management in Neuropathic Pain: Evidence and Experience. "Management of Comorbidities: Evidence for Success." Pri-Med Update sponsored by Embryon. Charlotte, NC
May 2006	- Modern Management of Spinal Disorders. "Medical Management of Back Pain." Sponsored by Riverside Methodist Hospital. Columbus, Ohio.
INVITED LECT	URES (Continued)
Jun 2006	- Whole Patient Management in Neuropathic Pain: Evidence and Experience. "Evidence-Based Treatment of Neuropathic Pain. Pri-Med Update spansored by Embryon Jacksonville, El
Sep 2006	- Update in Management of Spinal Pain Problems. Rochester Spine Study Group, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY

Sep 2006	- Management of Comorbitities: Evidence for Success. (Clinical Experience
	in Managing Neuropathic Pain: Managing Comorbitities in Neuropathic Pain Case
	Study II) Pri-Med Update sponsored by Embryon. Philadelphia, PA
Feb 2007	<ul> <li>Indications and Outcomes of Rehabilitation Following Trauma.</li> </ul>
	Multidisciplinary Trauma Conference, Shands Hospital. Gainesville, FL
May 2007	Opiate Therapy, Narcotic Patient Contracts and Chronic Pain
	Management. (Managing Chronic Pain: Clinical, Ethical & Lawful Solutions.) The
	Medical Educational Council of Pensacola in joint sponsorship with Area One
	Florida Medicaid, Emerald Coast Health Alliance and Health First Network, Inc.
	Andrews Institute Conference Center, Gulf Breeze, FL
Sep 2007	Spine Course. "Medical Management of Low Back Pain." Sponsored by
	the Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, University of Florida,
	Gainesville, FL
Sep 2007	Issues Related to Shands Rehab Hospital and Shands Healthcare.
	Presented at Florida Group Practice Board Meeting, Gainesville, FL
Apr 2008	Update on Electromyography. Orthopaedics and Physical Medicine and
·	Rehabilitation Spine Conference
Mar 2010	Neck and Cervical Spine.
	Physician Assistant Program, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
Mar 2010	Rehabilitation Following Trauma – Indications and Outcomes.
	Multidisciplinary Trauma Conference, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
Jun 2010	Management of Spinal Pain and Injury – Case Studies. 2010 PM&R
	Residents Graduating Class, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH
	Opioid Analgesics. 2010 PM&R Residents Graduation Class, Ohio
	State University, Columbus, OH
	So You're Ready to Be a Physiatrist? 2010 PM&R Residents
	Graduating Class, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH
Mar 2011	Atchison, JW. "Low Back and SI Pain in Runners." Running Medicine
	2011, Innovation & Practice Through Science." University of South Florida and
	University of Florida. Gainesville, FL.
Sep 2011	Hamilton S, Nguyen W, Kennedy DJ, Atchison JW. Four Case
	Presentations. Pain Connections Journal Club, Gainesville, FL.
Jan 2012	Atchison, JW. "Pain Management." Grand Rounds. Northwestern
	University - Feinberg School of Medicine, Department of Physical Medicine and
	Rehabilitation,
	The Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago. Chicago, IL.
Mar 2012	AHMA "Back Pain" Seminar to medical students.
	University of Florida, College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL
	"Principles of Pain Management" Geriatric Fellowship Noon Conference.
	University of Florida/North Florida/South Georgia Veterans Health System.
	Geriatric Research Education & Clinical Centers, Gainesville, FL

# **INVITED LECTURES (Continued)**

September 2014	67 <sup>th</sup> Annual Ward E. Perrin Clinical Refresher Course, "ER/ LA Opioid
<b>REMS:</b> Achieving	use while improving patient care". Lombard, IL
Feb 2015	CRPS Grand Rounds. Anesthesia Pain Center, Stanford University, Palo
Alto, CA.	
	Rational Pharmacology. Division of PM&R, Stanford University, Palo Alto,
CA.	

#### 38.1.10 PRESENTATIONS AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS

Nov 1989	- <b>Atchison JW</b> , Pelligrino M, Herbers, P, and Matkovic V. "Hepatic Encephalopathy mimicking stroke." APM&R National Convention, San Antonio, TX: Postor: Nov 1989
Oct 1990	- Atchison IW Rindler I Haddock D Saneda D and Pease W
000 1990	"Rebabilitation following arcenic toxicity " AADM&R National Convention
	Phoenix A7 Platform
	Atchison IW and Waylonis G. "Maninulative modicine" AADM&P National
-	Convention Phoenix AZ Video
	Atchison IW Washenderf L Gribble M Corrigan L and Mysiw L Hypenatromia
-	Accilison Jw, wachendon J, Ghubbe W, Comgan J., and Wysiw J. Hyponatienna
	associated cognitive deficits in a tradinatic brain injured
-	population. AAPWAR National Convention, Phoenix, AZ. Poster
Feb 1991	- Atchison JW, McDonough N, Shamir D, Powers J, Waylonis G, and
	Pease W. "Electrodiagnostic medicine during PM&R residency training." AP
	National Convention, San Diego, CA. Poster
Nov 1992	- Atchison JW, Salcido R, Noble L, Marshall R, and Walker M. "Use of
	exercise in the elderly to enhance mobility." AAPM&R National Convention, San
	Francisco, CA. Platform
1992	<ul> <li>Salcido, R, Schleenboker RE, Atchison JW, and Gershkoff, AM.</li> </ul>
	"Controversies in geriatric rehabilitation case management." AAPM&R National
	Convention, San Francisco, CA. Breakfast Focus Session; Sponsored by Geriatrics
	SIG
	<ul> <li>Salcido R, Farrage JR, Lindsey R, and Atchison JW. Painful</li> </ul>
	compression of the lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve in C5-C6 quadriplegia."
	AAPM&R National Convention, San Francisco, CA. Platform (presented by
	Farrage JR).
Nov 1993	<ul> <li>Farrage JR, Salcido R, Atchison JW, and Haglund B. "Compression</li> </ul>
	syndrome involving lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve in high level of
	quadriplegia." AAPM&R National Convention, Miami Beach, FL. Platform
	(presented by Farrage JR).
	- Mein EA, Gilliar W, and <b>Atchison JW</b> (faculty). "The sacroiliac joint
	and its relationship to low back pain." AAPM&R National Convention, Miami
	Beach, FL. Breakfast Focus Session: Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG
	- Atchison JW . Mein EA. Gillar W. and Gershkoff A (faculty). "Manual
	medicine techniques in the elderly." AAPM&R National
	Convention, Miami Beach, FL, Workshon Leader: Sponsored by Geriatrics and
	Manual Medicine SIGs

Nov

Farrage J, Salcido R, **Atchison JW**, Stanos SP, and Stracener WA. "The efficacy of impedance plethysmography for detecting deep venous thrombosis in patients following stroke at a free-standing rehabilitation hospital." AAPM&R National Convention, Miami Beach, FL. Poster

**Atchison JW**, Ikai T, Kraft GH, Lan N, and Salcido R. "Kinematic and EMG firing patterns regarding normal and hemiparetic shoulder function." Course on Futuristic Models for

#### 38.1.11 PRESENTATIONS AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS (Continued)

	Rehabilitation of the Hemiparetic Arm. AAPM&R National
	Convention, Miami Beach, FL
Jan 1994	<ul> <li>Newman RL, Atchison JW, and Klim GV. "The perceived need for</li> </ul>
	increased training in manual medicine by residents in PM&R." AAP National
	Convention, Naples, FL. Poster
Oct 1994	<ul> <li>Holmes T, Atchison JW, Gilliar WG, and Gershkoff A (faculty).</li> </ul>
	"Manual medicine approach to shoulder pain: adhesive capsulitis, neck, and
	scapula or rib dysfunction." AAPM&R National Convention, Anaheim, CA.
	Workshop; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG and Geriatrics SIG
-	Atchison JW, Glassman J, and Holmes T. "Exercise prescription as a
	continuum of manual medicine" AAPM&R National Convention, Anaheim, CA.
	Workshop Leader; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG
Oct 1994	- Gilliar WG, Atchison JW, and Mein EA (faculty). "The use of manual
	medicine in performing artists." AAPM&R National Convention, Anaheim, CA.
	Workshop; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG and
	Performing Arts SIG
	- Atchison JW, Hecht JS, and Blundo, JJ. "Shoulder pain and
	dysfunction in the disabled person: the hemiplegic shoulder anatomy and
	kinesiology." AAPM&R National Convention, Anaheim, CA. Course; Sponsored
	by Geriatrics SIG, Arthritis SIG, Stroke SIG
	- Atchison JW, Nickerson RB, NicDowell SN, and Turner HS. The use
	of a university-based student health clinic to promote and educate Pivi&R
Mar 100E	Nickerson BP, VanHoose L Haves D, and Atchison IM, "Hissuns
IVIAI 1995	- Nickerson RB, Vanhouse J, haves D, and Actinson JW. Hiccups
	Dhoeniy A7 Doster
Apr 1995	- Atchison IW Stine R Samnson I Deffinger D Shaniro R and Salcido
Abi 1999	R Electromyographic and kinematic analysis of the heminlegic shoulder in
	comparison to healthy elderly males." Seventh World Congress of the
	International Rehabilitation Medicine Association, Washington, DC, Platform
May 1995	- Atchison JW. "Unique uses of manual medicine: a series of case
	reviews." American Osteopathic College of Rehabilitation Medicine National
	Meetings, Chicago, IL. Platform
Nov 1995	- <b>Atchison JW</b> , Belfie AH, Robbins HJ, and Alfaro A. "Neck pain:
	prevalence, etiology, and pathological changes associated with acute and chronic

neck pain." AAPM&R National Convention, Orlando, FL. Course on Neck Pain and Cervical Manipulation. Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG

- Atchison JW, Noble LE, Salcido RM, Herrel P, and Donofrio JC. "Maintenance of Improved strength and functional mobility 18 months following an isotonic quadriceps exercise program." AAPM&R National Convention, Orlando, FL. Platform

Nov 1995 - Atchison JW, Simpson L, Knapp L, Oeffinger D, and Mainous III A. "Increased isotonic quadriceps strength following stroke with a six week exercise program." AAPM&R National Convention, Orlando, FL. Platform

> - **Atchison JW**, Oeffinger D, Johnson D, Simpson C, and Salcido, RM. "Increased isotonic ankle dorsiflexor strength in a healthy elderly population with an eight week exercise program." AAPM&R National Convention, Orlando, FL. Platform

Kuhlman KA, Atchison JW, Gay RE, Hennessey WJ, and Robbins HF (faculty).
 "Application of manual medicine for the young physiatrist."AAPM&R National

#### 38.1.12 PRESENTATIONS AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS (Continued)

Convention, Orlando, FL. Resident Physician Council Workshop; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG.

Kirsteins A, Atchison JW and Hecht JS (faculty). "Shoulder pain following stroke."
 AAPM&R National Convention, Orlando, FL. Stroke and Neurologic Diseases
 Rehabilitation SIG

- Stoll ST and **Atchison JW**. "Comparison of primary care physicians and patient's attitudes toward manual medicine." AAPM&R National Convention, Orlando, FL. Platform; (presented by Stoll ST)

Oct 1996 - Bilkey W, Holmes T, **Atchison JW**, Stoll ST, and Belfie A (faculty). "Foolproof techniques for the manual medicine beginner." AAPM&R National Convention, Chicago, IL.Workshop; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG

> - Glassman J, Holmes T, **Atchison JW**, and Belfie A (faculty). "Manual medicine approach to headaches which originate in the cervical spine." AAPM&R National Convention, Chicago, IL. Workshop; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG

- Tolchin R, Mein, E, Stoll S, **Atchison JW**, Dreyfuss, PH Magaziner E, Guisto J, and Tomski M. "Combination techniques in manual medicine." AAPM&R National Convention, Chicago, IL. Course on Facet Joint Injections and Manual Medicine. Manual Medicine SIG Forum

**Atchison JW**, Nickerson R, and Tharp D. "Innovations in exercise prescriptions: videotaping manual medicine treatment programs to be used at home or with a therapist." AAPM&R National Convention, Chicago, IL. Poster

- Atchison JW, Stoll ST, and McDowell S. "Manipulation under local anesthesia: lumbar sympathetic blocks followed by high-velocity, low-amplitude treatment." AAPM&R National Convention, Chicago, IL. Poster

Atchison JW, Knapp L, Oeffinger D, Mainous III A, and Salcido RM.

"The risk of post-stroke falls based on timed 'up & go' testing and isotonic quadriceps weight lifting." AAPM&R National Convention, Chicago, IL. Platform Knapp L, **Atchison JW**, Shapiro R, Salcido RM, and Abbas J.

"Electromyographic and kinematic analysis of the painful hemiplegic shoulder before and after subscapularis motor point block" DAAPM&R National Convention, Chicago, IL. Platform

- Knapp L, **Atchison JW**, Oeffinger P, Bay S, Lang R, and Salcido R. "The Effects of an isotonic ankle dorsiflexor strengthening program on falling in a healthy elderly population." <sup>(2)</sup>AAP National Convention, Colorado Springs, CO. Platform

# Nov 1997 - Atchison JW, Tran AH, and Nickerson RB. "The availability of manual medicine instruction in PM&R training programs." AAP National Convention, Las Vegas, NV. Poster

Bilkey W, Holmes T, **Atchison JW**, Stoll ST, Belfie A, and Tomski M (faculty). "Foolproof techniques for the manual medicine beginner." AAPM&R Annual Assembly, Atlanta, GA. Workshop; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG

- **Atchison JW**, Holmes T, Belfie A, Stoll S, and Tomski M (faculty). "Diagnosis of low back pain secondary to SI joint or piriformis dysfunction." AAPM&R Annual Assembly, Atlanta, GA. Workshop; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG

- Glassman J, Holmes T, **Atchison JW**, Belfie A, and Tomski M (faculty). "Manual medicine approach to headaches which originate in the cervical

# 38.1.13 PRESENTATIONS AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS (Continued)

spine." AAPM&R National Convention, Atlanta, GA. Workshop; Sponsored by Manual Medicine SIG.

Atchison JW, Holsbeke M, and Jacob RP. "An unusual case demonstrating the use of L1 and L2 selective nerve root blocks." AAPM&R National Convention, Atlanta, GA. Poster
 Atchison JW. "Advantages of oral narcotics in chronic spine pain."

Nov 1998 - Atchison JW. "Advantages of oral narcotics in chronic spine pain." AAPM&R National Convention, Seattle, WA

Feb 1999 - Otis J, Robinson, ME, and Atchison JW. "Long term narcotics for chronic pain: cognitive, neuromotor, and pain response." University of Florida, College of Health Profession' Research Fair. Gainesville, FL. Poster
 Atchison JW. "Efficacy of manipulative treatment." Association of Academic Physiatrists Annual Meeting. San Antonio, TX

- Mar 2000 Robinson MJ and **Atchison JW**. "Recent reports about using opiates to treat chronic pain." Association of Academic Physiatrists 36<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting. San Diego, CA (Presented by Michael J. Robinson, Ph.D.)
- Nov 2000 Robinson M, Waxenberg L, Otis J, Atchison J, Radson E, and Lafayette-Lucey A. Effectiveness of oral opioids for chronic non-malignant pain. American Pain Society 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Scientific Meeting. Atlanta, GA.

Apr 2001 - Atchison JW. "Pain management: Everybody's business." Rehab 2001:

Feb 1997

	From discovery to recovery. Gainesville, FL
Nov 2001	- Otis JD, Robinson ME, and Atchison JW. "The comparison of
	multidimensional pain measures: Use of a multitrait multimethod matrix
	technique." 35 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Association for the Advancement of
	Behavior Therapy. Poster Session. Philadelphia, PA
Mar 2002	- Atchison JW. "Teaching manual medicine techniques to residents."
	Association of Academic Physiatrists Annual Meeting. Las Vegas, NV
Jul 2002	- Atchison JW. "The treatment of acute musculoskeletal injury." Annual
	Meeting of the Florida Society of the American College of Osteopathic Family
	Physicians. Orlando, FL
Nov 2002	- Atchsion JW. "Cervical spine manipulation." American Academy of
	Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Annual Assembly. Orlando, FL
Mar 2003	- Robinson ME, Atchison J W, Bulcourf BB, Lafayette-Lucey A, and
	Berger J. "Predicting adherence to pain rehabilitation: Patient and provider
	predictors." 39 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting Association of Academic Physiatrists. Ft.
	Lauderdale, FL
Jan 2004	- <b>Atchison JW</b> . "What's up with PM&R?" Annual Disorders of the Spine.
	Sponsored by University of South Florida, Vancouver, BC,
Canada	
-	Atchison JW. "Post-Op Pain Management." Annual Disorders of the Spine.
	Sponsored by University of South Florida, Vancouver, BC, Canada
Apr 2004	- Atchison JW. "Geriatric issues." Session A. Emerging Concepts in the
	Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.
	American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
Apr 2004	- Atchison JW. "Pain issues of pregnancy." Session B. Emerging
	Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and
	Comprehensive Review. American Academy of Physical Medicine and
	Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA

# 38.1.14 PRESENTATIONS AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS (Continued)

-	Atchison JW.	"Modalities - traditional."	Session 4. Em	nerging Concepts in the
	Diagnosis and	Treatment of Pain: An Inte	ensive and Cor	nprehensive Review.
	American Aca	demy of Physical Medicine	and Rehabilit	ation. New Orleans, LA

- Atchison JW. "Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) Manual TX." Session 6. Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
- **Atchison JW**. "Acute, trauma-related issues." Session 18 Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA

May 2004 - Hirsh AT, Chung SK, O'Brien EM, George SZ, Cianfrini L, Atchison

May 2004	JW, Gremillion H, Waxenberg LB, and Robinson ME. "Does the catastrophizing subscale of the coping strategies questionnaire provide unique information regarding the pain experience?" 2 <sup>nd</sup> Joint Scientific Meeting of the American Pain Society and Canadian Pain Society (poster session). Vancouver, BC, Canada - Edwards PS, Riley JL, Brown JL, George SZ, Fillingim RB, Waxenberg LB, Atchison JW, Wittmer V, and Robinson MF. Patients success criteria predicted by distress and expectation for treatment." 2 <sup>nd</sup> Joint Scientific Meeting of the American Pain Society and Canadian pain Society (poster
May 2004	session). Vancouver, BC, Canada - Brown JL, Edwards PS, George SZ, Fillingim RB, Waxenberg LB, Atchison JW, Gremillion HA, and Robinson ME. "Patient centered success
	criteria and expectations for treatment: A comparison of spine pain and facial pain patients." 2 <sup>nd</sup> Joint Scientific Meeting of the American Pain Society and Canadian Pain Society (poster session). Vancouver, BC, Canada
Jun 2004	- Dannecker EA, Robinson ME, and <b>Atchison JW</b> . "Sex differences in RPE and pain during eccentric contractions. " American College of Sports Medicine. 51 <sup>st</sup> Annual Meeting. Indianapolis, IN
	- Atchison JW. "Manual medicine: Is there a role in acute and chronic low back pain?" Controversies in Pain Management Course. Rehab Institute of Chicago, Chicago, IL
Jul 2004	<ul> <li>Atchison JW. "Sacroiliac and piriformis dysfunction." Charlotte</li> <li>Institute of Rehabilitation. Carolinas Medical Center. Charlotte. NC</li> </ul>
Sep 2004	- Thomas P and <b>Atchison JW.</b> "Coming to terms with the concept of "medical necessity" in medical rehabilitation." American Congress of Rehabilitation and American Society of Neurorehabilitation Joint Conference. Ponte Verda Beach. FL
Oct 2004	- <b>Atchison JW.</b> "The Burden of Neuropathic Pain: A Multidisciplinary Approach Yields a Successful Outcome." American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Annual Meeting. Sponsored by the Dannemiller Memorial Foundation and Embryon, and is supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Pfizer Inc. Phoenix, AZ
Nov 2004	- <b>Atchison JW</b> . "Manual medicine: An evidence based medicine review." American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine 51 <sup>st</sup> Annual Scientific Meeting, Savannah, GA
Jan 2005	- "Discogenic Pain: Initial Treatment and When to Refer to a Surgeon." Annual Disorder of the Spine. Sponsored by University of South Florida, Vancouver, BC, Canada

# 38.1.15 PRESENTATIONS AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS (Continued)

	- "Discogenic Pain: Post-op and Chronic Pain Management."
	Annual Disorder of the Spine. Sponsored by University of South Florida, Vancouver, BC, Canada
May 2005	- Atchison JW. "Modalities – traditional and non-traditional, acupuncture

and manipulation." Session 9. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA

- **Atchison JW.** "Opioid pharmacology II." Session 21. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
- Atchison JW. "Diagnosis of neck pain." Session 30. Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.
   American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
- **Atchison JW.** "Treatment of low back pain." Session 37. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
- **Atchison JW.** "Pain in medical diseases." Session P1. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
- May 2005 **Atchison JW**. "Acute, trauma-related issues." Session P2. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
  - **Atchison JW.** "Post-op pain management." Session P3. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review*. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
  - Atchison JW. "Geriatric issues." Session P7. Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.
     American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. New Orleans, LA
- Jun 2005 Atchison JW. "Modalities traditional and non-traditional, acupuncture and manipulation." Session 7. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. San Francisco, CA
  - **Atchison JW.** "Non-opioid analgesics: NSAIDs, and COX-2 inhibitors, corticosteroids, antispasmodics." Session 14. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain:*

An Intensive and Comprehensive Review. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. San Francisco, CA

- **Atchison JW.** "Opioid pharmacology II." Session 19. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. San Francisco, CA

- **Atchison JW.** "Treatment of low back pain." Session 33. *Emerging Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. San Francisco, CA

Atchison JW. "Diagnosis of neck pain." Session 30. Emerging

*Concepts in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pain: An Intensive and Comprehensive Review.* American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. San Fransisco, CA

#### 38.1.16 PRESENTATIONS AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS (Continued)

Oct 2005	- Atchison JW. "Improving Outcomes for Patients with Chronic Pain."
	Chronic Pain: Its Causes and Clinical Manifestations. Pri-Med Regional Satellite
	Symposium. Baltimore, MD
-	Atchison JW. "Thoracic Spine – Role of Manual Therapy." American Academy of
	Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 66 <sup>th</sup> Annual Assembly. Philadelphia, PA.
Jan 2006	- Atchison JW. "Sacroiliac and Piriformis Dysfunction." 18 <sup>th</sup> Annual
	Disorders of the Spine. Sponsored by University of South Florida, Vancouver, BC,
	Canada
Jan 2006	- Atchison JW. "Update on Electromyography." 18 <sup>th</sup> Annual Disorders of
	the Spine. Sponsored by University of South Florida. Vancouver BC, Canada
Nov 2006	- Glassman JH, Holmes TG, Atchison JW, Garrison SJ. "Principles of Manual
	Medicine and Rehabilitation." American Academy of Physical Medicine and
	Rehabilitation. Honolulu, Hawaii
Jan 2007	- Atchison JW. "Physiatrists: Cervical Session." 19th Annual Disorders of
	the Spine. Sponsored by University of South Florida, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
	- Atchison JW. "Physiatrists: Pharmacologic Management."
	Sponsored by University of South Florida, Vancouver, BC, Canada
	- Atchison JW. "Physiatrists: Thoraco-Lumbar Session." Sponsored
	by University of South Florida, Vancouver, BC, Canada
	<ul> <li>Atchison JW. "Pain Issues in the Elderly." Sponsored by University</li> </ul>
	of South Forida, Vancouver, BC, Canada
Mar 2007	<ul> <li>Atchison, JW. "Opiate Therapy, Narcotic Patient Contracts and Chronic</li> </ul>
	Pain Management." American College of Osteopathic Family Physicians 44 <sup>th</sup>
	Annual Convention, Kissimmee, Florida
Sep 2007	<ul> <li>Atchison JW. "Topical Analgesics in the Management of Neuropathic</li> </ul>
	Pain." Principles of Effective Pain Management: Focus on Topical Analgesia. A
	CME Symposium, AAPM&R 68 <sup>th</sup> Annual Assembly. Sponsored by ENDO
	Pharmaceuticals. Boston, MA.
	Atchison JW. "Course 514, PASSOR: Therapeutic Exercise for
	Lumbar Spine Disorders. AAPM&R 68 <sup>th</sup> Annual Assembly, Boston, MA
Jan 2008	- Atchison JW. "New Post-Op Pain Management." 20th Annual Disorders
	of the Spine. Sponsored by the University of South Florida. Vancouver, BC,
	Canada
	- Atchison JW. "Evidence Based Evaluation and Treatment of Back
	Pain." Sponsored by the University of South Florida. Vancouver, BC, Canada
Nov 2010	- Glassman J, Holmes T, <b>Atchison JW</b> , Gay R, Schlinger M, Silverman J.
	"Principles of
	Manual Medicine." American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.
	Seattle, WA

Nov 2010	- <b>Atchison, JW.</b> "Cervical Spine Mechanics – Muscle Energy Techniques." Principles of Manual Medicine. Seattle, WA
Nov 2010	- <b>Atchison, JW.</b> "Principles of Mobilization with Impulse: High Velocity/Low Amplitude Thrust Technique." Principles of Manual Medicine. Seattle, WA
Nov 2010	- <b>Atchison, JW.</b> "Spine Care for the Obese Patient." American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Seattle, WA
Nov 2011 -	<ul> <li>Atchison, JW. "Best Papers". American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 72<sup>nd</sup> Annual Assembly of AAPM&amp;R. Orlando, FL</li> <li>Atchison, JW. "Manual Treatment of SI." Principles of Manual Medicine. 72<sup>nd</sup> Annual Assembly of AAPM&amp;R. Orlando, FL</li> </ul>
38.1.17	PRESENTATIONS AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS (Continued)
-	Atchison, JW. "Cervical Manipulation." Principles of Manual Medicine. 72 <sup>nd</sup>
	Annual Assembly of AAPM&R. Orlando, FL
April 2013	- Atchison, JW. "New Sacroiliac Joint Interventional Procedure: A shot in
the Dark or Ne	ew Techniques that work? AAPM's 29" Annual Meeting.
Fort Lauderda	IC, FL Atchings INV "Structural and Eurotional Examination of the Convical and
-	Therasis Spine" AADM's 20th Appual Meeting Fort Louderdale, FL
	Atchison IW "Enidomiology and Pathway to dovelon Lumbar Spinal Stonosis"
-	AADM's 20 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting Fort Lauderdale El
May 2013	- Gagnon C Atchison IW/ Stanos SP "Datients' Descention of Change
Following an	Interdisciplinary Pain Management Program
American Pair	Society Annual Meeting New Orleans 1A
American Pan October 2013	- Atchison IW "New Approaches and Treatment Consideration in Mild
Moderate	
	Musculoskeletal Pain". AMCP Symposium, San Antonio, TX.
March 2014 to Persons	- Atchison, JW. "Applying Interdisciplinary Principles of Pain Management
	With knee OA". Management of Knee Osteoarthritis: What is Missing
from the	
	Ireatment Guidelines. AAPM 30 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting, Phoenix, AZ.
-	Atchison, JW. "What not to Miss When the Young Patient Presents with Low
	Back Pain". Updates in Chronic Pain Management in the Adolescent Population.
	AAPM 30 <sup>111</sup> Annual Meeting, Phoenix, AZ.
-	Atchison, JW. : Osteopathic Manipulation (Muscle Energy) Techniques for
	Lumbar, Sacrai and Pelvis Dystunction. Demonstration . Physical Examination
	Annual Masting Descript A7
November 20	Annual Meeting, Phoenix, AZ.
NOVEITIDET 20.	Annual Assembly, San Diego, CA.
-	Atchison, JW. "Interdisciplinary Functional Restoration Pain Programs". AAPM&R
	2014 Annual Assembly, San Diego, CA.
-	Atchison, Jw. How Much is Enoughinterdisciplinary i reatment

Time?"AAPM&R 2014 Annual Assembly, San Diego, CA.

- **Atchison, JW**. "Manual Treatment for Cervical Pain and Headache". AAPM&R 2014 Annual Assembly, San Diego, CA.
- March 2015 -
  - Atchison, JW. " Structural and Functional Examination of the Cervical and Thoracic Spine". AAPM 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Meeting, Washington, DC 2015.
  - **Atchison, JW**. "The Most Common Complaints of Knee Pain that Present in the office". AAPM 31<sup>st</sup> Annual Meeting, Washington, DC 2015.

#### PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS

**Atchison J**, Pelligrino M, Herbers P, and Matkovic V, "Hepatic encephalopathy mimicking stroke. A case Report." Am J Phys Med Rehab 1992;71(2):114-118.

**Atchison J**, Wachendorf J, Haddock D, Mysiw J, Gribble M, and Corrigan J. "Hyponatremia associated cognitive impairment in traumatic brain injury." Brain Inj 1993;7(4):347-352.

Farrage JR, Salcido R, **Atchison J**, and Haglund B. "Compression syndrome involving lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve in high level of quadriplegia." J Am Paraplegia Soc 1994; 17:12-14.

#### PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS (Continued)

Noble LJ, Salcido R, **Atchison J**, Walker MK, and Marshall R. "Improving functional mobility through exercise." Rehabil Nurs 1994; 3:23-29.

**Atchison JW**. "The use of exercise in the elderly to enhance functional mobility and prevent falls." J Back Musculoskel Med 1994; 4:97-104.

**Atchison JW**, Bryan D, Lumm S, Morgan M, Nickerson N, Niehaus A, Stratton T, and Salcido R. "Dysphagia: diagnosis and treatment in Kentucky." J Kentucky Med Assoc 1995; 93:203-210.

**Atchison JW**, Newman RL, and Klim GV. "Interest in manual medicine among residents in physical medicine and rehabilitation. The need for increased instruction." Am J Phy Med Rehab 1995; 74(6):439-443.

**Atchison JW** and English WR. "Manipulative techniques for geriatric patients" Phy Med Rehab Clinics N Am 1996; 7(4):825-843.

Nickerson RB, **Atchison JW**, Van Hoose JD, and Hayes D: "Hiccups associated with lateral medullary syndrome." Am J Phy Med Rehab 1997; 76(2):144-146.

**Atchison JW** and Lafayette-Lucey, A. "How to diagnose and manage neck pain." Int Med 1998; 19(2):10-22. (Cover Story).

Brown SE, **Atchison JW**, Gnatz SM, and Cifu DX. "Pain rehabilitation. 2. Documentation of acute, subacute, and chronic pain." In <u>1998 Study Guide</u>. Arch Phys Med Rehab 1998; 79:S54-S59.

**Atchison JW**, Taub NS, and Cifu DX. "Pain rehabilitation. 4. New frontiers in the rehabilitative management of pain." In 1998 Study Guide. Arch Phys Med Rehab 1998; 79:S65-S73.

Gnatz SM, Brown SE, **Atchison JW**, and Worsowicz GM. "Pain rehabilitation. 5. Pain assessment and management of common disorders." In <u>1998 Study Guide</u>. Arch Phys Med Rehab 1998; 79:S74-S76.

Robinson ME, Wise EA, Riley JL, and **Atchison JW**. "Sex differences in clinical pain: a multi-sample study." J Clin Psych in Clin Settings 1998; 5(4):413-424.

**Atchison JW**, "Manipulation for the treatment of occupational low back pain." Occup Med State of Art Rev 1998; 13(1):185-197.

**Atchison JW,** Taub, NS, Cotter, AC, and Tellis, A. "Complementary and alternative medicine treatments for low back pain." In <u>Low Back Pain</u>, Lox, DM (ed), PM&R State of the Art Reviews; 1999; 13(3):561-586.

Holmes T and **Atchison JW**. "Manual medicine applied to the extremities." IN <u>Manual</u> <u>Medicine</u>, Tomski MA (ed), PM&R State of the Art Reviews; 2000 14(1):65-72.

Atchison JW. "Manipulation efficacy: upper body." J. Back Musculo Rehab; 2000 15(1):3-15.

Ogle AA, Garrison SJ, Kaelin DL, **Atchison JW**, Park YI, and Currie DM. "Roadmap to physical medicine and

#### **PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS (Continued)**

rehabilitation: answers to medical students' questions about the field. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2001; 80(3):218-224.

Currie, DM, **Atchison JW**, Fiedler IG. "The challenge of teaching rehabilitative care in medical school. Acad Med; 2002 77(7):701-708.

Stoll ST, Russo DP and **Atchison JW**. "Physicians' and patients' attitudes toward manual medicine: implications for continuing medical education." J Cont Educ Health Prof 2003 23(1):13-20.

Robinson ME, Bulcourf B, Atchison JW, Berger JJ, Lafayette-Lucey A, Hirsh AT, Riley JL. Compliance in pain rehabilitation: patient and provider perspectives. Pain Medicine 2004; 5(1):66-80.

Hirsh A, **Atchison JW**, Berger JJ, Waxenberg LB, Lafayette-Lucey A, Bulcourf BB, and Robinson ME. Patient satisfaction with treatment for chronic pain: predictors and relationship to compliance. Clin J Pain 2005; 21(4):302-310.

Dannecker EA, O'Connor PD, **Atchison JW**, Robinson ME. The effect of eccentric strength testing on delayed-onset muscle pain. J Strength Conditioning Res 2005; 19(4):888-892.

Robinson ME, Dannecker EA, George SZ, Otis J, **Atchison JW**, Fillingim RBI "Sex differences in the associations among psychological factors and pain report: a novel psychophysical study of patients with chronic low back pain. J Pain (July) 2005; 6(7):463-470.

Robinson ME, Brown JL, George SZ, Edwards PS, **Atchison JW**, Hirsh AT, Waxenberg LB, Wittmer V, Fillingim RB, (2005). Multidimensional success criteria and expectations for treatment of chronic pain: the patient perspective. Pain Medicine, 6(5), 336-345.

Hirsh AT, Waxenberg LB, **Atchison JW**, Gremillion HA, Robinson ME Evidence for sex differences in the relationships of pain, mood and disability. J Pain (August), 2006;7(8):592-601.

O'Brien EM, **Atchison JW**, Gremillion HA, Waxenberg LB, Robinson, ME. Somatic focus/awareness: Relationship to negative affect and pain in chronic pain patients. European Journal of Pain 2008;12:104-115.

Brown JL, Edwards PS, **Atchison JW**, Lafayette-Lucey A, Wittmer V, Robinson ME. Defining patient-centered, multidimensional success criteria for treatment of chronic spine pain. Pain Medicine 2008;9(7):851-62.

O'Brien EM, Waxenberg LB, **Atchison JW**, Gremillion HA, Staud RM, McCrae CS. Negative Mood Medicate the Effect of Poor Sleep on Pain Among Chronic Pain Patients. Clin J Pain (May) 2010;26(4):310-9.

**Atchison JW,** Indelicato P, George S, Lentz T, Vincent K, Moser M, Day T, McDonald K, Tillman S. Preliminary Results of Patient-Defined Success Criteria for Individuals with Musculoskeletal Pain in Outpatient Physical Therapy Settings. Arch PMR. October 2010 Accepted for publication.

**Atchison, JW**, Vincent HK. Obesity and low back pain: relationships and treatment. Pain Management. (Jan) 2012;2(1):79-86. **PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS (Continued)** 

Sanderson KB, Roditi D, George SZ, Atchison JW, Banou E, Robinson ME. Investigating patient expectations and treatment outcome in a chronic low back pain population. J Pain Research. January 2012 Accepted for publication.

Sanderson KB, Roditi D, George SZ, **Atchison JW**, Banou E, Robinson ME. Investigating patient expectations and treatment outcome in a chronic low back pain population. J Pain Res. 2012;5:15-22.doi:10.2147/JPR.S28636.Epub 2012 Jan 31.

Wandner LD, Heft MW, Lok BC, Hirsh AT, George SZ, Horgas AL, **Atchison JW**, Torres CA, Robinson ME. The impact of patients' gender, race, and age on health care professionals' pain

management decisions: An online survey using virtual human technology. Int J. Nurs Stud. 2013 Sep 29. Pii:S0020-7489(13)00272-1.doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.09.011.[Epub ahead of print]

#### 39.0 BOOK CHAPTERS

**Atchison JW**, Stoll S, and Gilliar WG. "Manipulation, traction and massage." Chapter 21 In <u>Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation</u>, Braddom RL (ed), WB Saunders, Philadelphia. 1995; 421-448.

**Atchison JW**. "Manipulation." In <u>The Nonsurgical Management of Acute Low Back Pain.</u> <u>Cutting Through the AHCRP Guidelines</u>, Gonzalez, E and Matterson, R (ed), Demos Vermande, New York. 1997; 187-198.

**Atchison JW**, Newman RL, and Klim GV. "Interest in manual medicine among residents in physical medicine and rehabilitation: the need for increased instruction." In <u>The Year Book of</u> <u>Chiropractic</u>, Mosby Press, St. Louis, MO; 1998; 3-4.

Atchison JW. "Manual Medicine." Chapter 9 In <u>Occupational Low Back Pain: Aggressive</u> <u>Nonsurgical Care</u>, Kaplansky, BD (ed). CRC Press/Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL. Pp 171-200; 2000.

**Atchison JW**, Stoll S, and Cotter, AC. "Manipulation, traction and massage." Chapter 20 In <u>Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation</u>, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Braddom RL (ed), WB Saunders, PA. Pp 392-412; 2000.

#### 40.0

**Atchison JW**. "The efficacy of manipulative therapy" Chapter 2 in <u>Alternative Medicine and</u> <u>Rehabilitation: A Guide for Practitioners</u>, Wainapel SF and Fast A (eds). Demos Vermande, NY. Pp 31-58; 2003.

#### **PUBLICATIONS - NATIONAL MEETINGS: COURSE LITERATURE**

**Atchison JW**. "Geriatric, rheumatologic, and stroke and neurologic diseases rehabilitation SIGs: shoulder pain and dysfunction in the disabled person. The hemiplegic shoulder: anatomy and kinesiology." 56th Annual Assembly Am Acad Phys Med Rehab, 1994; 200-207.

Atchison JW. "Manual medicine SIG: neck pain and cervical manipulation. Neck pain: relevance, etiology, and pathological changes associated with acute and chronic neck pain." 57<sup>th</sup> Annual Assembly Am Acad Phys Med Rehab, 1995; 1058-1062.

#### **PUBLICATIONS IN PREPARATION**

#### ABSTRACTS

**Atchison J**, Pelligrino M, Herbers P, and Matkovic V. "Hepatic encephalopathy mimicking stroke." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1989; 70(11): A-73.

Version 8.0 (Phase 2), Date: 03/28/2018

**Atchison J**, Rindler J, Haddock D, and Saneda D, Pease W. "Rehabilitation following arsenic poisoning." Arch P Med Rehab; 1990; 71(10):792.

**Atchison J**, Wachendorf J, Gribble M, Corrigan J, and Mysiw J. "Hyponatremia associated cognitive impairment in traumatic brain injury." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1990; 71(10):816.

**Atchison J** and Waylonis G. "Manipulative medicine." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1990; 71(10):836.

**Atchison J**, McDonough N, Shamir D, Powers J, Waylonis G, and Pease W. "Electrodiagnostic exposure during physical medicine and rehabilitation residency training." Am J Phys Med Rehab; 1991; 70(4):228.

Salcido R, **Atchison J**, and Costich J. "PM&R in a required geriatrics rotation for third-year medical students." Am J Phys Med Rehab, 1992; 71(4):248.

Salcido R, Farrage J, Lindsey R, and **Atchison J**. "Painful compression of the lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve in C5-C6 quadriplegia." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1992; 73(10):960.

**Atchison J**, Salcido R, Noble L, Marshall R, and Walker M. "Improved functional mobility through quadriceps strengthening in an elderly population." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1992; 73(10):972.

Farrage JR, Salcido R, **Atchison JW**, Stanos SP, and Stracener WA. "The efficacy of impedance plethysmography for detecting deep venous thrombosis in patients following stroke at a free-standing rehabilitation hospital." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1993; 74(11):1277.

Farrage JR, Salcido R, **Atchison J**, and Haglund B. "Compression syndrome involving lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve in high level of quadriplegia." J Am Paraplegia Soc, 1993; 16(4):249.

**Atchison JW**, Nickerson RB, McDowell SM, and Turner HS. "Use of a university-based student health clinic to promote and educate PM&R residents." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1994; 75(9):1037-1038.

Newman RL, **Atchison JW**, and Klim GV. "The perceived need for increased training in Manual medicine by residents in PM&R." Am J Phys Med Rehab, 1994; 73:143.

**Atchison JW**, Stine R, Sampson J, Oeffinger D, Shapiro R, and Salcido R. "Electromyographic and kinematic analysis of the hemiplegic shoulder in comparison to healthy elderly males." Seventh World Congress of The International Rehabilitation Medicine Association. Final Program and Book of Abstracts. 1994, Pg. A68.

Nickerson RB, Van Hoose J, Hayes D, and **Atchison JW**. "Hiccups associated with lateral medullary syndrome." Am J Phys Med Rehab, 1995; 74(2):176.

Stoll ST and **Atchison JW**. "Comparison of primary care physicians' and patients' attitudes toward manual medicine." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1995; 76(11):1027.

#### **ABSTRACTS (Continued)**

**Atchison JW**, Noble LE, Salcido RM, Herrel P, and Donofrio JC. "Maintenance of improved strength and functional mobility 18 months following an isotonic quadriceps exercise program." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1995; (11):1034.

**Atchison JW**, Oeffinger D, Johnson D, Simpson C, and Salcido RM. "Increased isotonic ankle dorsiflexor strength in a healthy elderly population with an eight-week exercise program." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1995; 76(11):1034.

**Atchison JW**, Simpson L, Knapp L, Oeffinger D, and Mainous III A. "Increased isotonic quadriceps strength following stroke with a six-week exercise program." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1995; 76(11):1041.

**Atchison JW**, Tran AH, and Nickerson RB. "The availability of manual medicine instruction in PM&R training programs." Am J Phys Med Rehab, 1996; 75(2):155.

**Atchison JW**, Knapp L, Oeffinger D, Mainous III A, and Salcido RM. "The risk of post-stroke falls based on timed Dup & goD testing and isotonic quadriceps weight lifting." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1996; 77(9):932.

Knapp L, Atchison JW, Shapiro R, Salcido RM, and Abbas J. "Electromyographic and kinematic analysis of the

painful hemiplegic shoulder before and after subscapularis motor point block." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1996; 77(9):925.

Currie, DM, **Atchison JW**, Fiedler IG. "The challenge of teaching rehabilitative care in medical school. Acad Med; 2002 77(7):701-708.

**Atchison JW**, Nickerson R, and Tharp D. "Innovations in exercise prescriptions: videotaping manual medicine treatment programs to be used at home or with a therapist." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1996; 77(9):956.

**Atchison JW**, Stoll ST, and McDowell S. "Manipulation under local anesthesia: Lumbar sympathetic blocks followed by high-velocity, low-amplitude treatment." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1996; 77(9):956.

**Atchison JW,** Knapp, L, Oeffinger P, Bay S, Lang R, and Salcido R. "The effect of an isotonic ankle dorsiflexion strengthening program on falling in a healthy elderly population." Am J Phys Med Rehab, 1997; 76(2):174.

**Atchison JW**, Holsbeke M, and Jacob, RP. "An unusual case demonstrating the use of L1 and L2 selective nerve root blocks." Arch Phys Med Rehab, 1997; 78(9):1040.

Garrison SJ, Fuoco GS, and **Atchison JW**. "Interest in manual medicine among residents in physical medicine and rehabilitation: comparisons of residents surveyed in 1999 versus 1993." Am J Phys Med Rehab, 2000, 79:217-218.

Robinson ME, **Atchison JW**, Gagnon C, Waxenberg L, and Lafayette-Lucey A. "Opioids for treatment of chronic pain: Who says they work?" Arch Phy Med Rehab, 2002, 83:1668.

#### **ABSTRACTS (Continued)**

Robinson ME, **Atchison JW**, Gagnon C, and Lafayette-Lucey A. Patient-centered outcomes in chronic pain: How much pain relief is enough?" Arch Phy Med Rehab, 2002, 83:1668

Robinson ME, Bulcourf B, **Atchison J**, Berger J, and Lafayette-Lucey A. "Predicting adherence to pain rehabilitation: Patient and provider predictors." Am J Phys Med Rehab, 2003, 82(3):249

Dannecker EA, Robinson ME, and **Atchison JW**. Sex differences in PRE and pain during eccentric contractions. Med & Sci in Sports & Exer 2004 36(5):S223

Hirsh AT, Chung SK, O'Brien EM, George SZ, Cianfrini L, **Atchison JW**, Gremillion H, Waxenberg LB, and Robinson ME. Does the Catastrophizing subscale of the coping strategies questionnaire provide unique information regarding the pain experience? J Pain, 2004, 5(3):S127

Edwards PS, Riley JL, Brown JL, George SZ, Fillingim RB, Waxenberg LB, **Atchison JW**, Wittmer V, Robinson ME. Patient's success criteria predicted by distress and expectation for treatment. J Pain, 2004, 5(3):S111

Brown JL, Edwards PS, George SZ, Fillingim RB, Waxenberg LB, **Atchison JW**, Gremillion HA, and Robinson ME. Patient centered success criteria and expectations for treatment: A comparison of spine pain and facial pain patients. J Pain, 2004, 5(3):S115

Zeppieri G, Lentz T, **Atchison J**, Indelicato P, Moser M, Vincent K, and George S. Patient Defined Success Criteria in Outpatient Physical Therapy Settings.

**Atchison JW,** Vincent HK. Obesity and low back pain: relationships and treatment. Pain Manage. 2012, 2(1), 1-xxx
### 41.0 BOOK REVIEWS

<u>Disorders of Peripheral Nerves</u> 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition by H. Schaumburg, A. Berger and P. Thomas. F.A. Davis Co., Philadelphia, PA. Am J Phys med Rehab 1992;71(4):242

Principles of Manual Medicine: Muscle Energy and High Velocity Thrust Techniques by P.H. Greenman. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD. Am J Phys med Rehab 1992;71(6):358.

#### GRANT PROPOSALS: PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

- Apr 1992 University of Kentucky, College of Medicine Research Fund. "Improved functional mobility through exercise following stroke."
  - Jul 1992 Retirement Research Foundation, Chicago, IL. "Improved functional mobility through exercise following stroke." Funded for \$35,848 (1/93-1/94). No cost extension to 1/96.
- Mar 1993 University of Kentucky, College of Medicine Research Fund. "Isotonic ankle dorsiflexor strengthening to improve functional mobility and decrease falls in an elderly population with borderline-safe ambulation skills" Funded for \$7,200.
  - Kentucky NIH/EPSCOR. "Isotonic ankle dorsiflexor strengthening to improve functional mobility and decrease falls in an elderly population with borderline-safe ambulation skills."

#### **GRANT PROPOSALS: CO-INVESTIGATOR**

Apr 1993	- University of Kentucky, Medical Center Research Fund. "Isotonic ankle dorsiflexor strengthening to improve functional mobility and decrease falls in an elderly population with borderline-safe ambulation skills." Funded for \$8,000.
Feb 1992 -	Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, Lexington, KY. "Electromyographic and kinematic activity of the normal and hemiparetic shoulder after stroke."
Funded	for \$10,000.
May 1992	- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control. In association with the University of Kentucky Department of Preventive Medicine and Environmental Health. "Cooperative Agreement Program for Centers for Agricultural Research, Education and Disease and Injury Prevention - kinematic motion analysis of climbing by amputee farmers." Funded for \$33,677.
Jan 1993	- Retirement Research Foundation, Chicago, IL. In association with Randall Schleenbaker, M.D., University of Kentucky, Dept of Rehabilitation Medicine. "Muscle strengthening as an intervention to improve recovery from near falls in the elderly."
Mar 1993	- Whitaker Foundation, Mechanicsburg, PA. "Effects of continuous Passive motion and neuromuscular electrical stimulation in the prevention of shoulder sequela of stroke." Initial Submission: Oct 1992. Revision.
Oct 1994	- National Institute of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, DC. In association with Nancy Stiles, M.D., University of Kentucky, Dept of Internal Medicine and Geriatrics. "Trial of occupational therapy for elders in primary care." Initial submission Resubmitted Oct 31, 1994.

Feb 1996	- Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Washington, DC. In association with Dr. Alexander Hadjipavlou, Department of
	Orthopaedics, University of Texas at Galveston Medical Branch. "Port 2: chronic
Oct 1997	- National Institute of Mental Health, Washington, DC. In association with
	Michael Robinson, Ph.D., University of Florida, Dept of Clinical Psychology. "Depression and clinical pain perception." Funded
	- National Institute of Health, Washington, DC. In association with Michael Robinson, Ph.D., University of Florida, Dept of Clinical Psychology. "Sex and
	gender role influences in pain and analgesia." Funded
-	and Depression." \$103,000.
Jun 2001	- National Institute of Health, Washington, DC. With Michael Robinson, PhD. "Effect of Long Term Opioid Use for Chronic Pain."
Feb 2002	- National Institute of Health, Washington, DC. With Michael Robinson, PhD "Effect of Long Term Onioid Use for Chronic Pain"
Jan 2003	- National Institute of Health. Washington, DC. With Michael Robinson.
	PhD. "Patient Centered Outcomes."
Jan 2004	- National Institute of Health, Washington, DC. "Locomotor experience
	applied post-stroke (LEAPS). With Pamela W. Duncan, PhD, et al. (SRH is a
	proposed study site.)
Feb 2004	<ul> <li>National Institute of Health, Washington, D.C (R01). With</li> </ul>
	MichaelRobinson, PhD and Steve George, PhD. "Pain treatment decisions:
	Influence of sex, race, and age."
Aug 2004	<ul> <li>Foundation for Physical Therapy. With Steve George, PhD. "Efficacy of</li> </ul>
	slump stretch treatment: A pilot randomized trial."
Sep 2006	<ul> <li>National Institute of Health/NINR, Washington, D.C. With Michael</li> </ul>
	Robinson, PhD. "Pain Rehabilitation: Patient Centered Outcomes."
2006	<ul> <li>National Institute of Health. Robinson ME, Atchison JW, et al.</li> </ul>
"Mechanisms	of Neural Mobilization for Chronic Pain."
Sep 2008	<ul> <li>National Institute of Health/NINR, Washington, D.C. With Michael</li> </ul>
	Robinson, PhD. "Pain Treatment Decisions: Influence of Sex, Race and Age."
	(9/1/2008 – 6/31/2012)
IRB PROPOSA	ALS: PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR
May 1992	- University of Kentucky IRB# 92-00090. "Improved functional mobility
,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Mar 1992	- University of Kentucky IRB# 93-00086. "Isotonic ankle dorsiflexor
	strengthening to improve functional mobility and decrease falls in an elderly
	population with borderline-safe ambulation skills."
Mar 1993 -	University of Kentucky IRB# 93-00049. "Improved physical mobility

- Mar 1993-University of Kentucky IRB# 93-00049. "Improved physical mobility<br/>through exercise."
- May 1993 University of Kentucky IRB# 93-00139. "Electrodiagnostic evaluation of the lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve following spinal cord injury." Oct 1994 - University of Kentucky IRB# 94-22056. "Weight bearing status at the

Feb 19	95	time of admission to a rehabilitation hospital following orthopedic procedure." - University of Kentucky IRB# 95-22008. "A survey of physical medicine and rehabilitation faculty in academic training programs to determine needs and interest in manual medicine."
Mar 19	995	- University of Kentucky IRB. "Electromyographic and kinematic activity of the hemiplegic shoulder before and after subscapularis motor point block."
May 2002		<ul> <li>University of Florida IRB# 336-02 (now IRB 1-2004). "Efficacy of supported standing in spinal cord injury during acute inpatient rehabilitation – A pilot study."</li> </ul>
Sep 20	03	- University of Florida IRB# 2348-2003. "Progress in rehabilitation following orthopaedic injury correlated to analgesic medications prescribed: A comparison of patients in 1996 to 2001."
	IRB PROPOSA	ALS: CO-INVESTIGATOR
Feb 19	93 -	University of Kentucky IRB# 93-22010. "Manual medicine questionnaire for rehabilitation medicine residents."
	Jun 1993	- University of Kentucky IRB# 93-30092. "Electromyographic and kinematic activity of the normal and hemiparetic shoulder after stroke.".
	Jul 1998	<ul> <li>University of Florida IRB# 233-94. "Depression and clinical pain perception. With Michael Robinson, PhD and Patrick O'Connor PT.</li> </ul>
	May 1998	- University of Florida IRB# 122-1998. "Sex differences in emotional response to pain." With Michael Robinson, PhD.
Aug 20	002	<ul> <li>University of Florida IRB# 421-2002. "Pain rehabilitation: patient centered outcomes." Michael Robinson, PhD, Fillingim, Roger B.; Waxenberg, Lori B. PhD.</li> </ul>
42.0	Jun 2003	- University of Florida IRB# 83-2003. "Chronic analgesic medication for the treatment of chronic pain." With Michael Robinson, PhD.
	Jul 2003	<ul> <li>University of Florida IRB# 116-2003. "Behavioral interventions for chronic low back pain." With Steve George, PhD and Michael Robinson, PhD.</li> <li>University of Florida IRB# 350-2003. 'Pain rehabilitation: reliability testing of the patient centered outcomes questionnaire." With Michael</li> </ul>
		Rohinson PhD
	IRB PROPOSA	ALS: CO-INVESTIGATOR (Continued)
	-	University of Florida IRB# 327-2003. "Fear of movement/anxiety disorder related to knee osteoarthritis." With Steve George, PhD and Michael Robinson, PhD
2007	-	University of Florida IRB# 595-2007. "Rehabilitation and Therapy Outcomes in Patients with Lumbar Disorders." (Co-I)
2008	-	University of Florida WIRB 2008. "A Multi-center, Double-blind, Randomized Parallel-Group, Placebo Controlled Phase 2 Study of Once-Daily Subcutaneous Methylnaltrexone (MNTX) in the Treatment of Opioid-Induced Constipation During Rehabilitation After Orthopedic Procedures." (Sub-I)

- National Institute of Health RO3 (collaborator) HK Vincent, PI. "Resistance exercise effects on fear avoidance beliefs and physical function in obese, older adults with chronic low back pain (in second review, NIAMS NIH subsection)

#### CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION

Feb 1992	- Association of Academic Physiatrists National Meeting, Orlando, FL
Aug 1992	<ul> <li>"9<sup>th</sup> Annual Summer Aging Series." Saunders-Brown Center on Aging,</li> </ul>
	Lexington, KY
Sep 1992	<ul> <li>"Geriatric rehabilitation issues." University of Kentucky Office of</li> </ul>
	Continuing Medical Education, Lexington, KY
-	Kentucky Medical Association/Kentucky Academy of PM&R Meetings,
	Louisville, KY
Oct 1992	- American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine National Meetings,
	Charleston, SC
Nov 1992	<ul> <li>American Association of Physical Medicine &amp; Rehabilitation National</li> </ul>
	Meetings, San Francisco, CA
Feb 1993	<ul> <li>"Bloodborne Pathogens." University of Kentucky. Lexington, KY</li> </ul>
Mar 1993	<ul> <li>"Updates in geriatrics." University of Kentucky Office of Continuing</li> </ul>
	Medical education and Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, Lexington, KY
May 1993	<ul> <li>PM&amp;R in the 21<sup>st</sup> century." Bruce Gans. Kentucky Academy of PM&amp;R</li> </ul>
	Spring Meetings, Midway, KY
May 1993	<ul> <li>Kentucky Osteopathic Medical Association Meetings, Louisville, KY</li> </ul>
-	"Use of new generation calcium channel blockers." Barry McLain,
	MD, UAB. Kentucky Horse Park, Lexington, KY
Jun 1993	- "Stepwise use of anticonvulsant medications." J. John Dotson, MD, St.
	Louis University. Bravo Pitinos. Lexington, KY
Jul 1993	<ul> <li>"Surveyor Training." Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation</li> </ul>
	Facilities, Tucson, AZ
Jul 1993	- "Alzheimer's disease: theory, treatment and research." Bill Pert, MD,
	Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, New Orleans House
Sep 1993	<ul> <li>Kentucky Medical Association/Kentucky Academy of PM&amp;R Meetings,</li> </ul>
	Louisville, KY
Oct 1993	<ul> <li>"Stroke and related disorders – new approaches and practical solutions."</li> </ul>
	National Stroke Association '93 Symposium, Lexington, KY
Nov 1993	<ul> <li>American Association of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation National</li> </ul>
	Meetings, Miami Beach, FL

## **CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION (Continued)**

- "Principles of manual medicine in physical medicine and rehabilitation."
Michigan State University, College of Osteopathic Medicine Course.
Miami Beach, FL
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Jan 1994 - Association of Academic Physiatrists National Meetings, Naples, FL

Apr 1994	- Seventh World Congress of The International Rehabilitation Medicine
	Association, Washington, DC
-	"Introduction to problem based learning for geriatrics preceptors."
	University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY
May 1994	<ul> <li>Kentucky Osteopathic Medical Association Annual Meetings.</li> </ul>
	Louisville, KY
Sep 1994	<ul> <li>Kentucky Medical Association/Kentucky Academy of PM&amp;R Meetings.</li> </ul>
	Louisville, KY
Oct 1994	<ul> <li>American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation National</li> </ul>
	Meeting. Anaheim, CA
Dec 1994	- "The use of oral medications in pain Management." Douglas Kennedy,
	MD, Private Practice Anesthesia Pain Management, Lexington, KY
Mar 1995	- Association of Academic Physiatrists National Meetings. Phoenix, AZ
Apr 1995	<ul> <li>"Updates in geriatrics." University of Kentucky Office of Continuing</li> </ul>
	Medical Education and Sanders-Brown Center on Aging. Lexington,
	КҮ
Jun 1995	<ul> <li>"PM&amp;R in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century." Kentucky Academy of PM&amp;R Spring</li> </ul>
	Meetings. Shelbyville, KY
-	Kentucky Osteopathic Medical Association Annual Meetings, Ft.
	Mitchell, KY
Sep 1995	- EWJ Society Reunion, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH
Nov 1995	- American Association of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation National
	Meetings, Orlando, FL
-	Comprehensive Spine Review Course, Chicago, IL
Jan 1996	- Seventh Annual Osteopathic Winter Conference, Clearwater Beach, FL
Feb 1996	- Association of Academic Physiatrist National Meetings, Las Vegas, NV
-	"Diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis." University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
Apr 1996	- "The physiatric approach to neck pain." American College of
	Occupational and Environmental Medicine Meetings, San Antonio, TX
Oct 1996	- American Association of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation National
	Meetings, Chicago, IL
Nov 1996	- "New treatments in acute ischemic stroke." University of Florida,
Gainesville, FL	
Apr 1997	<ul> <li>"Managing rehabilitation care by using critical pathways."</li> </ul>
	Rehabilitation Foundation, Inc., Chicago, IL
May 1997	- "Assessment and treatment of neuromuscular conditions in the work
place."	
•	American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Orlando, FL
Jun 1997	- "Accreditation standards for medical staff." Joint Commission on
	Accreditation of healthcare Organizations. Falmouth. MS
Aug 1997	- "Manual medicine." Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation. West Orange NJ
-0	

# CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION (Continued)

Oct 1997 - "Orthopaedic Grand Rounds."

Nov 199	<ul> <li>University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedic Survey, Gainesville, FL</li> <li>"Domestic violence education update." University of Florida,</li> <li>Department of Orthopaedic Survey, Gainesville, FL</li> <li>Annual Scientific Assembly of the American Association of Physical</li> <li>Medicine and Rebabilitation, Atlanta, CA</li> </ul>
Jan 199 Feb 199 Apr 1998	<ul> <li>"9<sup>th</sup> Annual Osteopathic Winter Seminar." St. Petersburg, FL</li> <li>Association of Academic Physiatrists Annual Meeting, San Antonio, TX</li> <li>"3-D CT of congenital scoliosis." Dr. Charles H. Bush, Orthopaedic Grand Rounds. University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Gainesville, Fl</li> </ul>
May 19	<ul> <li>98 - "Current advances in spinal cord injury rehabilitation."</li> <li>American Osteopathic College of Rehabilitation Medicine. Lake Buena Vista, FL</li> </ul>
Sep 199	<ul> <li>"Avascular necrosis of the hip." Orthopaedic Grand Rounds.</li> <li>University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation,</li> <li>Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>
Oct 199 Orlando	<ul> <li>American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Annual Meeting.</li> <li>FL</li> </ul>
Nov 1998	<ul> <li>Annual Scientific Assembly of the American Association of</li> <li>PhysicalMedicine and Rehabilitation, Seattle, WA</li> <li>"Proximal humerus fractures treated with arthroplasty." Orthopaedic</li> <li>Grand Rounds. University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and</li> <li>Rehabilitation, Gainesville, Fl</li> </ul>
Jan 199	9 - "The historical developments in total knee arthroplasty." Orthopaedic Grand Rounds. University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, Gainesville, FL
Feb 199 May 1999	<ul> <li>Association of Academic Physiatrists 1999 Annual Meeting. Orlando, FL</li> <li>"Kinematics of the knee and its implication for total knee prosthesis design." Orthopaedics Grand Rounds.</li> <li>University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>
Jul 1999	<ul> <li>"19<sup>th</sup> Annual Convention and National Family Practice Update."</li> <li>Florida Society American College of Osteopathic Family Physicians. Orlando, FL</li> </ul>
Nov 199	<ul> <li>"14<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting." North American Spine Society. Chicago, IL</li> <li>"Treatment of open tibia fracture." Orthopaedic Grand Rounds.</li> <li>University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation,</li> <li>Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>
Jan 200 implant Univers Gainesy	0 - "Synthetic calcium phosphates in orthopaedic surgery: HA-coated s and skeletal substitute materials." Orthopaedic Grand Rounds. ity of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation,
Oct 2000	<ul> <li>"Motion Analysis." Orthopaedic Grand Rounds.</li> <li>University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation,</li> <li>Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>

Nov 20	000	- "Failed back surgery and adjacent segment disease." Orthopaedic Grand Rounds. University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, Gainesville. FL
		- "Annual Meeting." American Academy of Physical Medicine and
	Rehabilitatio	n.
		San Francisco, CA.
	Mar 2001	<ul> <li>Orthopaedic Grand Rounds. University of Florida, Department of</li> <li>Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation. Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>
	Apr 2001	<ul> <li>Orthopaedic Grand Rounds. University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation. Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>
	CONTINUING	6 MEDICAL EDUCATION (Continued)
	May 2001	<ul> <li>Orthopaedic Grand Rounds. University of Florida, Department of</li> <li>Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation. Gainesville, FL</li> </ul>
lun 20	01	- "Annual Meeting." American Spinal Injury Association. Long Beach, CA.
5411 20	01	rehabilitation." June 13-15, 2001. Dallas, TX
	Debebilitetie	- "33 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Meeting." Southern Society of Physical Medicine and
	Renabilitatio	n. Now Orleans, LA
Nov 20	001 -	"Medical Directors Training Academy." National Association of Managed Care Physicians. Charlotte, NC.
43.0	Jan 2002	- "13 <sup>th</sup> Annual Osteopathic Winter Seminar."
	44.0	Pinellas County Osteopathic Medical Society.
	-	Orthopaedic Grand Rounds.
	-	University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation. Gainesville, FL
	May 2002	<ul> <li>"Microsurgery." Orthopaedic Grand Rounds.</li> </ul>
	Gaine	University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation. esville, FL
45.0	Sep 2002	- "Thrombosis prophylaxis: new strategies for an old problem."
	46.0	Orthopaedics & Rehabilitation Grand Rounds, University of Florida,
	47.0	Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation. Gainesville, FL
	Nov 2002 Assembly.	- American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Annual
		Orlando, FL
	Mar 2003 FL	- "39 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting Association of Academic Physiatrist, Ft. Lauderdale,
	May 2003	- "Appropriate opioid pharmacotherapy for chronic pain management." Discovery International. Gainesville, FL

Jul 2003	- "Comprehensive pain board symposium." University of Wisconsin	
	Medical School, Madison, WI	
Sep 2003	<ul> <li>"Interventional pain management symposium." American Society of</li> </ul>	
	Interventional Pain Physicians. Washington, DC	
Nov 2003	<ul> <li>American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Annual</li> </ul>	
	Assembly. Chicago, IL	
Feb 2004	- Florida Osteopathic Medical Association 101 <sup>st</sup> Annual Meeting, Ft.	
Lauderdale, F	L	
Apr 2004	Emerging concepts in the diagnosis and treatment of pain: An intensive	
	and comprehensive review. American Academy of PM&R. New Orleans, LA	
May 2004	- 30 <sup>th</sup> Annual Scientific Meeting of the American Spinal Injury Association.	
	Denver, CO	
Oct 2004	- American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Annual	
Assembly.	Phoenix, AZ	
Nov 2004	- American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine. 51 <sup>st</sup> Annual	
	Scientific Meeting, Savannah, GA	
Jan 2005	- University of South Florida 17 <sup>th</sup> Annual Disorders of the Spine Course.	
	Whistler, Canada	
Mar 2005	- Alachua County Medical Society Foundation. We Care Program (patient	
	care). Gainesville, FL	
Apr 2005	University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation.	
	Medical Grand Rounds. Gainesville, FL	
CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION (Continued)		
May 2005	Emerging concents in the diagnosis and treatment of nain: An intensive	
11111 2003	and comprehensive review. American Academy of PM&R. New Orleans 1A	
lun 2005	Emerging concents in the diagnosis and treatment of nain: An intensive	
5011 2005	and comprehensive review American Academy of PM&R San Francisco CA	
Sen 2005	University of Florida Department of Orthonaedics and Rehabilitation	
Jep 2003	Medical Grand Rounds Gainesville El	
	2005 Florida Osteonathic Medical Association Mid-Vear Seminar	

	Tampa, FL
Oct 2005	American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Annual
	Assembly. Philadelphia, PA
Jan 2006	University of South Florida 18 <sup>th</sup> Annual Disorders of the Spine Course.

Mar 2006	University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation.
	Medical Grand Rounds. Gainesville, FL
May 2006	University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation.
	Medical Grand Rounds. Gainesville, FL
Jun 2006	American Spinal Cord Injury Association/ISCoS Global Spinal Cord Injury
	Conference. Boston, MA

Whistler, Canada

Nov 2006	American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Annual
	Assembly. Honolulu, HI
Nov 2006	Orthopaedics & Rehabilitation Medical Grand Rounds. University of
	Fiorida. Gainesville, FL.
Jan 2007	19" Annual Disorders of the Spine Disorder of the Spine Course.
lan 2007	Willstiel, Caliada.
Jan 2007	Multidisciplinary frauma conference. University of Fiorida College of Medicine. Gainesville. FL.
May 2007	Managing Chronic Pain: Clinical, Ethical & Lawful Solutions, The Medical
	Educational Council of Pensacola. Gulf Breeze. FL.
May 2007	33 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Scientific Meeting. American Spinal Injury Association.
	Tampa, FL.
Sep 2007	Florida Osteopathic Medical Association Mid-Year Seminar, Tampa, FL.
Sep 2007	American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2007 Annual
5cp 2007	Assembly, Boston, MA.
Jan 2008	20 <sup>th</sup> Annual Disorders of the Spine Disorder of the Spine Course.
	Whistler. Canada
Feb 2008	University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics And Rehabilitation
	Medical Grand Rounds, Medical Errors from a Spinal Perspective, Gainesville, FL.
Mar 2008	University of Florida. Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation.
	Medical Grand Rounds. Gainesville, FL
Jun 2008	American Spinal Injury Association 2008 Annual Meeting. San Diego. CA
Jan 2009	University of Florida, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation.
	Medical Grand Rounds. Gainesville, FL
Sep 2009	Florida Osteopathic Mid-year Seminar. Tampa, FL.
Oct 2009	American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2009 Annual
	Assembly. Austin, TX
Nov 2010	American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2010 Annual
	Conference. Seattle, WA
Nov 2010	American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation – Manual
	Medicine (Faculty) Seattle, WA
CONTINUING	MEDICAL EDUCATION (Continued)
Jul 2011	American College of Osteopathic Family Physicians 31 <sup>st</sup> Annual
	Convention.
Sep 2011	9 <sup>th</sup> Annual Medical Rehabilitation Conference/American Medical
	Rehabilitation Providers Association. South Beach, FL
Nov 2011	American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2011 Annual
	Conference. Orlando, FL
Feb 2012	Florida Osteopathic Medical Association 109 <sup>th</sup> Annual Convention
Jan 2014	25 <sup>th</sup> Annual Osteopathic Winter Seminar, Pinellas County Osteopathic
	Medical Society (PCOMS). Florida
April-May 2014	The 33 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Scientific Meeting of the American Pain Society.
-	Tampa, FL
March 2015	31 <sup>st</sup> AAPM Annual Meeting. Washington, DC

#### **CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION – WRITTEN MATERIAL**

Jan 1992	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine, Continuing Medical
	Education Program. Exam #25
Jun 1992 -	American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Training Program
	Self-Assessment Examination
Jan 1993	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine, Continuing Medical
	Education Program. Exam #27
Jul 1993	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine, Continuing Medical
	Education Program. Exam #28
Jul 1994	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine, Continuing Medical
	Education Program. Exam #30
Sep 1994	- "HIV and the health care worker." University of Washington, School of
	Medicine, Office of Continuing Medical Education.
Dec 1994	- "Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis." American Association Electrodiagnostic
	Medicine Case Report #5
Jan 1995	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine, Continuing Medical
	Education Program. Exam #31
Feb 1995	<ul> <li>"Diseases associated with excess motor unit activity." American</li> </ul>
	Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Mimimonograph #44
	<ul> <li>"Monomelic amyotrophy." American Association of</li> </ul>
	Electrodiagnostic Medicine Case Report #28
Dec 1995	- "Intraoperative monitoring of peripheral and cranial nerves." American
	Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Minimonograph #42
	<ul> <li>"Neuromuscular problems in the performing arts." American</li> </ul>
	Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Minimonograph #43
Jun 1996	<ul> <li>"Instrumentation and measurement in electrodiagnostic medicine."</li> </ul>
	American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Minimonograph
	#16
Jul 1996	<ul> <li>"Prolonged paralysis after neuromuscular blockade." American</li> </ul>
	Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Case Report #29
	<ul> <li>"The early development of electromyography." American</li> </ul>
	Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Minimonograph #45

### **CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION – WRITTEN MATERIAL (Continued)**

Oct 1996 -	The Medical letter and the Yale School of Medicine Continuing Medical
	Education Program Exam #33
-	"Multifocal motor neuropathy." American Association of Electro-
	diagnostic Medicine Case Report #30
Mar 1997	- Neurogenic muscle hypertrophy." American Association of Electro-
	diagnostic Medicine Minimonograph #46
Mar 1997	- "Single-fiber electromyography." American Association of Electro-
	diagnostic Medicine Case Report #25

-	"Diabetic amyotrophy." American Association of Electrodiagnostic
	Medicine Case Report #13
Sep 1997	- "Normative data in electrodiagnostic medicine." American Association
	of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Minimonograph #47
Nov 1997	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine, Continuing
	Medical Education Program. Exam #35
Jan 1998	<ul> <li>"HIV/AIDS update: a self-study course for primary health care</li> </ul>
	professionals." University of Florida, Office of Continuing Medical
	Education, Gainesville.
	<ul> <li>"Autonomic nervous system testing." American Association of Electro-</li> </ul>
	diagnostic Medicine Minimonograph #48
May 1998	<ul> <li>"The electrodiagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome." American</li> </ul>
	Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Minimonograph #26
Oct 1998	<ul> <li>"Somatosensory evoked potential." American Association of</li> </ul>
	Electrodiagnostic Medicine Minimonograph #19
Oct 1999	<ul> <li>"Nerve injury associated with hip arthroplasty." American Association</li> </ul>
	of Electrodiagnostic Medicine Case Report #32
	<ul> <li>"1998 AAEM Training Program Self-Assessment Examination."</li> </ul>
Jan 1999	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine continuing medical
	education program. Exam No. 37.
Aug 1999	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine continuing medical
	education program. Exam No. 38.
Feb 2000	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine continuing medical
	education program. Exam No. 39.
Mar 2000 -	"New pharmacologic options for the management of neuropathic pain: a
	practical treatment guide. Dannemiller Memorial Educational Foundation.
Apr 2000	- "Gullian-Barre Syndrome." American Association of Electrodiagnostic
	Medicine. Case Report #4.
Jun 2000	<ul> <li>"Costoclavicular Mass Syndrome." American Association of</li> </ul>
	Electrodiagnostic Medicine. Case Report # 33.
Jan 2001	- "Electrodiagnostic Studies in Amyothrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Other
	Motor Neuron Disorders." American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine.
	Mimimonograph #18.
	- "Botulism." American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine.
	Case Report #16.
May 2001	- "Assessment test." Rehab in Review.
CONTINUIN	G MEDICAL EDUCATION – WRITTEN MATERIAL (Continued)
Oct 2001	- "Rehab in review assessment test." Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital.
Nov 2001	- "Lawful prescribing and prevention of diversion." CME/CE
Teleconferer	nce Series.
-	"Rehab in review assessment test." Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital.
Dec 2001	- "Rehab in review assessment test." Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital.
Jan 2002	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine continuing medical
	education program. Exam No. 43.
-	"Advances in migraine prophylaxis program: Current state of the art and

	future prospects." Finch University of Health Sciences/The Chicago Medical
Jun 2002 Oct 2002	<ul> <li>"Rehab in review assessment test." Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital.</li> <li>"Rehab in review assessment test." Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital.</li> </ul>
Dec 2002 Wilkins.	- Contemporary Spine Surgery Volume 3 Issue 4. Lippincott Williams &
	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine Continuing Medical
Feb 2003 &Wilkins.	- Contemporary Spine Surgery Volume 3 Issue 3. Lippincott Williams
Mar 2003	- "Urine Drug Testing in Primary Care." 2002-2003 Monograph. California Academy of Family Physicians.
-	<ul> <li>"2001 AAEM Training Program Self-Assessment Examination Review Session."</li> <li>American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine</li> <li>"2001 AAEM Training Program Self-Assessment Examination."</li> <li>American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine</li> </ul>
Apr 2003	- "New analgesic options: Overcoming obstacles to pain relief." University of Wisconsin, Continuing Medical Education.
Feb 2005	- The Medical Letter and the Yale School of Medicine Continuing Medical
Jan 2008	- "Neuroimaging: Interpreting Addiction." Emerging Solutions in Pain. David Schyler, PhD. Medicom.

### VI. Study Roster

This is a list of additional personnel (IRB-approved) who will be involved in the study (and who are not listed on the title page).

Location 1: Feinberg School of Medicine Northwestern University Dept of Physiology Tarry Bldg 7<sup>th</sup> floor, 310 E Superior St. Chicago, IL 60611

Etienne Vachon-Presseau, PhD Postdoc – Data Analysis and Study Support etienne.vachon.presseau@gmail.com 312-503-3039 Office 7-701 \*\*\*works remotely most of time (email is best source of contact)

Melissa Farmer, PhD Research Associate – Administrative Support melissa-farmer@northwestern.edu 312-503-2562 Office 7-707 Alexis (Alex) Baria, PhD Lab Manager – Scanning and Analytical Support axlebaria@gmail.com Office 7-665 (Morton corridor) 312-503-3971

Mariam Ghantous, MD Postdoc – Scanning and Study Support Office 7-751 312-503-1319

Rami Jabakhanji, PhD Research Associate – Scanning and Study Support Office 7-701 312-503-3039

Maria Virginia (Marivi) Centeno, MS Technician – Technical Support and Database Quality Control marivicenteno@gmail.com 312-503-1703 Office 7-767

Lejian Huang, PhD Research Associate – Data Quality Control and Preprocessing lejian@gmail.com 312-503-3195 Office 7-763

Beth Hunt, MA Research Coordinator and Recruiter (also aids in administrative duties) beth.hunt@northwestern.edu 312-503-6475 Office 7-701

Taha Abdullah, MS Research Coordinator/Study Leader taha.b.abdullah@gmail.com 312-503-0413 Office 7-731

Binbin Wu, MD Visiting Scholar – Study support Office 7-731 312-503-0413

Diane Reckziegel, PhD Postdoc – Scanning and Study Support 312-503-3971 Office 7-705

Location 2: Northwestern University Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute (NUCATS) 750 North Lake Shore Drive Floor 11 Chicago, IL 60611 Priya Rakesh Tripathi Regulatory Coordinator – Regulatory support and recruitment priya.tripathi@northwestern.edu 312-503-4122

Ashley Sipocz Research Project Coordinator – Regulatory support and recruitment ashley.sipocz@northwestern.edu 312-503-2289 (desk #) 312-503-1709 (recruitment hotline #)

Annette Kinsella, RN, CCR Clinical Research Nurse/Staff Educator/Trainer – bottling and blinding study agent a-kinsella@northwestern.edu 312-503-2317

Location 3 Northwestern Memorial Hospital – Feinberg Pavilion Research Pharmacy 251 E. Huron St. LC-700 Chicago, IL 60611

Jane Regalado, Pharm D Investigational Pharmacist – orders and encapsulates study agent jregalad@nmh.org 312-926-7470

Location 4 Northwestern Memorial Hospital - Arkes Family Pavilion 676 North St. Clair St. Diagnostic Testing Center (DTC) Suite 280 Chicago, IL 60611

This center provides labs and phlebotomy services on an out-patient basis. This location is listed as a back-up in case the study staff is unable to draw blood at the first visit. Staff will fill out necessary form prior to escorting participant to this location and wait with them until their labs are taken.

Location 5 Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, 10<sup>th</sup> floor Abbot Hall, 710 N Lake Shore Dr Chicago, IL 60611

Julia Marks, Clinical Research Manager, Study Support and Phlebotomy julia.marks@northwestern.edu 312-503-1215 Narina Simonian, BS, CCRC – Study Support and Phlebotomy Clinical Research Project Manager n-simonian@northwestern.edu 312-503-5780

Amy Lange, R.N. – Study Support and Phlebotomy Research Coordinator amy.lange@northwestern.edu 312-503-9915

Katie Rankin, B.S. – Study Support and Phlebotomy Project Coordinator katie.rankin@northwestern.edu 312-503-4043

### VII. Schedule of Evaluations and Assessments

#### Before Study Begins (visit/week not applicable):

Purpose: Set up all parameters necessary for the study to run smoothly

List of Items to be Completed:

- After approval from study sponsor, submit updated protocol and documentation to the Northwestern IRB for approval
- Order encapsulated study drugs (placebo, naproxen, and omeprazole)
- Order, bottle, and label rescue medication (acetaminophen)
- Pharmacy will randomize drugs according to our block design (sect 4.3)
- Create and organize participant folders
- Begin recruitment and pre-screen interested for initial eligibility (sects 2.1 and 2.2)

### Visit 1: Consent and Baseline Pain Monitoring (week -3):

Purpose: Determine initial eligibility and collect the first of a subset of pre-treatment measurements; monitor baseline pain ratings without study interference

#### List of Items to be Completed:

- Informed consent read and discuss, signature obtained
- Medical/pain history recorded
- Patient demographics recorded
- Physical exam and Vitals: temperature, pulse, respiration, blood pressure, weight, height
- Blood and urine collection: complete blood count, comprehensive chemistry panel including liver functions, pregnancy test (if applicable)
- Review eligibility criteria
- Review previous and current concomitant medications and side effects, if any, associated with them
- Assess initial pain intensity
- Begin washout of current pain medications
- Complete questionnaires\*\*\*

• Dispense rescue medication

### Interim 1: Monitor App Use

Collect daily pain and mood ratings on the electronic phone/computer app; monitor for eligible pain range (see Visit 2, bullet point 1)

### Visit 2: Baseline Pre-Treatment Scan 1 (week -1):

Purpose: Collect the screening and safety measurements, complete the first scanning session, and continue to monitor pain ratings

### List of Items to be Completed:

- Review interim VAS ratings for eligibility (≥ 5/10 pain on average over the 2 week period in Interim 1)
- Collect current VAS pain intensity
- Ask about any changes in clinical status since last visit
- Complete scanning session: T1, Resting, DTI
- Complete questionnaires\*\*\*
- Dispense rescue medication

### Interim 2: Stratification

Purpose: Use predictive model to stratify into placebo responders versus non-responders

List of Items to be Completed:

- Pre-process imaging data
- Send data through the model and based on results, stratify into responders versus nonresponders
- Send stratification result to the pharmacy so that they can randomize participant accordingly
- Continue to monitor daily pain and mood app ratings

## Visit 3: Randomization and Treatment starts (week 0):

Purpose: Collect measurements and randomize; begin treatment (if applicable)

List of Items to be Completed:

- Collect current VAS pain intensity
- Collect adverse events/changes in health, if any
- Document use of rescue medication
- Dispense additional rescue medication if needed
- Vitals
- Complete questionnaires\*\*\*
- If in treatment group, pharmacy will give participants their already randomized and blinded study medication and specific instructions for taking it; provide with additional rescue medication; if in no-treatment group, independent assessor will notify participant of this assignment

### Interim 3: Monitor App Use and Agent Compliance

Purpose: Collect daily pain and mood ratings on the electronic phone/computer app; monitor study agent compliance and/or rescue medication use

### Visit 4: Interim Monitoring Visit (week 3):

Purpose: Collect measurements and continue treatment, if applicable

List of Items to be Completed:

• This list is identical to that in Visit 3

### Interim 4: Same as Interim 3

### Visit 5: Post Treatment Scan (week 6)

Purpose: Collect measurements; complete second/last scanning protocol; begin study medication washout

- Collect current VAS pain intensity
- Collect adverse events, if any
- Collect rescue medication
- Complete T1, resting state, DTI, and pain rating scans
- Questionnaires \*\*\*
- Begin washout period and provide additional rescue medication

## Interim 5: Same as Interim 1

## Visit 6: Final Visit (week 9)

Purpose: Collect measurements; end washout; complete study

- Collect current VAS pain intensity
- Collect adverse events, if any
- Vitals
- Questionnaires \*\*\*
- Return of phone, if applicable

## VIII. Biostatistician CV

# **Curriculum Vitae**

Name	James William Griffith
E-mail	j-griffith@northwestern.edu jameswgriffith@gmail.com
License	Clinical Psychology, State of Illinois, U.S.A. #071.007223
Citizenship	United States of America

## New Orleans VA Medical Center

# EDUCATION

- American Psychological Association (APA) accredited psychology Internship
- Research areas: Cognition and emotional, longitudinal assessment of PTSD symptoms in Operation Desert Storm Veterans
- The State University of New York at Binghamton
- Doctor of Philosophy in Clinical Psychology, January 2004, Minor in Statistics (APA Accredited)
- Master of Arts, Clinical Psychology, August 2001
- Research areas: Depression and memory processes, life-events and mental health, comorbidity, psychological assessment
- Temple University
- Bachelor of Arts, Psychology, Magna Cum Laude, January 1998

# HONORS AND AWARDS RECEIVED

- Graduate student award for excellence in teaching
- Tuition Scholarship at State University of New York (4 years)
- Travel expense award for AABT awarded by the psychology department (3, approximately \$125 each)
- Temple University's College of Arts and Sciences Dean's Scholar (Spring 1997)
- Dean's List (Spring 1995, Fall 1995, Spring 1996, Spring 1997)
- Member of Psi Chi (National Honors Society in Psychology)
- Member of Golden Key Honor's Society

# **RESEARCH EXPERIENCE**

- K.U.Leuven, Centre for Experimental Psychopathology, 25 October 2011-present
   Senior visiting fellow
- Conducted analyses of overgeneral memory, rumination and treatment outcome data

#### Northwestern University, Department of Medical Social Sciences, April 27<sup>th</sup>, 2009present

- Research Assistant Professor
- Oversaw taste, audition, and somatosensation teams for ~\$25 million NIH Toolbox contract
- Assisted with consulting to the pharmaceutical industry

## Center on Outcomes Research and Education, July 15<sup>th</sup>, 2008-April 24<sup>th</sup>, 2009

- Research Scientist
- Oversaw taste, audition, and somatosensation teams for ~\$25 million NIH Toolbox contract
- Provided statistical consultation at internal medicine club
- Northwestern University, Department of Psychology, August 2003-July 2008
- Postdoctoral Fellow/Project Director
- Oversaw a NIMH-funded, longitudinal multi-site study of risk for emotional disorders
- Trained and supervised interviewers on the Structured Clinical Interviewer for DSM-IV and Hammen's Life Stress Interview
- Oversaw staff of project coordinators, graduate students, independent-study students, work-study students, and volunteers
- Coordinated screening of 200-300 adolescents per year and subsequent recruitment into longitudinal phase (cross-site N = 627)
- Oversaw data processing and data analysis
- Programmed computerized cognitive experiments in MediaLab and DirectRT
- New Orleans VA Medical Center, July 2002-July 2003
- Psychology Intern
- Analysis of archival data of post-deployment psychopathology in ODS veterans
- Designing computerized Stroop experiments (using E-Prime) for use with veterans
- Attend research meetings and didactic seminars
- Reviewed manuscripts and grant applications for various faculty members interested in PTSD and information processing
- Pepper Research Lab, September 1998-July 2002
- Graduate Student Staff Member
- Designed and conducted experiments with human participants
- Supervised undergraduate research assistants
- Set up and maintained five-terminal local area network
- Conducted diagnostic interviews as part of NIMH B-Start grant awarded to Dr. Carolyn Pepper (advisor)
- Worked as paid research assistant on life events and mood disorder research (Summer 1998)
- Temple University Social Phobia Program (the clinic is now called "Adult Anxiety

### Clinics at Temple"), February 1996-June 1998

- Research Assistant
- Made conceptual contributions to several research studies on the treatment outcome and etiology of social phobia
- Interacted with research participants including college students and persons with psychiatric disabilities
- Created Microsoft Access databases for several NIMH-funded research studies
- Acted as liaison between lab and major computer vendor
- Upgraded and maintained computer hardware and software
- Maintained file backup system for lab computers

# **TEACHING EXPERIENCE**

- Center on Outcomes Research and Education, July 2008-April 2009
- Developed logistic regression seminar for department of surgery
- The State University of New York at Binghamton, September 1998-July 2002
- Course Instructor
- Instructor of record for undergraduate Statistics and Design course
- Teaching assistant for graduate Statistics and Design I and II
- Instructor for General Psychology Laboratory
- Teaching assistant for undergraduate Statistics and Design

# CLINICAL AND COMMUNITY EXPERIENCE

The Family Institute, August 2003-present

•

- Affiliate Therapist
- Conduct individual therapy with adults

### New Orleans VA Medical Center, July 2002-July 2003

- Psychology Intern
- Conducted individual and group therapy for women who report past sexual trauma
- Taught smoking cessation classes
- Conducted individual and group psychotherapy for veterans with PTSD
- Conducted compensation and pension evaluations
- Syracuse VA Medical Center, September 2001-May 2002
- Psychology Trainee
- Administered neuropsychological and psychological testing and psychotherapy in behavioral health and primary care settings
- Attended grand rounds and training seminars in topics such as PTSD and veteran culture
- Consulted with supervising psychologists about data analysis for staff morale project

#### Broome County Community Mental Health, September 1999-June 2000 Administrative Trainee

- Conducted and presented research on the functioning of Broome County's mental health system
- Provided consultation to Mental Health Commissioner regarding community research projects and interpretation of state, county, and agency mental health data
- Interviewed local mental health professionals and consumers pursuant to gathering data to inform county mental health expenditures, program planning, and program development
- Presented findings to Mental Health Commissioner's advisory subcommittee
- The Psychological Clinic (Student Clinic at SUNY), 1999-May 2002
- Administered neuropsychological and psychological testing and psychotherapy
- Cases included clients with major depressive disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, memory problems, and learning disabilities

# TRAINING WORKSHOPS ATTENDED

• 22 May 2008: Mixed Models for Longitudinal Data Analysis. Facilitated by Donald Hedeker.

# DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

2010-2011: Search Committee for junior developmental neuroscientist

# PUBLICATIONS

- **Griffith, J. W.**, Kleim, B., Sumner, J. A., & Ehlers, A. (in press). The factor structure of the Autobiographical Memory Test in recent trauma survivors. *Psychological Assessment.*
- Griffith, J. W. (in press). Exploratory Factor Analysis. Encyclopedia entry to be published in the Encyclopedia of Quality of Life Research.
- Uliaszek, A. A., Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Craske, M. G., **Griffith, J. W.**, Sutton, J. M., Epstein, A., & Hammen, C. (in press). A longitudinal examination of stress generation in depressive and anxiety Disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology.*
- Barnhofer, T., Duggan, D., & **Griffith, J. W.** (in press). Dispositional mindfulness moderates the relation between neuroticism and depressive symptoms. Submitted to *Personality and Individual Differences*.
- Hahn, E. A., Choi, S. W., Griffith, J. W., Yost, K. J., & Baker, D. B. (2011). Health Literacy Assessment Using Talking Touchscreen Technology (Health LiTT): A New Item Response Theory-based Measure of Health Literacy. *Journal of Health Communication, 16,* 150-162. doi: 10.1080/10810730.2011.605434

- Prenoveau, J. M., Craske, M. G., Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Rose, R. D., & **Griffith, J. W.** (in press). Are anxiety and depression just as stable as personality during late adolescence? Results from a three-year longitudinal latent variable study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology.*
- **Griffith, J. W.**, Sumner, J. A., Raes, F., Barnhofer, T., Debeer, E., & Hermans, D. (in press). Current psychometric and methodological issues in the measurement of overgeneral autobiographical memory. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*.
- Sumner, J. A., Griffith, J. W., & Mineka, S. (2011). Examining mechanisms of overgeneral autobiographical memory: Capture and rumination, and impaired executive control. Memory, 19, 169-183. doi:10.1080/09658211.2010.541467
- Desai, H., Smutzer, G., Coldwell, S., & **Griffith, J. W.** (in press). Validation of edible taste strips for identifying PROP taste-recognition thresholds. *The Laryngoscope.*
- Lewis, A. R., Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Craske, M. G., Epstein, A., & Griffith, J. W. (2010). The relationship between anxiety sensitivity and latent symptoms of emotional problems: A structural equation modeling approach. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 48,* 761-769. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2010.05.001
- Sutton, J. M., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., Griffith, J. W., Rose, R. D., Waters, A. M., Nazarian, M. & Mor, N. (2010). The relationships of personality and cognitive styles with self-reported symptoms of depression and anxiety. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*. doi: 10.1007/s10608-010-9336-9
- Mennella, J. A., Lukasewycz, L. D., Griffith, J. W., & Beauchamp, G. K. (2011). Evaluation of the Monell Forced-Choice, Paired-Comparison Tracking Procedure for Determining Sweet Taste Preferences across the Lifespan. Chemical Senses. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjq134
- Sumner, J. A., Griffith, J. W., Mineka, S., Rekart, K. N., Zinbarg, R. E., & Craske, M. G. (2011). Overgeneral autobiographical memory and chronic interpersonal stress as predictors of the course of depression in adolescents. *Cognition and Emotion*, 25, 183-192. doi:10.1080/02699931003741566
- Sumner, J. A., Griffith, J. W., & Mineka, S. (2010). Overgeneral autobiographical memory as a predictor of the course of depression: A meta-analysis. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 48,* 614-625. PMCID: PMC2878838
- Prenoveau, J. M., Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., Mineka, S. M., **Griffith, J. W.**, Epstein, A. M. (2010). Testing a hierarchical model of anxiety and depression in adolescents: A tri-level model. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 24,* 234-244.
- Mor, N., Doane, L. D., Adam, E. K., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., Griffith, J. W., Craske, M. G., Waters, A., & Nazarian, M. (2010). Within-person variations in self-focused attention and negative affect in depression and anxiety: A Diary Study. *Cognition and Emotion*, 24, 48-62.
- Adam, E. K., Doane, L. D., Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Craske, M. G., & Griffith, J. W. (2010) Prospective prediction of major depressive disorder from cortisol awakening responses in adolescence. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 35, 921-931. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.12.007
- Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Craske, M. G., **Griffith, J. W.**, Sutton, J., Rose, R. D., Nazarian, M., Mor, N., & Waters, A. (2010). The Northwestern-UCLA Youth Emotion Project: Associations of cognitive vulnerabilities, neuroticism and gender with past diagnoses of emotional disorders in adolescents. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *48*, 347-358.
- **Griffith, J. W.**, Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., Mineka, S, Rose, R. D., Waters, A. M. & Sutton, J. M. (2010). Neuroticism as a common dimension in the internalizing disorders. *Psychological Medicine, 40,* 1125-1136.
- Griffith, J. W., Sumner, J. A., Debeer, E., Raes, F., Hermans, D., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., & Craske, M. G. (2009). An Item Response Theory/Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Autobiographical Memory Test. *Memory*, 17, 609-623.
- Uliaszek, A. A., Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Craske, M. G., Sutton, J. M., **Griffith, J. W.**, Rose, R., Waters, A., & Hammen, C. (2009). The Role of Neuroticism and Extraversion in the Stress-Anxiety and Stress-

Depression Relationships. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping: An International Journal.* doi:10.1080/10615800903377264

- Uliaszek, A. A., Hauner, K. K. Y., Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., Mineka, S., Griffith, J. W., & Rose, R. D. (2009). An Examination of Content Overlap and Disorder-Specific Predictions in the Associations of Neuroticism with Anxiety and Depression. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 43, 785-794.
- Craske, M. G., Waters, A. M., Nazarian, M., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., Griffith, J. W., Naliboff, B., & Ornitz, E.

M. (2009). Does neuroticism in adolescents moderate contextual and explicit cue modulation of the startle reflex? *Biological Psychiatry, 65,* 220-226.

- Prenoveau, J. M., Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., Mineka, S., **Griffith, J. W.**, & Rose, R. D. (2009). Evaluating the Invariance and Validity of the Structure of Dysfunctional Attitudes in an Adolescent Population. *Assessment, 16,* 258-273.
- Hauner, K. K. Y., Adam, E. K., Mineka, S., Doane, L. D., DeSantis, A. S., Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. E. & Griffith, J. W. (2008). Neuroticism and introversion are associated with salivary cortisol patterns in adolescents. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 33, 1344–1356.
- Mor, N., Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., Mineka, S., Uliaszek, A., Rose, R., Griffith, J. W., & Waters, A. (2008). Evaluating the invariance of the factor structure of the EPQ-R-N among adolescents. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 90, 66-75.
- Zinbarg, R. E., & **Griffith, J. W.** (2008). Behavior therapy. In J. L. Lebow (Ed.). *Twenty First Century Psychotherapies*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Newcomb-Rekart, K., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., & **Griffith, J. W.** (2007). Perceived family environment and symptoms of emotional disorders: The role of perceived control, attributional style, and attachment. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 31,* 419-436.
- Jeglic, E. L., Pepper, C. M., Ryabchenko, R. A., **Griffith, J. W.**, Miller, A. B. & Johnson, M. (2005). Coping with a partner's depression: A caregiving model. *Family Relations, 54,* 37-45.
- Ryabchenko, K. A., Pepper, C. M., Jeglic E. L., **Griffith, J. W.,** & Miller, A. B. (2004). Differences in course and comorbidity of recurrent depression in primary care and psychiatric populations. *Depression and Anxiety, 20,* 153-154.
- Griffith, J. W. (2003) The Cochran's Q. In M. Lewis-Beck, A. E. Bryman, & T. F. Liao (Eds.). Sage Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods. Sage Publications: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- **Griffith, J. W.** (2001). Professionals' beliefs regarding child sexual abuse: Assessment and treatment implications. *The Journal of Threat Assessment, 1,* 35-61.

# MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED FOR PUBLICATION

Uliaszek, A. A., Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Craske, M. G., Sutton, J. M., **Griffith, J. W.**, Rose, R., Waters, A., & Hammen, C. The Role of Personality in the Stress-Depression and Stress-Anxiety Relationships. Submitted to *Personality and Individual Differences*.

Schoofs, H., Raes, F., Griffith, J. W., & Hermans, D. (2011). Rumination Relates to Reduced Autobiographical Memory Specificity in Formerly Depressed Patients Following a Self-Discrepancy Challenge: The Case of Autobiographical Memory Specificity Reactivity. Submitted to *Behavior Research and Therapy.* 

# MANUSCRIPTS UNDER REVISION

- **Griffith, J. W.,** Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Sutton, J. & Craske, M. G. Association of neuroticism and cognitive vulnerability for depression.
- Griffith, J. W. Warrier, C., Zecker, S. Siegle, J. H, & Dhar, S. An item response theory analysis of the Hearing Handicap Inventory.
- **Griffith, J. W.**, Constans, J. I., Brailey, K., & Vasterling, J. J. Cognitive bias in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): Impact of a trauma-related stressor on the emotional Stroop task.

# MANUSCRIPTS IN PREPARATION

- Salsman, J. M., Butt, Z., Pilkonis, P. A., Cyranowski, J. M., Zill, N., Hendrie, H. C., Kupst, M. J., Kelly, M. A. R., Bode, R. K., Choi, S. W., Lai, J-S., Griffith, J. W., & Cella, D. Emotional Health and its Assessment within the NIH Toolbox. To be submitted to *Neurology* for inclusion in a special issue.
- Coldwell, S. E., Mennella, J. A., Duffy, V. B., Pelchat, M. L., **Griffith, J. W.**, Smutzer, G., Cowart, B. J., Breslin, P. A. S., Bartoshuk, L. M., Hastings, L., Beauchamp, G. K., O'Mahony, M. A., Victorson, D., & Hoffman,

•

H. (2010). NIH Toolbox for Neurological and Behavioral Function: Assessments for gustation. To be submitted to *Neurology* for inclusion in a special issue.

Griffith, J. W., Pepper, C. M., Ryabchencko, K. & Calabrese V. Reality monitoring and depressive disorders.

Doane, L.D., Adam, E.K., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R., **Griffith, J. W.**, & Craske, M. Flattened diurnal cortisol rhythms as a scar marker of past depressive episodes and a state marker of current negative emotion in a community sample of adolescents.

# GRANTS FUNDED

- NorthShore University HealthSystem CCRP Grant
  - Topic: Development and IRT analysis of a dysmenorrhea self-report instrument
  - Total grant = \$60,000, direct salary support = \$5,000
  - Role: Co-principal investigator

# GRANT APPLICATIONS

- NorthShore pilot grant (2008, Not Funded)
- CORE Davis Award (2008, Not Funded)
- NIH Extramural Loan Repayment Program (2004, Not Funded)
- Individual National Research Service Award #1-F31-MH65753-01. Reality Monitoring and Major Depression (2001, Not Funded)
- Society for a Science of Clinical Psychology Dissertation Grant. Reality Monitoring and Major Depression (2001 & 2002, Not Funded)

# EDITORIAL EXPERIENCE

- Ad-hoc reviewer for *Clinical Psychology Review* (1)
- Ad-hoc reviewer for Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment (1)
- Reviewer for Behaviour Research & Therapy (31)
- Reviewer for Journal of Abnormal Psychology (10)
- Reviewer for *Emotion* (1)
- Reviewer for International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research (1)
- Reviewer for Journal of Affective Disorders (1)
- Reviewer for British Journal of Clinical Psychology (2)
- Reviewer for Cognition and Emotion (1)
- Reviewer for Journal of Behaviour Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry (1)
- Reviewer for Brain, Behavior, and Immunity (2)
- Reviewer for Biomed Central Psychiatry (1)
- Reviewer for Journal of Traumatic Stress (1)
- Reviewer for American Journal of Psychology (1)
- Reviewer for Neuropsychologia (1)
- Reviewer for Social Science Research (1)
- Reviewer for Cognitive Therapy and Research (1)
- British Psychology Society

# PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

- American Psychological Association
- American Psychological Association Practice Organization
- Association for the Advancement of Behavior and Cognitive Therapies

.

# COLLOQUIA and INVITED PRESENTATIONS

 NorthShore University HealthSystem psychiatry grand rounds. The Youth Emotion Project: Assessing risk for anxiety and depression (January, 20th, 2009).

- Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (in English The University of Leuven, Belgium). The Youth Emotion Project: Assessing risk for anxiety and depression (February 2008)
- University of Zürich The Youth Emotion Project: Associations among Neuroticism, Psychopathology, and Cognitive Vulnerability for Depression. (11 November 2010)
- Annual Meeting of the International Pelvic Pain Society. MAPP Project II, Northwestern University: Selfreport assessment of UCPPS. (22 October 2011, Las Vegas, Nevada)

# **OTHER PRESENTATIONS**

- Future Directions in Cognition and Emotion Research (CORE Internal Seminar, October 2008)
- The Youth Emotion Project: Assessing Autobiographical Memory (Job talk to CORE, June 2008)
- All Good Things Must End... (Case conference given to VAMC MH and PTSD staff, May 2003)
- Why Worry? The case of "Fred" (Assessment case conference given to VAMC MH and PTSD staff, December 2002)
- Cleaning Up Your Data with SPSS(given to VAMC research staff, October 2002)
- Case Conference: Sixty years of PTSD (February 2002)
- Case Conference: Community Mental Health Services: A Needs Assessment (with R. Russell & A. Johnson)
- Evaluating Mental Health Services in Broome County (given to Broome County MH subcommittee)
- Evaluating Case Management and Residential Services In Broome County (given to Broome County MH subcommittee)
- Computerized data analysis with SPSS (given to first-year graduate statistics class)

# CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

- Barkema, D., Linn, S. Ruppert, L., Wysocki1, N. Streff, L., Bhuva, S. Welbel, R. Gordon, K., Griffith, J. Samuels, D. & Schnitzer, T. (2011). Heel Ultrasound compared to DXA in Spinal Cord Injury. Poster to be presented at the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. San Diego, CA, U.S.A.
- Desai, H., Coldwell, S. E., **Griffith, J. W.**, Hastings, L., & Smutzer, G. S. (2011). Validation of commercial PROP taste strips for the NIH Toolbox. Poster presented at the annual meeting of the Association for Chemoreception Sciences.
- Polster, R., **Griffith, J. W.**, Calhoun, E. A., Tu, F. F., Cella, D., & Gershon, R. (2010). Patient-Reported Measurement Aspects of Chronic Pelvic Pain: MAPP Northwestern University Project II Preliminary Results from Qualitative Interviews with Pelvic Pain Patients. Poster presented at the annual meeting of the International Pelvic Pain Society.
- Hahn, E. A., Choi, S. W., **Griffith, J. W.**, Yost, K. J., & Baker, D. W. (2010). A New Multimedia Health Literacy Item Bank Developed Using Item Response Theory. Poster to be presented at the 2<sup>nd</sup> annual meeting of the *Health Literacy Annual Research Conference*, Boston, MA, U.S.A.
- Coldwell, S., **Griffith, J. W.**, & Victorson, D. (2009). Initial selection of taste perception assessment measures for NIH Toolbox, Poster to be presented at the 21<sup>st</sup> annual meeting of the Association for Psychological Science, San Francisco, U.S.A.
- Sumner, J.A., Mineka, S., **Griffith, J. W.**, Zinbarg, R., & Craske, M. (2008, May). Overgeneral autobiographical memory predicts unipolar mood disorders in adolescents. Poster presentation at the 20th annual meeting of the Association for Psychological Science, Chicago, IL.
- Bostick, S., Epstein, A., Dickson, D., Craske, M. G., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., & Griffith, J. W. (2008). Anxiety and depression: Potential mediators between stressful life events and alcohol use disorders at one year follow up. *Abstract submitted to* the 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the *Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies*, Orlando, FL.
- Sumner, J., Mineka, S., **Griffith, J. W.**, Zinbarg, R., & Craske, M. (2007, October). Autobiographical memory specificity as a predictor of unipolar mood disorders in adolescents. Poster presentation at the 21st annual meeting of the Society for Research in Psychopathology, Iowa City, IA.
- Yeh, M. V., Griffith, J. W., Mineka, S., & Zinbarg, R. E. (2007). Neuroticism and anxiety sensitivity: Predicting anxious and depressive symptoms. Poster presented at the 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies, Philadelphia, PA.

- Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., Mineka, S., & Griffith, J. W. & Rose, R. D. (2007). Personality, Cognitive Style and Diagnoses in Adolescents: Cross-sectional and Prospective Prediction of Emotional Disorders. Symposium talk presented at the 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of the *Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies*, Philadelphia, PA.
- Griffith, J. W., Mineka, S. Craske, M. G., Zinbarg, R. E., Sumner, J. A. Lewis, A. R., Rekart, K. (2007). Cognitive Predictors of Depression. Symposium talk presented at the 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies, Philadelphia, PA.
- Epstein, A. Craske, M G., Shoptaw, S., Stein, J. Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., Griffith, J. W., & Rose, R. D. (2007). A Prospective Examination of Risk Factors for Alcohol Use Disorders in Adolescents. Symposium talk presented at the 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies, Philadelphia, PA.
- Epstein, A. M., Dickson, D., Castriotta, N., Craske, M.G., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., & **Griffith, J. W.** (2007). Risk Factors Predicting Lifetime and Future Alcohol Use Disorders in Male and Female Adolescents. Poster
- presented at the 30th annual meeting of the Research Society on Alcoholism, Chicago, IL.
- Adam, E. K., Doane, L. D., Medelsohn, K., Griffith, J. W., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., & Craske, M. G. (2007). Diurnal cortisol patterns, stressful life events, momentary negative emotion and mood and anxiety disorders in late adolescence. Paper to be presented at the Society for Research in Child Development.
- Sutton J, Mineka S, **Griffith J. W.**, Zinbarg R, & Craske M. (2006). Prospective relationships between neuroticism, cognitive styles, and life stress with depressive and anxious symptoms. Poster presented at the *Association for Psychological Science*, 18th Annual Meeting, New York, NY.
- Sutton J, Mineka S, **Griffith J**. **W**., Zinbarg R, Craske M, & Rose, R. Neuroticism, cognitive vulnerabilities, and life stress: Concurrent relationships with depressive and anxious symptoms. (2006). Poster presented at the *Society for Research in Psychopathology*, 20th Annual Meeting, San Diego, CA.
- Haller, M., Sutton, J., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R., Craske, M., & **Griffith, J. W.** (2006). The impact of chronic and episodic stress on personality and attributional style. Poster presented at the *Associations for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies*, 40th Annual meeting, Chicago, IL.
- Oehlberg, K., Mineka, S., **Griffith, J. W.,** Zinbarg, R. E., & Craske, M. G. (2006). Social Phobia and Emotional Stroop Bias: Predictive Value of Attentional Interference. Poster presented at the Society for Research in Psychopathology.
- Hauner, K. K. Y., Adam, E. K., Mineka, S., Doane, L. D., Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., & Griffith, J. W. (2006, May). Personality as a predictor of salivary cortisol patterns in adolescents. Poster presented at the 18th Annual Convention for the Association of Psychological Science, New York, NY.
- Callahan, C., Rose, R. D., Nazarian, M., **Griffith, J. W.**, Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., & Craske, M. G. (2005). Ethnic and gender differences among adolescents' cognitive styles. Poster presented at the 39<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of The Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Washington, D.C.
- Spies, L. A., **Griffith, J. W.**, Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., & Craske, M. (2005). Sociotropy, Autonomy, and their Relationship to Emotional Disorders in Adolescence. Poster presented at the 39<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of The Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Washington, D.C.
- Doane, L., Adam, E., Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M., **Griffith, J.W.**, & Rose, R. (2005). *Longitudinal associations among emotion, stress, and cortisol on the emergence of depressive and anxious disorders.* Symposium talk presented at the Society for Research in Adolescence.
- Griffith, J. W., & Constans, J. I. (2004). Suppression of the Subliminal Stroop Effect in Vietnam Veterans with PTSD. Poster presented at the 38<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of The Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, New Orleans, LA.
- Chiong, A., **Griffith, J. W.**, Mineka, S., Zinbarg, R. E., & Craske, M. G. (2004). *Differences Between Subliminal and Supraliminal Stroop in Adolescents at High Risk for Emotional Disorders*. Poster presented at the 38<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of The Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, New Orleans, LA.
- Zinbarg, R. E., Craske, M. G., Rose, R., Griffith, J. W., Waters, A., Mineka, S., & Mor, N. (2004). Lifetime and Current Diagnoses and Validity of Peer Reports of Personality and Attributional Style in Adolescents. Symposium talk presented at the 38<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of The Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, New Orleans, LA.
- Mineka, S., Craske, M. G., Zinbarg, R. E., Mor, N., Rose, R., & **Griffith, J. W.** (2004). *Comorbidity of Anxiety and Mood Disorder in a High Risk Sample of Adolescents*. Symposium talk presented at the 38<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of The Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, New Orleans, LA.

- **Griffith, J. W.**, Mineka, S., Newcomb-Rekart, K., Zinbarg, R. E., & Craske, M. G. (2004). *Information- processing Biases and Neuroticism as Predictors of Anxiety and Depression in Adolescents*. Symposium talk presented at the 38<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of The Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, New Orleans, LA.
- Griffith, J. W., Pepper, C. M., Ryabchenko, K., A., Calabrese, V., Miller, A. B., & Jeglic, E. L. (2004). *Reality Monitoring and Major Depression*. Poster presented at the 19<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of the Society for Research in Psychopathology, St. Louis, MO.
- Mineka, S. Craske, M., Zinbarg, R. E., **Griffith, J. W.**, & Rose, R. (2004). *Neuroticism, extraversion and the lifetime prevalence of emotional disorders in adolescents*. Poster presented at the 19<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of the Society for Research in Psychopathology, St. Louis, MO.
- Sutton, J. M., Mineka, S., Mor, N., **Griffith, J. W.**, & Zinbarg, R. E. (2004) *The Specificity of Cognitive, Personality, and Life Stress Variables to Depressive and Anxious Symptoms.* Poster presented at the 19<sup>th</sup> annual meeting of the Society for Research in Psychopathology, St. Louis, MO.
- Sutton, J. M., Mineka, S., Mor, N., **Griffith, J. W.**, Jaeger, J., & Zinbarg, R. E. (2004). *The Relationship of Cognitive Styles, Personality, and Life Stress to Depressive Symptoms*. Poster presented at the 16<sup>th</sup> meeting of the American Psychological Society, Chicago, IL.
- Griffith, J. W., Vasterling, J., Brailey, K., Constans, J. I., & Sutker, P. B. (2003). *Depression and PTSD over time in* 1991 Gulf War veterans: The effect of stress and coping. Symposium presentation at the meeting of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, Chicago, IL.
- Griffith, J.W., Fassler, O., Sonin, K., Dudas, M., & Pepper, C.M. (2002). *Can retrieval cues decrease the depressive memory bias?* Poster presented at the meeting of the Eastern Psychological Association, Boston, MA.
- **Griffith, J.W.**, Jeglic, E., Miller, A., Ryabchenko, K.A., & Pepper, C.M. (2001). *Symptom Reduction Following The SCID-IV In A Primary Care Sample*. Poster presented at the 35<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Philadelphia, PA.
- **Griffith, J.W.**, Miller, A., & Pepper, C.M. (2001). *A Comparative Examination of the Validity of Mailback Follow-Up Assessments*. Poster presented at the 35<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Philadelphia, PA.
- **Griffith, J.W.,** Dudas, M., Fassler, O., Flora, R., & Pepper, C.M. (2001). *Depressive Memory Bias: Verbatim Or Gist Based?* Poster presented at the 35<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Philadelphia, PA.
- Jeglic, E.L., Ryabchenko, K.A., Miller, A.B., Vanderhoff, H.A., **Griffith, J.W.**, & Pepper, C.M. (2001). *Spousal perceptions of depressive symptomatology*. Poster presented at the 35<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Philadelphia, PA.
- Jeglic, E.L., Ryabchenko, K.A., Miller, A.B., Vanderhoff, H.A., **Griffith, J.W.**, & Pepper, C.M. (2001). *A caregiving model of depression*. Poster presented at the 35<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Philadelphia, PA.
- Ryabchenko, K.A., Jeglic, E., **Griffith, J.W.**, Miller, A., Axelrad M., & Pepper, C.M. (2001). *Recurrence of Major Depression In A Primary Medical Care And An Outpatient Psychiatry Sample*. Poster presented at the 35<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Philadelphia, PA.
- Pepper, C. M., Ryabchenko, K. A., Jeglic, E. L., **Griffith, J. W.** and Miller, A. B. (2001, November). *Course and comorbidity of recurrent depression in two treatment settings*. Poster presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Research in Psychopathology, Madison, WI.
- **Griffith, J.W.**, Jeglic, E., Ryabchenko, K.A., Lam, C.Y., & Pepper, C. (2000). *Comorbid Alcohol-Related Disorders And Major Depression In A Primary Care Sample.* Poster to be presented at the 34<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- **Griffith, J.W.**, Ryabchenko, K.A., Lam, C., Jeglic, E., & Pepper, C. (2000) *Psychiatric Comorbidity in a College Sample*. Poster to be presented at the 34<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Miller, A., Williams, K., Pepper, C., Vetrano, M., Idoniboye, L., Dossa, F., Jeglic, E., Ryabchenko, K., **Griffith, J.W.**, & Axelrad, M. (2000). *Rates of depression and head injury in patients with chronic hepatitis*

Poster to be presented to the 34<sup>th</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Ryabchenko, K.A., Jeglic, E., **Griffith, J.W.**, Lam, C., Pepper, C.M. (November 1999). *Identifying Predictors* 

of Major Depression in a Primary Care Population (II). Poster presented at the 2000 annual meeting of the American Psychosomatic Society. Savannah, Georgia.

**Griffith, J.W.**, Ryabchenko, K.A., Lam, C., Jeglic, E., & Pepper, C.M. (November 1999). *A Two-stage Screening for Major Depression in a College Sample.* Poster presented at the 33<sup>rd</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Toronto, Canada.

Ryabchenko, K.A., Jeglic, E., **Griffith, J.W.**, Lam, C., Pepper, C.M. (November 1999). *Identifying Predictors* 

of Major Depression in a Primary Care Population (I). Poster presented at the 33<sup>rd</sup> annual convention of the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Toronto, Canada.

## VIII. Laboratory Procedures/Evaluations

#### **Clinical Laboratory Evaluations**

Baseline laboratory values will be collected for determination of inclusion/exclusion criteria and to assess safety and also will be entered into the study database. Repeat laboratory testing will only be done if there is a clinical basis for further testing. Blood and urine samples will be collected at the MRI scanning facility by a RN or trained member of the research personnel. If for some reason a blood pregnancy test cannot be obtained, urine pregnancy tests will be processed at the MRI scanning facility before starting any procedures, including scanning. Blood samples will be processed through Pathology Laboratory at NMH, 251 E. Huron St. LC700, Chicago, IL 60611. All results will be faxed to the study doctor for review before subjects begin study medications. Samples will not be frozen nor stored for long-term or future use. All results will be entered into REDcap after the study physician has looked over them and noted out of range results and plan of action, if necessary.

#### **Screening Laboratory Evaluations**

• The normal ranges for each test is listed within the brackets below.

### Hematology - Complete Blood Count (CBC)

9 tests will be performed. Normal results will be required before starting all study medications.

- White cell count [3.5-10.5 K/UL, normal reference range]
- Red cell count [3.80-5.20 M/UL]
- Hemoglobin [11.6-15.4 g/dL]

- Hematocrit HCT [34.0-45.0%]
- MCV [80-99 FL]
- MCH [27.0-34.0 pg]
- MCHC [32.0-35.5%]
- RDW [11.0-15.0%]
- Platelet count [140-390 K/UL]

## Hematology - Differential

10 tests will be performed. Normal results will be required before starting all study medications.

- Neutrophils [34-73%]
- Lymphocytes [15-50%]
- Monocytes [5-15%]
- Eosinophils [0-8%]
- Basophils [0-2%]
- Absolute neutrophils [1.5-8.0 K/UL]
- Absolute lymphocytes [1.0-4.0 K/UL]
- Absolute monocytes [0.2-1.0 K/UL]
- Absolute eosinophils [0.0-0.6 K/UL]
- Absolute basophils [0.0-0.3 K/UL]

## **Comprehensive Chemistry Panel**

16 tests will be performed. Normal results will be required before starting all study medications.

- Sodium [134-142 mEq/L]
- Potassium [3.5-5.1 mEq/L]
- Chloride [98-109 mEq/L]
- Bicarbonate [21-31 mEq/L]

- Blood urea nitrogen [2-25 mg/dL]
- Creatinine [0.00-1.30 mg/dL]
- eGFR African Am [>=60 mL/min/1.73m2]
- eGFR Non African Am [>=60 mL/min/1.73m2]
- Glucose level [65-100 mg/dL]
- Calcium [8.3-10.5 mg/dL]
- Albumin [3.5-5.7 g/dL]
- Total protein [6.4-8.9 g/dL]
- ALT [0-52 Unit/L]
- AST [0-39 Unit/L]
- Total bilirubin [0.0-1.0 mg/dL]
- Alkaline phosphatase [34-104 Unit/L]
- BAC [negative result needed]; looks for levels of ethyl glucuronide and ethyl sulfate

### Vital signs

- Blood Pressure diastolic [60-90 mm Hg]
- Blood Pressure systolic [100-130 mm Hg]
- Pulse [60-100 beats/minute]
- Respirations [10-16 breaths/minute]
- Temperature [36-37.5°C]

### Urine Tests

A pregnancy test will be performed on all WOCBP prior to starting treatment, via either blood or urine. A negative test result will be required before receiving study medication and before starting scanning procedures.

### **Special Assays or Procedures**

N/A

#### Specimen Preparation, Handling, and Storage

N/A

#### **Specimen Shipment**

N/A

#### **Clinical Laboratory Evaluations**

Baseline laboratory values will be collected for determination of inclusion/exclusion criteria and to assess safety and also will be entered into the study database. Repeat laboratory testing will only be done if there is a clinical basis for further testing. Blood and urine samples will be collected at the MRI scanning facility by a RN or trained staff member. Urine pregnancy tests will be processed before starting any study medication and before any scan is done. Blood and urine samples will be processed through Pathology Laboratory at NMH, 251 E. Huron St. (7<sup>th</sup> floor), Chicago, IL 60611; samples will be taken immediately to laboratory window after collection. All results will be faxed to the study doctor for review before participants begin study medications. Samples will not be frozen nor stored for long-term or future use.

In the rare cases that a participant cannot a provide blood or urine sample at the time of the visit <u>*OR*</u> if the RN or staff member cannot draw blood due to difficult veins, the following will be done. For individuals who cannot provide a sample the same day as visit 1, they will be rescheduled within 5 days for a blood draw/urine collection with the hospital diagnostic testing center (DTC, listed in the appendix locations); a member of the study staff will escort them to the center and wait with them until the samples have been collected. Participants will be reimbursed for travel up to \$20 for this extra visit if needed. For individuals with difficult veins, study staff will escort them to the DTC at the end of the visit and wait with them until the samples have been collected.